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1. Introduction
The profession of Psychology is underpinned by ethical values which are shared by psychologists across Europe and internationally. The shared values include justice, equality, and respect for the autonomy and dignity of the individual and their communities. These values are implemented through principles that seek to improve the quality of life of citizens and act in their best interests. To that end the European Federation of Psychologists Associations have produced this Model Code. The Code aims to reflect the shared understanding of the values of its member organizations. It seeks to provide principles and guidelines by which individual psychologists and organizations can inform the practice of psychology and enhance professional competence. The values of the model code may be considered based on the values of the European convention on human rights http://www.echr.coe.int/Documents/Convention_ENG.pdf

The general purpose of the Model Code is to promote a greater convergence amongst ethical practice and processes in European countries. Psychologists in Europe, regardless whether they are members of a particular Member Association, and regardless of the country of practice, should be subject to the same ethical principles. This model code also includes proposed guidelines on investigative procedures and sanctions.

Achieving convergence should be seen as a long-term aspiration (2030) and leaves room for a gradual and natural transition between successive ethical frameworks and codes.

The intended uses of the Model Code include:

i. to serve as a reference model for new national legislation on the title and/or the profession of psychologist;

ii. to serve as a model for the design of ethical codes in countries where no adequate code exists, or where multiple ethical codes exist that need to be integrated into a single code;

iii. to provide guidance in cases where existing codes need to be modernized;

iv. to provide guidance in cases where the scope of application of existing codes needs to be extended from certain categories of psychologists (such as members of an association) to all certified psychologists;

v. to offer a model for investigating and assessing alleged transgressions;

vi. to provide guidance on ethical conduct in cases of border-crossing psychological services;

vii. to serve as a standard for adjudication of alleged transgressions which may be potentially useful in the case of border crossing psychological services.

In terms of the application of the Model Code it should be noted that ethical codes may differ in status and function depending on the jurisdiction of the country. In some countries, codes are legally recognized and part of a system of disciplinary law. In addition, there should be recognition that there may be differences between national and regional social norms regarding what is culturally acceptable.

1.1 Context
The European Federation of Psychologists Associations (EFPA) adopted its European Meta-Code of Ethics at its General Assembly, Athens, July 1995, as guidance for the content of the Ethical Codes of its Member Associations. The Meta-Code of Ethics provides one ethical frame of reference for Psychological Associations to develop their ethical codes, and to provide assistance in the evaluation
of their members’ conduct. This Model Code complements that work and should be read alongside the Meta Code.

In accepting the Meta-Code, EFPA Member Associations ensure the national codes are not in conflict with the Meta-Code. As a result the ethical code of each member Association will be based on the same principles and have comparable content.

i. According to the Meta-Code, Member Associations can contribute in several ways to the appropriate ethical level of their members’ professional conduct. One of these ways is by instituting evaluative and disciplinary procedures in case of complaints about alleged unethical conduct of their members.

ii. Individual members are expected to comply with their Association’s code. Consequently, the ethical behaviour of individual members of any EFPA Member Association can be evaluated against a common framework.

iii. There are four main means whereby Member Associations may seek to ensure their members act appropriately and ethically:
   
a. The formulation and publicizing of the ethical code.
   b. The regulation of initial training
   c. Requirements for members to maintain and develop their ability to practice competently and ethically
   d. The provision of evaluative and disciplinary procedures in cases of complaint

Aims

General: This Model Code of Ethics provides guidance in how to apply ethical principles to professional practice in such a way that they will advance ethical awareness and reflection. It aims to:

1. Support psychologist in situations which require them to take a position in ethical issues
2. Protect clients against inappropriate and/or harmful measures
3. Support cooperation amongst psychologists and between psychologists and other professionals
4. Retain and enhance the public’s trust in the practice of professional psychologists
5. Serve as a basis for creating guidelines and frameworks for the specialization areas within psychology
6. Serve as a basis for creating procedures and structures for complaints handling and related matters for member associations.

Definition of client

In this code the term ‘client’ refers to any person or persons with whom a psychologist interacts on a professional basis. For example, a client may be an individual (such as a patient, a student, or a research participant), a couple, a family group, an educational institution, or a private or public organization, including a court. A psychologist will also have responsibilities toward persons in an indirect relationship with the psychologist (for example a parent, spouse, others). Psychological
science and practice serve the well-being of people; as such psychologists also have a professional and scientific responsibility towards society at large.

Decision making

Thinking about ethics should pervade all professional activity. Ethics can be defined as moral principles that govern a person’s behaviour or the conducting of an activity. Psychology can be defined as the study of the mind and behaviour. In every conceivable setting from scientific research centers to mental healthcare services, "the understanding of behaviour" is the enterprise of psychologists. There is an overlap between psychology and ethics, as both are concerned with behaviour. Before embarking on professional work the ethical implications should be considered as part of the work context together with legal, professional and other frameworks. For further guidance on ethical decision-making see Appendix B

2. The Model Code of Ethics

The Model Code is based on The European Federation of Psychologists’ Associations (EFPA) Meta Code of Ethics and the four main principles:

- Respect for individual rights and dignity
- Professional competence
- Responsibility
- Professional integrity

Appendix A includes guidance on the way an organization should establish its ethical governance. Ethical governance can be provided either within the Code of Ethics, or within a separate Code of Procedures.

General:

i. The practice of psychologists is based on science and reliable experience. Psychology and the psychological profession are in a continuous process of development, producing new and more complex knowledge and methods. Since social change continuously brings forth new problematic situations, it is important that psychologists pay attention to their own limitations and are able to turn to their colleagues and other professionals for additional knowledge and competence.

ii. Psychologists respect the principles of Human Rights as these are defined by international treaties and human rights conventions.

iii. Psychologists will take due regard to international, national and regional legislation when considering this Model Code of Ethics.

iv. Psychologists have a duty to know the law that regulates the profession of psychology. Practicing psychology is subject to the law of that country.

v. Psychologists use their professional knowledge in many different roles, in many types of professional situations, and use many types of working methods. Psychologists have the necessary resources for bringing about significant changes in the conditions of individuals, groups and organizations.

vi. Psychologists often have the opportunity to profoundly influence other people's lives. They sometimes meet them in moments of great vulnerability. This sets, heavy demands for the ethical awareness of psychologists, and has been one of the primary reasons for designating codes of professional ethics and conduct.
However, many of the psychologists’ professional relationships and work assignments are such that they cannot be formally regulated. In such cases the ethical awareness, the responsibility and the professional competence of individual psychologists play a crucial role.

It is important that the discussion on, and the development of principles of professional ethics is a dynamic process. These principles reflect fundamental and universal human values.

3. The Structure of the Model Code of Ethics

The Model Code is divided into four sections, which are concerned with the principles of Respect, Competence, Responsibility and Integrity, which reflect the EFPA Metacode of Ethics (see http://www.efpa.eu/ethics/ethical-codes).

Each principle has standards of conduct that should be observed.

3.1 Respect for individual rights and dignity

*General respect:*

i. The psychologist shows respect for the individual and peoples’ fundamental rights, dignity and value, and works in such a way that their expertise will not be used to harm, abuse, or oppress.

ii. The psychologist respects the knowledge, views, experience and expertise of clients and other parties concerned. The psychologist also respects the special expertise, obligations, and responsibilities of their colleagues and other professionals.

iii. The psychologist takes into consideration individual, role-related and cultural differences that are based on the client’s level of functioning, gender, sexual orientation, ethnic or national identity, age, religion, language and social status.

iv. The psychologist has a duty to recognize and take action towards the vulnerability of groups and persons.

v. The psychologist also takes into consideration the limitations concerning their own personal, cultural and social background and sexual orientation.

*Confidentiality:*

i. The psychologist protects the privacy of confidential information that they receive from the client and other parties.

ii. Confidentiality may be breached if the client or other parties are clearly in danger.

iii. The psychologist will inform the client on first contact of the limitations of confidentiality defined by law and that they may be obliged by law to share information.

iv. Informed consent and freedom of choice:
   a. The psychologist informs the client of the activities that are planned and discusses their actions and their potential consequences with the client. In this way the psychologist makes informed consent possible: the client will have the necessary information on the basis of which they can decide whether to agree to the activities or not.
   b. If the client is a minor or lacks capacity, their autonomy is to be appropriately taken into consideration when acquiring the consent of an appropriate authority.
   c. Informed consent also applies with research participants.

*Self-determination*

i. The psychologist defends the client’s autonomy and right to self-determination. This
also applies to the client’s right to begin or end the professional relationship.

ii. When working with children or clients that have been committed to treatment against their will, or in acute situations, the principle of voluntary participation can be deviated from according to the prevailing legislation, but even in such cases a cooperative relationship should be the goal.

3.2 Professional Competence

General:

i. The psychologist seeks to develop their professional competence and to maintain it on a high level.

ii. The psychologist strives towards awareness of their professional and personal strengths and weaknesses in order to be able to realistically evaluate their competence when taking on job assignments.

iii. The psychologist only accepts such assignments, provides such services and uses such methods for which they are competent on the basis of their training and experience.

Ethical awareness

One of the preconditions for high professional competence is that the psychologist is aware of the principles of professional ethics and applies them in their professional practice.

Competence

The psychologist’s work is based on science and professional experience. The psychologist continuously strives towards developing their professional competence by actively engaging with the development of psychology as a science and as a profession.

Limits of Competence

The psychologist works within the limits of their training, experience and personal abilities and seeks professional help and support in difficult situations.

Limits of Procedures

i. Obligation to be aware of the limitations of psychological methods and conclusions drawn from them.

ii. The psychologist exercises special caution when using methods, devices and techniques, which may lack a robust evidence base or lack evidence of efficacy, which do not meet the standard requirements set for methods or which they do not thoroughly master.

External limitations:

i. The psychologist must be aware of and also take account of the constraints that healthcare systems, legal frameworks and other circumstance may have on their right to practice.

3.3 Responsibility

General: Psychologists play an important role. They should be aware of the consequences of their work, and use their professionally competence and power in the best interest of their clients. The psychologist is aware of the professional and scientific responsibilities they have toward their clients, research participants, and toward the organization and society in which they live and work. The psychologist avoids deliberately causing harm to anyone and is responsible for their actions. They always aim to ensure that their services will not be misused.

i. The psychologist is personally responsible for the quality and consequences of their professional practice, but they are also simultaneously aware that they are perceived by others as a representative of the entire profession

Preventing misuse/ harm
The psychologist never misuses psychological knowledge or interventions. The psychologist must under no circumstances participate in, or support actions by using or threatening to use physical or psychological force that aim at:

i. Extorting information or a confession from someone
ii. Persuading someone to reveal, deny or change their personal or some other person’s world view or political, religious or ethical convictions
iii. Ensuring that psychological Knowledge is not used for purposes of torture and ill-treatment

The psychologist aims to actively prevent and report such actions as indoctrination, brainwashing or torture. Psychologist will report to the national association or relevant human rights bodies.

Managing ethical dilemmas

i. The psychologist is aware of the existence of ethical dilemmas in professional practice. The psychologist is responsible for seeking solutions to solve such problems and discussing them with colleagues, commissioners and/or the relevant local association.
ii. The psychologist should also inform other concerned parties of the requirements set by the principles of professional ethics outlined in this Code.

Continuing responsibilities

i. The psychologist is responsible for making an agreement with the client on the conditions, course, ending and follow-up of the professional contact.
ii. Following the end of a professional relationship with a client, the psychologist still has to abide by the ethical standards that defined the work, including maintaining confidentiality and professional boundaries.

Extended responsibility in supervision, education and research

i. The psychologist has an extended responsibility of scientific and professional practice, and for the standards of professional ethics of their assistants and people under their supervision or training, or research subjects.
ii. When teaching psychological methods the psychologist is also responsible for critically evaluating the strengths and weaknesses of the methods, as well as their possible ethical implications.

3.4 Professional Integrity

General: The psychologist strives toward professional integrity in research, teaching and psychological practice. Psychologist should aim to be honest and impartial and should treat individuals and groups with respect. The psychologist endeavours to make their own role as clear as possible in each of their working contexts.

Openness and clarity

i. The psychologist provides adequate information on their abilities, professional experience, competence and commitment to the profession; they should only uses professional titles for which they have the right on the basis of their training, license and employment.
ii. The psychologist uses scientific knowledge and precision when giving statements as a psychologist.
iii. The psychologist provides information on psychology and the professional practice of psychologists in such a way as to avoid misconceptions or harm to psychology as a science or as a profession.
iv. The psychologist informs the client in advance of the financial conditions of the
professional relationship and is aware of the consequences that could result from the psychologist accepting, in addition to the official fee, other rewards, for example, gifts or other accepting special favours from the client.

Conflicts of interest and exploitation

i. The psychologist strives towards an awareness of their personal needs, attitudes and values and their role in the professional relationship.

ii. The psychologist does not misuse their power and status by abusing the client’s dependence and trust.

iii. The psychologist avoids having extraprofessional relationships with clients. Such relationships decrease the required professional distance and may lead to a conflict of interests or to abuse. The psychologist is aware of the direct and indirect ways in which intimacy or sexuality can affect the relationship between the psychologist and the client. The psychologist avoids letting the relationship become private or sexual in nature. There must be no sexual relations between the psychologist and the client.

4. Conclusion

This Code provides the parameters within which professional judgments should be made. However, it cannot, and does not aim to, provide the answer to every ethical dilemma a psychologist may face. It is important to remember to reflect and apply a process to resolve ethical dilemmas as set out in this code.

If you have a question about the code or about professional ethics, there are several potential sources of advice. There is the EFPA website. The links to the Codes have also been approved.

This code has been written by the EFPA Board of Ethics based on the existing member association Codes, which have been approved and are consistent with the EFPA Meta Code.

Existing guidance on governance of organizations which have a Code are provided with the documents to be found here http://www.efpa.eu/ethics/efpa-guidelines

The EFPA Board of Ethics is available to provide advice and support for those Associations who are drafting new or revised Codes and may be contacted via the EFPA Board of Ethics website.
Appendix A

5. Ethical Governance

General:

5.1. On its own, the Code is not sufficient to provide a professional ethical framework for an organization. Additional requirements include the establishment of Ethics Committees with clear Terms of Reference that identify the purpose and functions of the committee. The committee in this context may refer to a Board of Ethics, or another committee dealing with complaints or both.

5.2. The Committee

5.2.1 The committee should consist of experienced Psychologists. Additional members could include lay members, and specialists from other areas (for example, legal or philosophy representation).

5.2.2 The size of the Committee will reflect the complexity of the organization. There should be a minimum of five members with at least two thirds of members present to ensure that the meeting is quorate.

5.2.3 The Committee will be responsible for a range of functions as specified in the Terms of Reference. One of these functions could be to receive complaints or allegations of professional misconduct. If the Ethics Committee does not receive complaints an alternative process must be developed. The expectation is that the development of the alternative process would be in consultation with the Ethics Committee and the Member Association.

5.2.4 Selection of membership of the Committee will need to be informed by the following principles:

5.2.4.1 A selection committee should be established to recommend appointment to the relevant authority within the Association.

5.2.4.2 An open and transparent selection procedure should be in place where a formal application is required with curriculum vitae.

5.2.4.3 If the applicant has a previous violation of the code, or a history of non-collaboration with the investigatory system, their application will not be accepted. In exceptional circumstances their application can be considered, and they will need to demonstrate that they are now committed to the Code and to supporting their own and other members compliance.

5.2.4.4 It would be preferable for the Committee to have broad representation from the different fields of psychology for example educational, work and organizational, clinical, teaching and research.

5.2.4.5 The Committee should develop a process with a detailed time schedule for addressing complaints. This process should include the following steps:

5.2.4.6 The complaint must be in writing.

5.2.4.7 The committee will decide whether there is a case to answer. If there is no case to answer all relevant parties will be informed.

5.2.4.8 If there is a case to answer, the complainant and the psychologist will be given the opportunity to present their positions supported by the documentation requested by the committee.

5.2.4.9 If the complaint is upheld the psychologist and the complainant should be informed of the outcome.

5.3 Sanctions:

i. A Member Association will establish a set of sanctions which will be applied following violation of the Code. Typically these are organized in a hierarchy (see “A
model for evaluative procedures and disciplinary actions in case of complaints about unethical conduct”  
http://www.efpa.eu/ethics/efpa-guidelines

ii. Included in the Member Association’s powers of decision should be the following tariff of actions, which matches the seriousness of the violations of ethical standards. Possible outcomes are outlined below:

- Complaint not upheld;
- Complaint is proven: No sanction;

Corrective Action:

- Period of supervised oversight;
- Additional training;

Sanctions:

- Warning;
- Reprimand;
- Payment of a financial penalty;
- Temporary suspension of membership;
- Suspension of membership;
- Expulsion from membership;
- Loss of EuroPsy registration.

iii. Mediation should be considered. The “Guidelines on mediation in the context of complaints about unethical conduct” can be found at http://www.efpa.eu/ethics/efpa-guidelines
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6. Ethical Decision making

Information from surveys of psychologists, data on queries received by the national association and information from formal complaints indicates that certain areas of work produce the majority of concerns about ethical matters.

These areas of concern include:

i. multiple relationships
ii. unclear or inadequate standards of practice – where the psychologist is unaware of or disregards the current systems in use by peers or others in similar work;
iii. breaches of confidentiality – where rules and constraints were broken or not clarified in advance with stakeholders;
iv. competence – where excessive or misleading claims are made or where inadequate safeguards and monitoring exist for new areas of work;
v. research issues including falsifying data, failing to obtain consent, plagiarism or failing to acknowledge another’s work or contribution.
vii. health problems affecting performance or conduct;
vi. Written reports on clients which may include third party information.

Many of the above concerns involve unethical behaviour but others involve lack of information, poor planning or carelessness. Reflective practice, peer support and transparency of professional activity would prevent problems occurring or developing into serious concerns.

Despite every care being taken, ethical difficulties will occur. Several systems of ethical decision-making exist and the following is an adaptation of the core themes.

a. Identify the relevant issues:
b. What are the parameters of the situation?
c. Is there research evidence that might be relevant?
d. What legal guidance exists?
e. What do peers advise?
f. Is there guidance available from the local regulator or other relevant bodies?
g. Identify the clients and other stakeholders and consider or obtain their views.
h. Use the Model Code of Ethics to identify the principals involved.
i. Evaluate the rights, responsibilities and welfare of all clients and stakeholders.
j. Generate the alternative decisions preferably with others to act as a sounding board.
k. Establish a cost/risk benefit analysis to include both short- and long-term consequences.
l. Make the decision after checking that the reasoning behind it is logical, lucid and consistent. Document the process of decision-making which should include the various possibly contradictory points of view.
m. Assume responsibility and monitor any outcomes.
n. Apologize for any negative outcomes that result. Many formal complaints are often a client’s only way of obtaining an acknowledgement of distress. Saying ‘sorry’ does not automatically admit liability.
o. Make every effort to correct any negative outcomes and remain engaged in the process.
p. Learn from the process for yourself, for others and for the national association.
q. While the process set out in this section may appear to be a counsel of perfection, the thinking behind ethical decisions needs to be clear, especially where time is short and/or where high levels of emotion and risk are involved.
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