

Project co-funded by the European Commission – Grant Agreement N° 07.Project co 030601/2006/447903/SUB/A3



## EUROPEAN MULTIDISCIPLINARY GUIDELINE

Early psychosocial interventions after disasters, terrorism and other shocking events

2008

## **CONTENTS**

## **PREAMBLE**

Conclusions and follow up				v viii ix
Org	anisation st	ructure	pg.	2
<b>A</b> : I	PUBLIC PA	RT		
1. 2. 3.	2. Guideline text, public part			6 8 11
В: \$	SCIENTIFIC	PART		
<ol> <li>Introduction</li> <li>Aim of early psychosocial interventions</li> <li>Screening</li> <li>Supportive context</li> <li>Preventive early interventions</li> <li>Curative early interventions</li> <li>Organisation</li> </ol>			pg. pg. pg. pg. pg. pg.	19 20 29 31 39
Appendix 1 Members of the study group and those affected Appendix 2 Starting questions Appendix 3 Experiences of those affected Appendix 4 List of abbreviations Appendix 5 Overview of research questions		pg. pg. pg. pg. pg.	53 54 61	

Bibliography

This publication may be ordered from Impact, the Dutch knowledge & advice centre for post-disaster psychosocial care. Visiting address: Meibergdreef 5, 1105 AZ Amsterdam-Zuidoost. Tel: 020-5662102. Fax: 020-5669322. Postal address: P.O. Box 78, 1110 AB Diemen. E-mail:impact@amc.uva.nl Internet: www.impact-kenniscentrum.nl

## Preamble

## European guideline

for

Early Psychosocial Interventions after Disaster, Terrorism and Other shocking events

December 2008



## European harmonisation in post disaster psychosocial care: EUTOPA

The purpose of this preamble is to add "European Value" to the 'Multidisciplinary Guideline on Early psychosocial Interventions after Disaster, Terrorism and Other Shocking Events' (Impact, 2007). It shows how this guideline can be applied in each of the individual EU member states as well as on a more general EU-level by European organisations.

The guideline was developed in 2007, by 18 professional organisations. Impact, the Dutch National Knowledge and Advice Centre for post disaster psychosocial care had the lead in this process. The guideline is evidence-based, reflecting not only the international scientific state of the art, but also the existing consensus on best practice.

This guideline has global potential. Recent research has clearly shown that the systematic method used to develop this guideline is applied across the globe. The Australian guideline that was published on the same topic is based on the same international scientific publications and has reached comparable outcomes.

'Early intervention' is controversial since scientific findings are inconsistent with daily practice. This controversy hinders the professionals working in everyday practice: they are confronted with people affected by disaster, and they need to know what interventions are most effective. For this reason the guidelines were developed.

EUTOPA, a two year project funded by DG environment EUTOPA, pursues harmonization of psychosocial post disaster care in the EU. The development of guidelines is a valuable method in achieving this goal. Taking the guideline as a starting point, we presented the (translated) guideline in the various EU-member states. Translations were made into English, French, Spanish, Italian, German, and Polish. We promoted the use of guidelines in general and this guideline on early psychosocial interventions in particular and we worked towards EU-wide agreement on the core messages of this guideline and possibilities of implementing it.

Based on the results of the EUTOPA project, in the remainder of this preamble lists which parts of the guideline are accepted by the other EU member states and on what topics some adaptation might be required. In general it has become clear that the guideline can function as a dynamic framework for adopting an evidence-based guide, setting minimum standards for use across all EU states.

We will conclude this preamble with recommendations for the next steps to be taken. Impact, as a European centre of excellence on post- disaster psychosocial care, can facilitate pilot implementation projects in interested EU member states. We can make use of Adapte (<a href="www.adapte.org">www.adapte.org</a>), a systematic approach to adapt guidelines developed in one country for implementation in another country.

## Comments on the *Multidisciplinary Guideline on Early Interventions after*Disaster, Terrorism and Other Shocking Events' from a European perspective

To discuss the guideline within an international context, we focussed on the five central topics in the guideline.

## These are:

- Guidelines or guidance?
- Integration of psychological and social strategies in the acute, mid- and long-term phase (page 29)
- Risk Factors and Screening (page 20)
- Providing information/psycho education (page 31)
- Curative early psychosocial interventions (page 39)

We presented the guideline to national experts in the EU-member states, we handed out a questionnaire to receive information about the national state of the art and the opinion on the topics in the guideline, we did several telephone interviews and we organised an interactive working conference with delegates from almost all EU member states (a complete overview of participants is included at the end of this preamble).

## **Guidelines or guidance?**

The guideline can stimulate good practice, and is supportive for the different EU countries. It can provide a basis for each country to develop its own guideline.

Countries are at different stages, in terms of capacity and of prioritizing this subject.

Many countries already are making first steps on similar

guidelines/guidance/framework/standards/recommendations. The guideline on early interventions after disaster, terrorism and other shocking events' has an important advantage in its multidisciplinary and scientific starting point.

One long term challenge is the fact that some countries lack a framework for prioritizing post disaster psychosocial care – there needs to be more dialogue with policy makers and more support from EU organisations.

Impact, as a European centre of excellence on post disaster psychosocial care is using the guideline to take this work forward on an EU-level and by supporting countries to adapt the guideline.

## Integration of psychological and social strategies in the acute, mid- and long-term phase

There is broad agreement with the guideline on this topic. The guideline recommends that collective early interventions form an essential part of the integrated package of post-disaster psychosocial care. The use of these interventions should therefore be ensured. The guideline further recommends that in the first six weeks after a disaster, an appropriate support system for the long term should be put in place.

The guideline also recommends that people who are affected by disasters, terrorism or major catastrophes need to be provided with support. As soon as those affected have been brought to safety, priority should be given to providing a listening ear, support and solace and being receptive to the immediate practical needs of those affected; offering factual and up-to-date information about the shocking event; mobilising support from their own social environment; facilitating reunion with people closest to them and keeping families together; and reassuring those affected who display normal stress reactions. This should enhance the powers of recovery to those affected.

When implementing the guideline in other EU countries some points should be considered. In general, attention from governments, politics and policy makers for psychosocial interventions may vary.

It is therefore of the utmost importance to develop an integrated approach across health structures, policies and political strategies on a national level. It is equally important to create strong and clear leadership in both social and clinical disaster responses, to educate partners in the countries and to engage with communities to help them respond supportively.

## **Risk Factors and Screening**

Screening is the term used when a specific investigation method is offered on a large scale to the population for tracing certain conditions at an early stage. The guideline recommends that this screening is useful when existing screening instruments are validated for the countries in which they will be used. Recent research (Brewin, 2005) has shown that the much more affected people were able to receive the appropriate treatment after a systematic screening. Besides this systematic screening there should be (individual) identification of people who are affected and need psychological assistance.

In the acute phase trained volunteers, preliminary and primary relief workers, with secondary mental health care in the background, have a role in this. It is above all a matter of identifying those affected who have a mental disorder and/or serious clinical symptoms requiring diagnosis and/or treatment. This must be based on the clinical judgement of volunteers and professionals and also on the judgement of the person affected himself. Thorough basic training of volunteers and professionals is necessary for this. They need to know that most people affected will display some form of stress reactions. These are normal reactions.

In general, the guideline concludes that professionals, but others as well, such as the partners of those affected, supervisors and schools, should observe those affected closely in the first 6 weeks and act if there are evident clinical problems.

There is general agreement about these recommendations. However, there are some points that need to be considered.

- There are challenges in terms of outreach and reaching the right people. Media and the press can be useful.
- There need to be appropriate tools for different cultures and situations.
- Further studies are needed into the effectiveness of population-wide screening after a
  traumatic event. Any negative effects and the most appropriate time for screening to be
  carried out need to be investigated before a questionnaire is used on a large scale for the
  purpose of population-wide screening. Research also needs to be carried out into the
  effectiveness of repeating screening in the first six weeks after a disaster, terrorist attack or
  other shocking event.
- Further research is needed into the usability of screening instruments based on risk factors for the early tracing of those affected with a high risk of developing trauma-related mental disorders.
- Cost effectiveness of screening needs to be thought through

## Providing information/psycho education

There is broad agreement with the guidelines that timely information of good quality is crucial. The guideline recommends that after a disaster, terrorism or other shocking event, information is offered to all those affected.

The information is brief, basic and contributes to those affected regaining control and promotes their ability to cope for themselves. This information contains the following 3 components:

- A reassuring explanation about normal reactions;
- Explaining when to seek help;
- Advising the person affected to get on with the daily routine.

#### Considerations:

With regard to the difference between information given in response to an event and education given in anticipation of events, how are we to identify people for whom either of these is cost effective?

Information needs to be appropriate, adapted to specific disasters, to individual situations, and it must be appropriately timed (immediate, six weeks after, long term.)

There is lots of good practice available in almost all EU member states which can be shared as examples.

## **Curative early psychosocial interventions**

If there are serious clinical symptoms or mental disorders, as defined in DSM-IV-TR, professionals have a range of interventions available to them. The most commonly occurring conditions after disasters that require treatment are anxiety disorders, depressive disorders, problems for which there is no physical explanation, acute stress disorder, post-traumatic stress disorder, difficulties in adjusting and drug abuse.

The guideline recommends trauma-focused Cognitive Behavioural Therapy (CBT) as an effective method for the treatment of those affected who have an acute stress disorder or serious symptoms of acute post-traumatic stress disorder in the first month after a shocking experience.

This guideline may need some adaptation for a European audience.

- Timeliness and the availability of psychosocial support need to be emphasized as a common EU area of attention
- In some countries, CBT is either unavailable or not the intervention of choice. Treatment should be given according to existing national disorder specific guidelines and protocols.
- The guideline currently does not answer the issue of more complex presentations and how we should respond. Again a greater link to national guidelines may be necessary.
- It may also be necessary to develop a guideline in relation to duty of care for employers following disasters, e.g. for psychosocial care for uniformed persons (rescue workers, military, policemen, firemen, ambulance officers)
- The guideline relating to children currently does not have a strong supporting evidence base. Future research and debate is necessary.

## Conclusions and follow up

In the EU, a lot of effort is aimed at promoting high quality and well-organised post-disaster psychosocial care.

Evidence based guidelines help ensure a good scientific base for daily practice, encourage academic and professional networks, influence policy and enable training to achieve implementation in each country.

In the EU, there exists a common view on what early psychosocial interventions after disasters, terrorism and other shocking events should consist of. In the EUTOPA project, we found that the *multidisciplinary guideline on early psychosocial interventions after disasters, terrorism and other shocking events* (Impact, 2007) provide an excellent evidence-based base to describe this consensus.

Efforts to implement the guideline are important and very worthwhile. The guideline is an effective way to promote good practice and high standards. There is a great need in member states to start this implementation. The implementation plans will differ between the various EU countries. In the coming period, Impact will provide support in streamlining the communication between the countries across the EU. In addition, in collaboration with DG environment, Impact will find ways to support the implementation on an EU-level to support EU policy in the field of post disaster psychosocial care.

Eight EU-member states applied for concrete cooperation with Impact to implement the guideline. *Adapte* (<a href="www.adapte.org">www.adapte.org</a>) is a formal, international accepted systematic approach to adapt existing guidelines for different countries.

In addition, in collaboration with DG environment, Impact will find financial ways to support the implementation in the member states. Moreover, Impact will inform EU policy makers in the field of post disaster psychosocial care on this EU guideline.

## **Projectpartners**

City of Cologne, Germany

Impact, Dutch Knowledge & Advice Centre for Post-Disaster Psychosocial Care, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

Institute for Clinical Psychology and Psychological Diagnostics University of Cologne, Germany

Centre for Psychotraumatology, Alexianer-Hospital Krefeld, Germany

Sociedad Española de Psicotraumatología, Estrés Traumático y Disociación, SEPET+D, Madrid, Spain

## European experts and delegates Amsterdam Conference September 24/25 2008

- -Prof. Dr. Barbara Juen, University of Innsbruck, Austria
- -Eric de Soir, Major, Military Psychologist, Royal Belgium Academy, Belgium
- -Koen Van Praet, Belgian Red Cross, Belgium
- -Dr. Sofia Stoimenova, Director General Bulgarian Red Cross, Bulgaria
- -Prof. Dean Ajdukovic, Dept. of Psychology, University of Zagreb, Croatia
- -Jana Malikova, Psychologist, Ministry of the Interior, Czech Republic
- -Stepan Vymetal, Chief psychologist, Ministry of the Interior, Czech Republic
- -Prof. Dr. Ask Elkit, Psychology, University of Aarhus, Denmark
- -Tiiu Meres, Psychologist, Tallinn Family Support Centre, Estonia
- -Maire Riis, Psychotherapist, NGO Crisis Programme for Children and Youth, Estonia
- -Roman Birvon, EU
- -Maureen Mooney, Clinical Psychologist, French Red Cross, France
- -Dr. Robert Bering, Leading Doctor Psychotraumatology Centre, Alexianer Hospital Krefeld, Germany
- -Dr. Claudia Bredenbeck, Psychotraumatology Centre, Alexianer Hospital Krefeld, Germany
- -Katherine Deeley, Inst. for Clinical Psychology & Psychological Diagnostics, University of Cologne
- -Dipl.Ing. Albert Deistler, Office for Int. Affairs of the City of Cologne, Mayor's Division, Germany
- -Dipl.Psych. Michael Kamp, Psychologist, Alexianer Hospital Krefeld, Germany
- -Gerd Puhl, Psychosocial support for Fire Fighters & Paramedics, Fire Brigade Cologne, Germany
- -Dipl.Psych. Claudia Schedlich, Psychologist, Inst. for Clinical Psychology & Psychological Diagnostics, University of Cologne, Germany
- -Axel Strang, Psychosocial support for Fire Fighters & Paramedics, Fire Brigade Cologne, Germany
- -Dr.Dipl.Psch.Dieter Wagner, Psychotherapist, Centre of Psychotraumatology, Alexianer Hospital Krefeld, Germany
- -Dipl. Psych. Gisela Zurek, Psychologist, Inst. for Clinical Psychology & Psychological Diagnostics, University of Cologne, Germany
- -Anita Deak, Psychologist, Inst. of Psychology, University Hungary
- -Gerard O'Sullivan, Frontline Paramedic Ambulance Service, Irish Red Cross, Ireland
- -Dr. Ranieri Barbieri, Clinical Psychologist, Hospital San Camillo Forlanini, Italy
- -Guilia Marino, Psychologist, Dept. of Civil Protection, Italy
- -Dott.Danila Penacchi, Psychologist, Hospital San Camillo Forlanini, Italy
- -Irina Gudaviciene, Public Health Specialist, Ministry of Health, Lithuania
- -Dipl.Psych. Marc Stein, Clinical & Organizational psychologist, Police Grand-Ducale, Luxemburg
- -Paul Cutaiar, Counsellor, Secretariat for Catholic Education, Malta
- -Agatha Niemviska, Psychologist, General Headquarters of the Police, Warsaw, Poland
- -Prof. Bogdan Zawadzki, Psychologist, University of Warsaw, Poland
- -Dr. Bruno Brito, Psychologist, Guarda Nacional Republicana, Alcabideche, Portugal
- -Captain Galvao da Silva, Psychosocial support, Guarda Nacional Republicana, Alcabideche, Portugal
- -José Felix da Silva, Head of office Portuguese Association for Victim Support, Portugal
- -Dr. Robert Masten, Psychologist, Psychology Department, Faculty of Arts, Ljubljana, Slovenia
- -Celia Caballero, Spain
- -Dr. Paco Orengo Garcia, Psychiatrist, Spain

- -Annika Gillispie, Reg. nurse (psychiatric care), Swedish Red Cross, Sweden
- -Ariëlle De Ruyter MA, EU Affairs at Impact, The Netherlands
- -Prof. Berthold Gersons, Distinguished AMC Professor Psychiatry, The Netherlands
- -Josée Netten MA, Psychologist, Cultural Anthropologist at Impact, The Netherlands
- -Magda Rooze MA/MBA, Director Impact, The Netherlands
- -Rob Sardemann MA, Victim Support Europe, The Netherlands
- -Prof. Jan Swinkels, Psychiatric Centre AMC, The Netherlands
- -Dr. Hans Te Brake. Senior Policy Analyst Research & Development, The Netherlands
- -Willy Van Halem, School Psychologist, The Netherlands
- -Martin Willems, Psychiatrist, Psychoanalyst, Reorganisation Psychiatry in Romania
- -Prof. David Alexander, Prof. of Mental Health, Aberdeen Centre for Trauma Research, The Robert Gordon University, Scotland
- -Dr. Jonathan Bisson, Psychiatrist, Dept. Psychological Medicine, Cardiff University, UK
- -Prof. Chris Brewin, Clinical Psychology, University College London, UK
- -Gavin Rees, Journalist, Dart Centre for Journalism and Trauma, London, UK
- -Prof. Graham Turpin, Clinical Psychologist, Department of Psychology, University of Sheffield UK
- -Prof. Richard Williams, Professor of Mental Health Strategy, University Glamorgan & the Gwent Health NHS Trust, Wales, UK
- -Moya Wood-Heath, Emergency Planning/Civil Protection Adviser, Red Cross/EU office, Belgium



## MULTIDISCIPLINARY GUIDELINE

Early psychosocial interventions after disasters, terrorism and other shocking events

2007

## Organisation structure

The multidisciplinary guideline *Early psychosocial interventions after disasters, terrorism and other shocking events* has been developed under the auspices of the National Steering Committee on Multidisciplinary Guideline Development in Mental Health Care. Advice on the methodology and organisation was provided by the Trimbos Institute.

## Cooperating professional associations and organisations

Mental Healthcare Nursing Federation [Federatie Verpleegkunde in de Geestelijke Gezondheidszorg (FVGGZ]

Netherlands Psychiatric Association [Nederlandse Vereniging voor Psychiatrie (NVvP)]

Dutch Association for Psychotherapy [Nederlandse Vereniging voor Psychotherapie (NVP)]

Netherlands Institute of Psychologists [Nederlands Instituut van Psychologen (NIP)]

Dutch College of General Practitioners [Nederlands Huisartsen Genootschap (NHG)]

Dutch Association of Primary Care Psychologists [Landelijke Vereniging van Eerstelijnpsychologen (LVE)]

Military Mental Health Care Institute [Militaire GGZ] of the Ministry of Defence

Netherlands Association of Policy, Management and Research Physicians [Nederlandse Vereniging Artsen Beleid Management Onderzoek (NVAG)]

Netherlands Association of Social Workers [Nederlandse Vereniging van Maatschappelijk Werkers (NVMW)]

Netherlands Association of Fire and Disaster Control Services [Nederlandse Vereniging voor Brandweerzorg and Rampenbestrijding (NVBR)]

Netherlands Society of Physicians in Occupational Health [Nederlandse Vereniging voor Arbeids- en Bedrijfsgeneeskunde (NVAB)]

Dutch Association of Behavioral and Cognitive Therapy [Vereniging voor Gedragstherapie en Cognitieve Therapie (VGCT)

Institute for Psychotrauma [Instituut voor Psychotrauma (IvP)]

D.O.E.N. [Directe Opvang en Nazorg - Immediate relief and aftercare]

Victim Support [Slachtofferhulp Nederland, formerly National Victim Support Agency]

Council of Regional Medical Officers [Raad van Regionaal Geneeskundig Functionarissen (RGF)]

Regional Department of Emergency and Disaster Medicine Preparedness [Landelijk Bureau Geneeskundige

Hulpverlening na Ongevallen en Rampen (GHOR)]

Police Academy of the Netherlands [Politieacademie]

The above-mentioned professional associations and organisations have authorised the guideline, with the exception of:

Institute for Psychotrauma (IvP)

Dutch College of General Practitioners [Nederlands Huisartsen Genootschap (NHG)] – In line with its general policy the NHG does not give formal authorisation for guidelines in mental health care.

# National Steering Committee on Multidisciplinary Guideline Development in Mental Health Care

Chairman and Deputy Chairman

Dhr. R.M.W. Smeets - Executive Board, Mental Health Association [GGZ] Friesland

Prof.dr. G. Hutschemaekers - De Gelderse Roos, Arnhem

Secretary

Dr. A.L.C.M. Henkelman - Trimbos Institute

Members

Ir. T.A. van Barneveld - Dutch Institute for Healthcare Improvement

[Kwaliteitsinstituut voor de gezondheidszorg CBO] Netherlands Institute of Psychologists [Nederlands

Instituut van Psychologen (NIP)]

Dr. D.P. Ravelli - Netherlands Psychiatric Association [Nederlandse

Vereniging voor Psychiatrie (NVvP)]

Dr. A.N. Goudswaard - Dutch College of General Practitioners (NHG)

Dr. H.H.G.M. Lendemeijer - Mental Healthcare Nursing Federation [Federatie Verpleegkunde

in de Geestelijke Gezondheidszorg (FVGGZ]

† (2007) Prof.dr. R.W. Trijsburg - Dutch Association for Psychotherapy [Nederlandse Vereniging

voor Psychotherapie (NVP)]

Agenda members

Dr. P.M.A.J. Dingemans

Mw. dr. G.H.M.M. ten Horn - Chairman, Client Participation Committee
Dhr. drs. W.P.H. Brunenberg - Ministry of Health, Welfare and Sports

Adviser

Prof.dr. J.A. Swinkels - AMC De Meren/Trimbos Institute

#### **Client Participation committee**

Chairman

Mw.dr. G.H.M.M. ten Horn

Secretary

Mw.drs. M.T. Vink - Trimbos Institute

Members

Mw. M.H. van der Aar-Peulen - Labyrint/In Perspectief foundation

Mw. drs. A.K. Bols - Anorexia and bulimia nervosa foundation [Stichting Anorexia en

Boulimia Nervosa]

Mw. drs. H. Boumans - Ypsilon

Mw. A. Faber - Labyrint/In Perspectief foundation

Mw. J.T.E. van Hamersveld - Fear, compulsion and phobia foundation [Angst, Dwang en

Fobiestichting]

Mw.drs. A. Kal - Ypsilon

Dhr. I. Keuchenius - Fear, compulsion and phobia foundation [Angst, Dwang en

Fobiestichting]

Dhr. Ir. B.H. Kraaijenbrink - Anorexia and bulimia nervosa foundation [Stichting Anorexia en

Boulimia Nervosa]

Mw. M. Mannak-Bouman - Labyrint/In Perspectief foundation

Dhr.drs. M.C. Muis - Anoiksis

Mw. L. van 't Veen
Dhr. M.P. Vermeulen
Mental health care patient assocation [Cliëntenbond in de GGZ]
Association for those directly and indirectly affected by manic depression [Vereniging voor Manisch Depressieven en Betrokkenen]

Dhr.dr. T.F. van Wel - Health care psychologist, medical sociologist

Mw. L. Westerhof - Association for those directly and indirectly affected by manic

depression [Vereniging voor Manisch Depressieven en Betrokkenen]

Mw. I.R.M. Wijne - Netherlands Self Harm Foundation [Landelijke Stichting

Zelfbeschadiging]

Mw. drs. J. Zwanikken-Leenders

Dhr.drs. H. Zol

- National Support Desk for Parents of Drug Users [Landelijk Steunpunt

Ouders van Drugsgebruikers]

## The study group

#### Chairman

Prof. Dr. C. Spreeuwenberg

#### Members

Dhr.drs. J.J. Berendsen - NVP/lvP Dhr. W. van Dalen - FVGGZ - RGF Dhr. C. Dekkers Dhr. R. van Doesburgh

Mw. dr. E.C. van Doorn

Dr. A. van Emmerik

Prof.dr. B.P.R. Gersons

Dhr. W.A.L. Godefroy

Dr. W. Gorisson - NVAG Dhr. W. Gorissen Mw. T. Hoekstra

FVGGZVictim Support Agency [Bureau Slachtofferhulp]D.O.E.N. Dhr. drs. A.C. Laney

Prof.dr. A. de Jongh

 OPRON/IPSHOR Mw. K. Matlung

Ing. D.P. Pattynama, MCDM Regional Department of Emergency and Disaster Medicine/

Preparedness/Fire Service

Mevr. A.J. Roes **NVMW** Mw.drs. C.J.A.M. de Roos NVP

Drs. P.M. Sanders Military Mental Health Care Institute [Militaire GGZ] of the Ministry of

Defence

Dhr. T. Siersma **FVGGZ** Mw. drs. E.M. Sijbrandij Scientist

Dhr. J.G.J. Tuinder, EMPH Police Academy of the Netherlands

Mw. dr. M. de Vries Impact

#### **Advisers**

Mw. dr. M. de Vries Project leader, Impact

Mw. drs. D. van Duin Guideline development adviser and project leader, Trimbos Institute

Mw. drs. E.R. Fischer Guideline development adviser, Trimbos Institute

#### **Editorial committee**

Mw. drs. M. de Vries Mw. drs. D. van Duin Mw. dr. E.M. Sijbrandij Dhr. dr. A.A.P. van Emmerik Dhr. dr. W.H.M. Gorissen Mw. drs. C.J.A.M. de Roos Mw. drs. E.R. Fischer

## Support on the methodology and advice

The Trimbos Institute is the national knowledge institute for mental health care, addiction and social care. The Trimbos Institute seeks to enhance quality of life by engaging in the development and application of knowledge about mental health, addiction and associated physical illnesses.

## **A: PUBLIC PART**

## 1 Introduction to the public part

In the Netherlands, after shocking events such as disasters and major catastrophes, early psychosocial interventions are offered. These interventions are also referred to as acute psychological trauma support. Individual (BIG-registered) relief workers (workers who are registered under the Dutch Individual Health Care Professions Act), non-profit organisations and also private organisations are engaged in providing this type of relief.

There is increasing demand for early psychosocial interventions. Disasters in the Netherlands, such as the Bijlmer aviation disaster, the Enschede firework disaster and the café fire in Volendam have shown that the psychosocial effects and the health effects of a disaster last longer than was initially thought, sometimes even for years. So psychosocial care is essential, but it must be offered in accordance with the latest practices that have been shown to be effective.

On various points there is a discrepancy between the results of scientific studies into the effectiveness of early psychosocial interventions and what actually happens in practice. In the support that is provided interventions are carried out that have never been studied scientifically or which studies have shown not to be effective and suitable.

There is a debate about the time when early psychosocial interventions should take place, who can carry them out to greatest effect, and what methods should be followed. What form early psychosocial interventions take, how they are carried out and any follow-up that may be involved depends on the views of organisations, individual relief workers and sometimes on commercial interests. The result of this is that this form of aftercare is given in many different ways, and that often those who are affected are not always given the best ('state of the art') care.

The professional community wants clarity and unambiguousness. This evidence-based multidisciplinary guideline on early psychosocial interventions has been developed as a response. This guideline is a means for providing help with which in accordance with the latest insights from science and based on (systematically collected) practical experience, answers are given to the question of what to do, and what not to do, in the first six weeks after a disaster, terrorism or other shocking event.

## Aim and target group

The guideline on early psychosocial interventions gives recommendations for helping people who are involved in carrying out early psychosocial interventions in the first six weeks after disasters, terrorism and other shocking events, namely events of a sudden, unexpected and violent nature. These include events that cause actual death or the threat of death or serious injury, or that pose a threat to the physical integrity of those affected or of others.

The person who is affected experiences reactions of intense anxiety, helplessness or horror (DSM-IV-TR, APA 2000).

The guideline has been developed largely for major disasters (including terrorist attacks), primarily using literature on smaller-scale events. The study group has taken as its starting point the view that this literature can be used for this guideline, given the comparability of the effects of small-scale incidents and large-scale events and the absence of studies to show the opposite.

Based on the results of scientific studies, knowledge from experience and other considerations, the

guideline gives an overview of good ('optimum') ways to act in order to guarantee high-quality psychosocial care. With this, the guideline gives a direction as to what (question-led) early psychosocial interventions can involve in the first six weeks. The guideline can also be used for developing (further) legally required disaster and incident protocols for places where large groups of people are accommodated, for example care establishments, schools, sports facilities or prisons.

## Study group

A multidisciplinary study group was assembled to develop the guideline. In total, 21 members represented the 5 professional associations under the BIG Act and 13 other associations and organisations that are involved with early psychosocial interventions. For a full list of the members of the study group, the associations and organisations they represent and the other people who assisted with the guideline, see Appendix 1. In total the study group, under the chairmanship of Prof. dr. C. Spreeuwenberg, met 8 times over a period of 18 months.

Separate consultations with professionals involved in support work and those affected by disasters took place on one occasion (Appendix 3). At these meetings the draft guideline was presented and discussed.

The draft guideline was submitted by the members of the study group to their colleagues for comments and approval. After the final comments had been incorporated and authorisation given by the professional associations, the definitive guideline was adopted.

#### Method

The guideline has been developed in accordance with the methods of multidisciplinary guideline development in mental health care and under the auspices of the National Steering Committee on Multidisciplinary Guideline Development in Mental Health Care. The guideline has been developed on the basis of starting questions (Appendix 2). To answer these questions an extensive systematic literature search was carried out. On the basis of the results of scientific studies ('evidence') along with other considerations on the part of the members of the study group, 'evidence-based' conclusions have been drawn and recommendations made.

Regarding the scientific literature that is available and was consulted, it should be noted that this concentrates for the most part on post-traumatic stress disorder. The study group emphasises that post-traumatic stress disorder is indeed a disorder that occurs after disasters, terrorism and other shocking events, but that there are various other disorders that are not discussed as much in the literature and which in practice are also important and occur frequently. These include depressive disorders, anxiety disorders, complaints for which there is no physical explanation and drug abuse.

#### Topicality and revision

In 2010 at the latest, in consultation with the National Steering Committee on Multidisciplinary Guideline Development in Mental Health Care, Impact will decide whether this guideline is still up-to-date. If necessary, a new study group will be set up to revise the guideline. The guideline will become invalid earlier than this if due to new developments work needs to be started on revising the guideline at an earlier stage.

## 2 Guideline text, public part

## The aim of early psychosocial interventions

After disasters, terrorist attacks and other shocking events, most people who have been affected recover by themselves. But a considerable number of people who have been affected develop long-term disaster-related mental health problems and/or mental disorders. Early psychosocial interventions are given in order to ensure the wellbeing of those affected and to prevent psychopathology. The study group recommends that early psychosocial interventions should achieve the following aims:

- Promote natural recovery and the use of natural sources of help;
- Identify those affected who need acute psychological help;
- As necessary, refer and as necessary treat those affected who need acute psychological help.

#### **Screening**

Screening is the term used when a specific investigation method is offered on a large scale to the population for tracing certain conditions at an early stage. Based on the current situation, the study group does not recommend the early tracing of those affected who have a high risk of a post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD). At present, screening on the basis of the diagnosis of acute stress disorder and PTSD questionnaires is not considered to be beneficial. This is because there is insufficient evidence for tracing latent problems and early symptoms in the first six weeks. In many cases, stress reactions in this period are 'normal reactions to abnormal events'. Also, there is not agreement in the literature about the value of the diagnosis of acute stress disorder (ASD) in the first month after a shocking event as being a predictor of a post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) at a later stage. Further studies are needed into the effectiveness of population-wide screening after a traumatic event. Any negative effects and the most appropriate time for screening to be carried out need to be investigated before a questionnaire is used on a large scale for the purpose of population-wide screening. Research also needs to be carried out into the effectiveness of repeating screening in the first six weeks after a disaster, terrorist attack or other shocking event.

Further research is needed into the usability of screening instruments based on risk factors for the early tracing of those affected with a high risk of developing trauma-related mental disorders. The study group recommends that screening instruments that are not in Dutch (usually English) should be translated and then validated among those affected who are Dutch-speakers. The study group does however consider that screening for trauma-related problems that takes place later than six weeks after a collective shocking event may indeed be useful, but this does not fall within the scope of this guideline. The finding that screening in the first six weeks is not advisable does not however take away from the fact that in this period there should be such (individual) identification of people who are affected who need psychological help. In the case of mental disorders, proper diagnosis and treatment must be provided. Information about children must be gathered both from the child and also from the child's parents/carers.

## A supportive context

People who are affected by disasters, terrorism or major catastrophes must be able to obtain support. The study group recommends that after a disaster, terrorism or other shocking event a supportive context is given. As soon as those affected have been brought to safety, the priority is to provide a listening ear, support and solace and being open to the immediate practical needs of those affected; offering factual and up-to-date information about the shocking event; mobilising support from their own social sphere; facilitating reuniting with people closest to them and keeping families together; and reassuring those affected who display normal stress reactions. This is likely to promote the powers of recovery of those affected. A supportive context must be available and accessible all the time in the period to which this guideline relates – the first six weeks. It forms the basis from which early psychosocial interventions are carried out.

## Preventive early psychosocial interventions

## Information

The study group recommends that after a disaster, terrorism or other shocking event, information is offered to all those affected.

The information is brief, basic and contributes to those affected regaining control and promotes their ability to cope for themselves. This information contains the following 3 components:

A reassuring explanation about normal reactions;

- Explaining when to seek help;
- Advising the person affected to get on with the daily routine.

The study group does not recommend offering preventive psychoeducation, in the form of structured (often repeated) information and training to those affected and the members of their family with the aim of achieving a change in the trauma-related behaviour.

To enable this information to run smoothly, the study group recommends that this be given a fixed place in the various plans. If children are involved in a disaster, information should be expressed in a way that is specifically geared to children's level of understanding and experience.

#### Triage

As well as providing information, in the acute phase trained volunteers, preliminary and primary relief workers, with secondary mental health care in the background, have a role in the area of psychological triage. This is above all a matter of identifying those affected who have mental disorders and/or serious clinical symptoms requiring diagnosis and/or treatment. With psychological triage, this must be based on the clinical judgement of volunteers and professionals at preliminary, primary, secondary and tertiary level, and also on the judgement of the person affected himself. Thorough basic training of volunteers and professionals is necessary for this. They need to know that most people affected will display some form of stress reactions. These are normal reactions. For GPs, the standards of the Dutch College of General Practitioners (NHG) for anxiety disorders (NHG 2004) and for depression (NHG 2003) can provide help for identifying and diagnosing problems. Psychological triage can also take place during the further period to which this guideline relates (the first six weeks after a shocking event), for example when a person who has been affected consults a care giver. The study group is of the opinion that with psychological triage a distinction must be made between:

- Those affected who do not have mental disorders and/or serious clinical symptoms. This is the
  largest group of those affected. Most recover within a foreseeable time on their own and in the first
  six weeks if necessary they only need to be reassured and be given a small amount of
  information.
- Those affected in whom it is thought they may have mental disorders and/or serious clinical symptoms. A small amount of information also needs to be given to this group. In addition, the care giver must arrange a follow-up meeting with the person affected.
- Those affected who have mental disorders and/or serious clinical symptoms. There are evident clinical problems in this group and proper diagnosis and/or treatment should be offered straight away.

In general, the study group concludes that professionals, but others as well, such as the partners of those affected, supervisors and schools, should wait in the first 6 weeks and observe those affected closely, unless there are evident clinical problems.

## Psychological debriefing

Psychological debriefing is understood as meaning routine crisis interventions the purpose of which is to prevent and reduce the adverse psychological effects of traumatic events. Psychological debriefing takes many different forms, such as *Critical Incident Stress Debriefing* (CISD). It is often understood as being a one-shot semi-structured intervention.

The study group recommends avoiding one-shot psychological debriefing (including CISD) with the aim of preventing PTSD and other psychiatric problems in those affected. Because there is insufficient evidence of the effect of psychological debriefing in uniformed members of the emergency services, the study group does not recommend psychological debriefing to members of the emergency services either.

For (uniformed) members of the emergency services the study group recommends that relief provided by colleagues (peer support) contributes to a supportive context. It can provide practical and emotional support and can encourage the use of the social support sources of those affected. The study group is of the opinion that the employer should provide counselling (to be carried out by a relief worker or a trained volunteer) if a shocking event takes place at work.

The study group recommends further studies into the effectiveness of CISM, the psychological first-aid module such as developed by the NCPTSD and a structured relief protocol for children.

## Collective early psychosocial interventions

Collective early psychosocial interventions relate to the population affected or parts thereof. Without being a complete list, a number of examples of collective interventions are: a single office function such as an Information and Advice Centre (IAC) or a virtual Centre for Information and Referrals,

reporting in the media, a platform for those affected, a specialist mental health care team, health assessment surveys and the government policy that is to be implemented.

Strictly speaking, these fall outside the scope of this guideline. The study group recommends however that collective early interventions form an essential part of the integrated package of post-disaster psychosocial care. The use of these interventions should therefore be ensured. The study group further recommends that in the first six weeks after a disaster a good support system should be put in place and that information be given a fixed place in the various policy plans.

## Curative early psychosocial interventions

If there are serious clinical symptoms or mental disorders, as defined in DSM-IV-TR, professionals have a range of interventions available to them. The most commonly occurring conditions after disasters that require treatment are anxiety disorders, depressive disorders, problems for which there is no physical explanation, acute stress disorder, post-traumatic stress disorder, difficulties in adjusting and drug abuse. If ethnic minorities are affected by a disaster, the starting point is to approach these groups as regularly as possible and as culture-specifically as necessary.

## Acute stress disorder and serious symptoms of post-traumatic stress disorder

The study group recommends trauma-focused CBT as an effective method for the treatment of those affected who have an acute stress disorder or serious symptoms of acute post-traumatic stress disorder in the first month after a shocking experience. Relaxation may be offered as part of a cognitive behavioural therapy treatment, but is not useful as an intervention (non-trauma-focused) on its own.

The study group is of the opinion that further research into the value of EMDR as a curative early intervention is desirable.

The study group recommends that trauma-focused cognitive behavioural therapy be considered in children with serious symptoms of PTSD in the first month after a shocking event. The study group is of the opinion that further research into the value of EMDR as a curative early intervention in children is desirable.

## Other psychological disorders

For the treatment of an anxiety disorder or a depressive disorder, the study group refers to the multidisciplinary guidelines for anxiety disorders and depression respectively (from 2003 and 2005). A guideline for somatoform complaints and disorders is being developed. For the treatment of other psychological disorders, see the disorder-specific guides, protocols and meta-analyses.

## Pharmacotherapy

The study group recommends that in the event of sleeping disorders as a result of a trauma, pharmacotherapy can be considered. For any drug treatment for sleeping disorders, depressive disorders or anxiety disorders, the study group refers the reader to the existing guidelines.

## Organisation

Early psychosocial interventions after disasters, terrorism and other catastrophes must take place within the legal framework established for this. The starting points are that the care must be offered as regularly as possible and that there is integrated psychosocial care. The legal frameworks that apply to disasters and major accidents fall outside the scope of this guideline. For more information, see the Disasters and Serious Accidents Act (WRZO), the Law on Medical Help in Disasters (WGHOR), the Disaster Response Improvement Act and the Public Health Collective Prevention Act (WCPV). In order that this guideline may be used to best effect in practice, the study group recommends the profession-specific implementation of this generic multidisciplinary guideline.

## 3 Overview of recommendations

The study group recommends that early psychosocial interventions should achieve the following aims:

- Promote natural recovery and the use of natural sources of help;
- Identify those affected who need acute psychological help;
- As necessary, refer and as necessary treat those affected who need acute psychological help.
- 1. As yet the study group does not recommend the early tracing of those affected who have a high risk of a post-traumatic stress disorder using PTSD questionnaires.
- The study group recommends that screening instruments that are not in Dutch (usually English) should be translated and then validated among those affected who are Dutch-speakers.
   Validation must be carried out in Dutch populations of those affected by disasters, terrorism or other shocking events.
- 3. The study group recommends that in the case of pre-existing mental disorders proper diagnosis and treatment should be carried out.
- 4. The study group does not recommend early tracing of those affected who have a high risk of post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) using acute stress disorder (ASD) as a predictor. The study group does however recommend planning a follow-up meeting for further observation.
- 5. The study group recommends further studies in populations of those affected by disasters, terrorist attacks or other shocking events into the usability of screening instruments that are based on risk factors.
- 6. The study group recommends that further studies be carried out into the effectiveness of population-wide screening after traumatic events.
- 7. The study group recommends that if after a shocking event it is decided to screen children and adolescents for symptoms of ASD, information should be gathered both from the child and the parents/carers.
- 8. The study group recommends further studies into the most appropriate time for screening after a disaster, terrorist attack or other shocking event.
- 9. The study group recommends further studies into the potentially negative effects of screening of victims of disasters, terrorist attacks and other stressful life events.
- 10. The study group recommends that after a disaster, terrorism or other shocking event a supportive context is offered that consists of:
  - Offering a listening ear, support and solace and being open to the immediate practical needs of those affected;
  - Offering factual and up-to-date information about the shocking event;
  - Mobilising social support from their own social surroundings;
  - Facilitating reuniting with people closest to them and keeping families together;
  - Reassuring those affected who display normal stress reactions.
- 11. The study group recommends that after a disaster, terrorism or other shocking event, information is offered to all those affected.

Information should consist of:

- A reassuring explanation about normal reactions;
- · Saying when to seek help;
- Advising those affected to tackle the daily routine.
- 12. The study group does not recommend offering preventive psycho-education.
- 13. The study group recommends that in the acute phase trained volunteers, preliminary and primary relief workers, with secondary mental health care in the background, have a role in the

area of psychological triage. This is above all a matter of identifying those affected who have mental disorders and/or serious clinical symptoms requiring diagnosis and/or treatment. Psychological triage often takes place in the acute phase, but can also take place during the further period to which this guideline relates (the first 6 weeks), for example when a person who has been affected consults a care giver.

- 14. The study group recommends that with psychological triage a distinction must be made between:
  - Those affected who do not have mental disorders and/or serious clinical symptoms. This is the largest group of those affected. Most recover within a foreseeable time on their own and in the first six weeks if necessary they only need to be reassured and be given a small amount of information.
  - Those affected in whom it is thought they may have mental disorders and/or serious clinical symptoms. A small amount of information also needs to be given to this group. In addition, the care giver must arrange a follow-up meeting with the person affected.
  - Those affected who have mental disorders and/or serious clinical symptoms. There are
    evident clinical problems in this group and proper diagnosis and/or treatment should be
    offered straight away.
- 15. The study group recommends avoiding one-shot psychological debriefing (including CISD) with the aim of preventing PTSD and other psychological problems in those affected.
- The study group also does not recommend psychological debriefing (including CISD) for members of the emergency services.
- 17. The study group recommends that support from colleagues (peer support) contributes to a supportive context. It can provide practical and emotional support and can encourage the use of the social sources of support of the person affected.
- 18. The study recommends not offering CISM to a wide population.
- 19. The study group recommends further studies into the effectiveness of CISM.
- 20. The study group recommends further studies into the effectiveness of the psychological first aid module.
- 21. The study group recommends avoiding one-shot psychological debriefing (including CISD) with the aim of preventing PTSD and other psychological problems in those affected.
- 22. The study group recommends further studies into the effectiveness of a structured relief protocol as a preventive intervention for children.
- 23. The study group recommends treatment with trauma-focused cognitive behavioural therapy (CBT) for those affected who have an acute stress disorder (ASD) or severe symptoms of post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) in the first month after a shocking experience.
- 24. The study group recommends that relaxation should be offered only as part of CBT, not as a (non-trauma-focused) intervention on its own.
- 25. The study group recommends further studies into the effectiveness of EMDR as a curative early intervention in the first six weeks after stressful life events.
- 26. The study group recommends that in the event of sleep disorders as a result of the trauma, pharmacotherapy may be considered. For any drug treatment for sleep disorders, depressive disorders or anxiety disorders, the study group refers the reader to the existing guidelines.
- 27. The study group recommends that the employer should offer counselling (to be carried out by a relief worker or trained volunteer) if a shocking event takes place at work.

- 28. The study group recommends treatment with trauma-focused cognitive behavioural therapy (CBT) for children, more than 7 years of age, with severe symptoms of acute post-traumatic stress and/or an acute stress disorder in the first month after a shocking event.
- 29. The study group recommends further studies into the effectiveness of EMDR as an early curative intervention in children.
- 30. The study group recommends further studies into pharmacological interventions in children.
- 31. The study group recommends approaching ethnic minorities as regularly as possible and as culture-specifically as necessary. In the opinion of the study group culture-specific elements may consist of giving information in their mother tongue and involving key figures from ethnic minority groups.
- 32. The study group recommends that early psychosocial interventions should be carried out by people who are trained/have been given special instruction.
- 33. The study group recommends that collective early interventions form an essential part of the integrated package of post-disaster psychosocial care. The use of these interventions should therefore be ensured.
- 34. The study group recommends that in the first six weeks after a disaster a good support system should be set up.
- The study group recommends that information is given a fixed place in the various policy plans in order for this to run smoothly.
- 36. The study group recommends the profession-specific implementation of this generic multidisciplinary guideline.

**B: SCIENTIFIC PART** 

## 1 Introduction

In the Netherlands, after shocking events such as disasters and major catastrophes, early psychosocial interventions are offered. These interventions are also referred to as acute psychological trauma support. Individual (BIG-registered) relief workers, non-profit organisations and also private organisations are engaged in providing this type of relief.

There is increasing demand for early psychosocial interventions. Disasters in the Netherlands, such as the Bijlmer aviation disaster, the Enschede firework disaster and the café fire in Volendam have shown that the psychosocial effects and the health effects of a disaster last longer than was initially thought, sometimes even for years (Gersons et al 2004).

So psychosocial care is essential, but it must be offered in accordance with the latest practices that have been shown to be effective.

On various points there is a discrepancy between the results of scientific studies into the effectiveness of early psychosocial interventions and what actually happens in practice. In the support that is provided interventions are carried out that have never been studied scientifically or which studies have shown not to be effective and suitable.

There is a debate about the time when early psychosocial interventions should take place, who can carry them out to greatest effect, and what methods should be followed. What form early psychosocial interventions take, how they are carried out and any follow-up that may be involved depends on the views of organisations, individual relief workers and sometimes on commercial interests. The result of this is that this form of aftercare is given in many different ways, and that often those who are affected are not always given the best ('state of the art') care.

The professional community wants clarity and unambiguousness. This evidence-based multidisciplinary guideline on early psychosocial interventions has been developed as a response. This is a means for providing help with which in accordance with the latest insights from science and based on (systematically collected) practical experience, an insight is given into the *do's* and *don'ts* of psychosocial interventions in the first six weeks after disasters, terrorism and other shocking events.

## 1.1 Aim and target group

The guideline on early psychosocial interventions gives recommendations for helping people who are in any way involved in carrying out early psychosocial interventions in the first six weeks after disasters, terrorism and other shocking events, namely events of a sudden, unexpected and violent nature.

These include events that cause actual death or the threat of death or serious injury, or that pose a threat to the physical integrity of those affected or of others. The person who is affected experiences reactions of intense anxiety, helplessness or horror (DSM-IV-TR, APA 2000). A period of time of 6 weeks after the shocking event has been chosen. Firstly this is because early interventions are often offered in this period, and secondly because in this period most stress reactions become less marked usually 'by themselves'.

The guideline has been developed largely for major disasters (including terrorist attacks), primarily using literature on smaller-scale events. The study group has taken as its starting point the view that this literature can be used for this guideline, given the comparability of the effects of small-scale incidents and large-scale events and the absence of studies to show the opposite.

## 1.2 Study group

A multidisciplinary study group was assembled to develop the guideline. In total, 21 members represented the 5 professional associations under the BIG Act and the 13 other associations and organisations that are involved with early psychosocial interventions. For a full list of the members of the study group, the associations and organisations they represent and the other people who assisted with the guideline, see Appendix 1. In total the study group, under the chairmanship of Prof. dr. C. Spreeuwenberg, met 8 times over a period of 18 months.

Separate consultations with professionals involved in support work and those affected by disasters took place on one occasion (Appendix 3). At these meetings the draft guideline was presented and discussed.

The draft guideline was submitted by the members of the study group to their colleagues for comments and approval. After the final comments had been incorporated and authorisation given by the professional associations, the definitive guideline was adopted.

## 1.3 Scientific basis of the recommendations

The guideline has been developed in accordance with the methods of multidisciplinary guideline development in mental health care and under the auspices of the National Steering Committee on Multidisciplinary Guideline Development in Mental Health Care. The guideline has been developed on the basis of starting questions (Appendix 2) that are based on the pressure points that are experienced in post-disaster care. So the guideline is not a textbook containing as much knowledge as possible that is available about a subject, but a document with practical recommendations for dealing with what are pressure points in practice.

To answer the starting questions, working with the Dutch Institute for Healthcare Improvement (CBO), information specialists from the Trimbos Institute looked for relevant research findings by carrying out systematic searches. The researchers looked for publications from the period 1995 to 2006 (supplemented by 'key articles' from before 1995).

The following sources of information were used to look for publications:

- Guidelines: National Guidelines Clearinghouse and Guideline International Network.
- Systematic reviews: The *Cochrane database* of 'systematic reviews' of the *Cochrane Library* up to 2006.
  - Systematic reviews + original research with a high level of evidence: *Medline (PubMed), PsycINFO* and *Pilots*.

The primary search was for existing (foreign) evidence-based guidelines for early post-disaster psychosocial interventions, and systematic reviews or meta-analyses. For starting questions that have not been discussed either in guidelines or systematic reviews, as much as possible the search was limited to original research with a high level of evidence (controlled trials and prospective cohort studies). Search terms such as the following were used: 'disasters', 'terrorism', 'acute posttraumatic stress', 'acute psychological interventions', 'crisis care', 'brief interventions', 'debriefing'. In addition, relevant articles from the bibliographies of selected articles were obtained.

The guidelines that were found were assessed for the quality of the methodology by the advisers at the Trimbos Institute using the AGREE instrument (www.agreecollaboration.org). Only the guidelines that were satisfactory in this respect have been used for this guideline. These include:

- NICE 2005: Anxiety: Post-traumatic Stress Disorder. Anxiety: Management of post-traumatic stress disorder in adults and children in primary and secondary care.
- American Psychiatric Association 2004: Practice Guideline for the treatment of patients with acute stress disorder and posttraumatic stress disorder.

The quality of the articles that were used was assessed with the checklists relevant for the type of study in question, which are based on checklists of the EBRO platform (evidence-based guideline development) (Guide for members of study groups, Dutch Institute for Healthcare Improvement, 2005 [Handleiding voor werkgroepleden CBO 2005]. They were then graded in terms of the quality of the methodology. The classification used is that shown in Table 1.

On the basis of the results of scientific studies, along with other considerations on the part of the members of the study group and knowledge of the experiences of those affected, 'evidence-based' conclusions have been drawn and recommendations made. Unfortunately it has not been possible to answer a number of the starting questions due to the lack of unanimous evidence and conflicting opinions within the study group. To answer these starting questions, the study group has made recommendations for further research to be carried out.

The study group notes that the scientific literature that is available and was consulted concentrates for the most part on post-traumatic stress disorder and emphasises that post-traumatic stress disorder is indeed a disorder that occurs after disasters, terrorism and other shocking events, but that there are various other disorders that are not discussed as much in the literature and which in practice are also important and occur frequently. These include depressive disorders, anxiety disorders, complaints for which there is no physical explanation and drug abuse.

Table 1.1 Classification of the methodological quality of individual studies

	Intervention	Diagnostic accuracy of the study	Damage or side-effects, etiology, prognosis
Λ1	Customatic review of at least	thus studies of AO level corrie	d out independently of each other
A1 A2	Randomised, double-blind comparative clinical study of good quality of sufficient size	Study in relation to a reference test (a 'gold standard') with previously defined cut-off points and an independent assessment of the results of the test and gold standard, relating to a sufficiently large series of consecutive patients who have all had the index and reference test	Prospective cohort study of sufficient size and follow-up in which there has been adequate checking for 'confounding' and selective follow-up has been sufficiently ruled out
В	Comparative study, but not with all the characteristics as stated under A2 (this also includes patient-control studies, cohort studies)	Study in relation to a reference test, but not with all the characteristics that are stated under A2	Prospective cohort study, but not with all the characteristics as stated under A2 or retrospective cohort study or patient control study
С	Non-comparative study		
D	Expert opinion		

The study group finds that this classification of methodological quality is not entirely applicable to studies into psychological interventions because these cannot be carried out double-blind. The study group decided therefore to give the A level to the single-blind RCTs in this guideline.

## Level of evidence force of conclusions

Table 1.2 Level of evidence of conclusions

Conclusion	Based on
1	Level A1 study or at least 2 level A2 studies carried out independently of each other
2	1 level A2 study or at least 2 level B studies carried out independently of each other
3	1 level B or C study
4	Expert opinion

The assessment of the various articles is to be found in the various texts under the heading 'scientific basis'. The scientific evidence is then summarised briefly in a conclusion. The main items of literature on which this conclusion is based are given in the conclusion, including the 'level of evidence'. In order to arrive at a recommendation, as well as the scientific evidence often other aspects are also important, for example: the preferences of those affected, cost, availability (in various scales) and organisational aspects. These aspects are discussed under the heading 'other considerations'. The recommendation is the result of the available evidence and the other considerations. Following this procedure increases the transparency of the guideline and increases clarity for the user of the guideline.

## 1.4 Legal status of the guideline

Guidelines are not statutory regulations, but as much as possible are evidence-based insights and recommendations from which care givers, policy-makers and advisers on the contents of care can draw knowledge in order to provide and ensure high-quality care. Care givers can, if they feel it to be necessary, on the basis of their professional autonomy deviate from the guideline. If the situation requires it, it is even necessary to deviate from guidelines. If the guideline is deviated from, care givers must provide an argument for doing so and document this.

## 1.5 Revision

In 2010 at the latest, in consultation with the National Steering Committee on Multidisciplinary Guideline Development in Mental Health Care, Impact will decide whether this guideline is still up-to-date. If necessary, a new study group will be set up to revise the guideline. The guideline will become invalid earlier than this if due to new developments work needs to be started on revising the guideline at an earlier stage.

## 2 Aim of early psychosocial interventions

This chapter deals with the question of with what aim early psychosocial interventions should be carried out after a disaster, terrorist attack or other shocking event. The questions that are answered in this respect are:

- Are aims described for carrying out interventions?
- If yes, with what aim(s) should early interventions be carried out?

#### Scientific basis

No scientific studies have been carried out into the correctness of different aims of early interventions.

## Other considerations

After disasters, terrorist attacks and other shocking events, most people who have been affected recover by themselves. But a considerable number of people who have been affected develop long-term disaster-related mental health problems and/or mental disorders (Norris et al 2002). The risk of developing PTSD after a stressful life event varies from about 2% after a traffic accident to about 54% after a hijack or abduction with violence (Breslau et al 1998).

There is a consensus that early psychosocial interventions should be given in order to ensure the mental wellbeing of those affected and to prevent psychopathology. In more specific terms, there is a consensus that early psychosocial interventions should achieve the following aims:

- Promote natural recovery and the use of natural sources of help;
- Identify those affected who need acute psychological help;
- As necessary, refer and as necessary treat those affected who need acute psychological help.

#### Recommendation

The study group recommends that early psychosocial interventions should achieve the following aims:

- Promote natural recovery and the use of natural sources of help;
- Identify those affected who need acute psychological help;
- As necessary, refer and as necessary treat those affected who need acute psychological help.

## 3 Screening

Screening, or population screening, is the term used when a specific method of investigation is offered on a large scale to the population for tracing certain conditions at an early stage. This chapter deals with the tracing of those affected who have a high risk of developing trauma-related psychological problems. Also, the time of screening, the repeating of screening and the setting in which screening may take place is discussed on the basis of the following starting questions:

- Are there screening instruments or other methods for tracing people who have a high risk of developing problems in the first six weeks after disasters, terrorism and other shocking events? If so, which ones?
- How effective and suitable are these screening instruments?
- Are negative effects known of screening in the first six weeks?
- When should screening be carried out?
- Should the screening be repeated?
- Are there screening instruments or other methods that can be used specifically for certain types of shocking events? If so, which ones?
- Are there screening instruments or other methods that can be used specifically for certain risk groups? If so, which ones?

Before dealing with screening, it is useful to explain the difference between screening (Chapter 3), triage (Chapter 5) and identifying. Screening is looking specifically in a fully defined target population for an illness that has not yet manifested itself or risk factors for an illness. Triage is identifying those people in a disaster situation who need acute care. Finally, identifying is a wider term that aims at being alert to the possible presence of an illness, without specifically examining an entire target population for this.

## 3.1 Early identification of those affected who have a high risk of psychological disorders at a later stage

In the guideline, early identification of those affected who have a high risk of psychological disorders at a later stage is if this takes place within the first 6 weeks after a disaster, a terrorist attack or other shocking event.

Various types of early tracing are described in the literature: on the basis of screening instruments for early symptoms of a post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD), screening for an acute stress disorder (ASD), and screening for (combinations of) risk factors for the development of psychological problems after a trauma.

#### 3.1.1 Screening for early symptoms of Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD)

First of all this guideline looks at the question of whether early identification of those affected who have a high risk of PTSD, using questionnaires based on PTSD symptoms, is to be recommended.

#### Scientific basis

In a number of studies the diagnostic accuracy of screening for early symptoms of PTSD as a predictor for the development of chronic PTSD was studied. Shalev et al (1997) interviewed those affected by various traumatic events who reported at an Accident and Emergency department with physical injuries and had them fill in a number of questionnaires 1 week and 4 weeks after the trauma: the Impact of Event Scale (IES), the Spielberger's State Anxiety (STAI), the Peritraumatic Dissociative Experiences Questionnaire (PDEQ) and the Mississippi Rating Scale for Combat-related PTSD. civilian version (MISS). The results showed that all scales performed better than chance in the predicting of PTSD (at 4 months after the trauma). The overall diagnostic accuracy of these questionnaires varied between 72% and 81%. No differences were found in taking the questionnaire at 1 week and 1 month after the traumatic event in respect of the predicting of later PTSD. Brewin et al (2002) found in a non-comparative study that their 10-item Trauma Screening Questionnaire (TSQ), if this was taken on average 3 weeks after a traumatic event, can predict well a questionnaire diagnosis of PTSD 3 months after the traumatic event. At a cut-off point of at least 6 intrusion or avoidance symptoms in a random combination, in this sample of 157 victims of a crime the TSQ had an overall efficiency of 90%, a sensitivity of 0.76 and a specificity of 0.97. Only one study was found in which screening instruments for predicting symptoms other than PTSD were evaluated. Silove et al (2003) investigated the predictive value of 4 recognised screening

instruments for psychiatric symptoms (taken within 2 weeks after a traffic accident) (*Impact of Events Scale* (IES), *Beck Depression Inventory* (BDI), *Beck Anxiety Inventory* (BAI) and the *General Health Questionnaire* (GHQ)) for predicting long-term problems (18 months) after traffic accidents. Although the authors found a high predictive value in a combination of 2 screening questionnaires (the IES with the BDI), they state that these results must be interpreted as being very provisional/tentative. They recommend follow-up studies with a large study population, using the optimum cut-off points found by them and a fixed time for taking the screening questionnaires after the shocking event. As far as we are aware, no studies have been published in which Dutch-language screening questionnaires for symptoms of PTSD have been validated, which were also taken soon after a stressful life event.

## Conclusion

Level 2	There are indications that those affected who have a high risk of developing chronic PTSD within a few weeks after the traumatic event can be identified with the aid of questionnaires based on PTSD symptoms.	
	B Shalev et al 1997; Silove et al 2003; C Brewin et al 2002	

#### Other considerations

So far the above-mentioned instruments have not been validated in Dutch populations of people affected by disasters, terrorist attacks or other shocking events.

The studies that to date have investigated the predictive value of screening in the acute phase after a shocking event all took place in a 'secondary' setting, whereby those affected reported to a police station or were admitted to hospital with a physical injury.

The study group considers that screening for trauma-related problems that takes place later than six weeks after a collective shocking event may well be beneficial, but this falls outside the scope of this guideline. The study group makes a distinction between screening for existing mental disorders and predicting the risk of mental disorders by using prognostic screening. The study group is of the opinion that if there are existing mental disorders, these require proper screening, diagnosis and treatment.

## Recommendation

As yet the study group does not recommend the early tracing of those affected who have a high risk of a post-traumatic stress disorder using PTSD questionnaires.

The study group recommends that screening instruments that are not in Dutch (usually English) should be translated and then validated among those affected who are Dutch-speakers. Validation must be carried out in Dutch populations of those affected by disasters, terrorism or other shocking events.

The study group recommends that in the case of pre-existing mental disorders proper diagnosis and treatment should be carried out.

## 3.1.2 Screening for acute stress disorder (ASD), as a predictor of PTSD

The diagnosis of acute stress disorder (ASD) was added to the DSM-IV (APA, 2000) to give a place to reactions of extreme stress in the first few weeks after a traumatic event, in view of the fact that the diagnosis of post-traumatic stress disorder can be made only four weeks after the traumatic event. The diagnosis of ASD on the other hand can be made if the disorder persists for at least two days and at most four weeks and occurs within four weeks after the traumatic event (APA, 2000). ASD is characterised, just like PTSD, by intrusions of the event, the avoiding of stimuli that are associated with the event or numbing of general reactivity and increased irritability. Unlike PTSD, the diagnosis can only be made if the person affected has experienced a number of dissociative symptoms at the time of or straight after the traumatic event, such as a numb or detached feeling or the feeling of standing apart from other people or oneself (depersonalisation). Although ASD is a separate diagnosis

in the DSM-IV and treatment methods have been developed for this disorder (see Chapter 6 Curative early psychosocial interventions), also a great deal of research has been carried out into the accuracy of ASD as a screener for the development of later PTSD (NICE, 2005).

## Scientific basis

Bryant (2003a) wrote a review of ten prospective studies in which ASD was measured in order to establish whether it is a good predictor of chronic PTSD. The percentage of people with ASD who developed PTSD later varied from 30-83% after 6 months, and the percentage of those affected with PTSD after 6 months who had symptoms of ASD earlier varied from 10-61% (see Figure 1). He concluded that the diagnosis of ASD does not have sufficient predictive value and argued that biological and cognitive factors straight after a trauma are more accurate predictors of chronic PTSD.

Table 3.1 Predictive studies on acute stress disorder from the review by Bryant (2003a)

Type of trauma	Study	Percentage of people with ASD who developed PTSD	Percentage of people with PTSD who had ASD
Traffic accident	Harvey & Bryant (1998)	78%	39%
Brain damage	Bryant & Harvey (1998)	83%	40%
Violence	Brewin et al (1999)	83%	57%
Traffic accident	Holeva et al (2001)	72%	59%
Traffic accident	Creamer et al (2004)	30%	34%
Traffic accident	Schnyder et al (2001)	34%	10%
Typhoon	Staab et al (1996)	30%	37%
Cancer	Kangas et al (2005)	53%	61%
Traffic accident	Harvey & Bryant (1999)	82%	29%
Brain damage	Harvey & Bryant (2000)	80%	72%

Murray et al (2002) had victims of road traffic accidents fill in questionnaires (the *Posttraumatic Diagnostic Scale* (PDS) and the *State Dissociation Questionnaire* (SDQ)) within 48 hours after an accident and 1 and 6 months afterwards in order to measure ASD and PTSD. Also, the investigators examined to what extent in the four weeks after the accident the patients met the DSM-IV criteria for ASD when the measurement was first carried out. The authors report that 77% of the patients who meet all the criteria for ASD in the first 4 weeks after the trauma also met the criteria for PTSD 6 months after the accident. The authors do say however that the timing of the establishing of the diagnosis of ASD can be crucial for predicting PTSD later. It is possible that the ASD status may vary during the first few weeks and that more persistent ASD is a better predictor of chronic PTSD than immediate ASD.

#### Conclusion

Level 3	There is insufficient consistent evidence that the presence or absence of the diagnosis of ASD predicts later PTSD.
	C Bryant 2003a

## Other considerations

The study group finds that in practice it transpires that if after a shocking event a care giver observes those affected with dissociative reactions or serious intrusion, avoidance and hyperalertness reactions, they will not always develop PTSD. The study group notes that in such cases, at least however a follow-up meeting should be arranged with the person affected. The purpose of this is continuing observation whereby it will be investigated whether at a later stage PTSD or another disorder develops that needs treatment. Those affected also stress that it is important to keep an eye on those affected for a longer time by monitoring. They reason that: 'It is only later that some people suffer a backlash from the trauma. It is also these people that you need to reach with aftercare.'

#### Recommendation

The study group does not recommend early tracing of those affected who have a high risk of post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) using acute stress disorder (ASD) as a predictor. The study group does however recommend planning a follow-up meeting for further observation.

Screening for other risk factors

This section deals with the question of whether other risk factors (as well as early symptoms of PTSD and ASD) for the development of PTSD can be used as a means for the early identification of those affected who have a high risk of later psychological disorders.

#### Scientific basis

3.1.3

Meanwhile, two major meta-analyses of the influence of the various risk factors for the development of PTSD have appeared (Brewin et al, 2000; Ozer et al, 2003). In both meta-analyses studies were analysed that date from 1980 to 2000. Brewin et al (2000) come to the conclusion that there are three main factors associated with the development of PTSD; the seriousness of the trauma, a lack of social support, other stressors in the life of those affected. Other factors into which there has been a great deal of research and that have less predictive value are a psychiatric history, mistreatment as a child, and a positive history of psychiatric problems in the family.

Ozer et al (2003) come to the conclusion that dissociation at the time of the trauma has the strongest association with the development of chronic PTSD. This factor is closely followed by two other factors: (a lack of) experienced (social) support and a life-threatening situation that has been experienced. Despite a number of methodological objections, these reviews are of good quality and appear to give a representative picture of the literature up to 2000. The factor 'dissociation at the time of the trauma' was not included in the review by Brewin.

Neither of the reviews included other potentially important factors, such as: physical injury, comorbidity, the presence of a claim for compensation. In both reviews a relatively low connection was found between the factors and later PTSD (up to r=0.4). They are in agreement that pre-traumatic factors have less of a connection with later PTSD than peri- and post-traumatic factors. The NICE guideline (2005) describes a number of studies from after 2000 (Holbrook et al, 2001; Mayou et al, 2002; Van Loey et al, 2003) that studied the same factors as in the reviews by Brewin and Ozer. These studies found a positive connection between PTSD and an experienced life-threatening situation, the female gender, dissociation at the time of the traumatic event, a low income, and the presence of claims for compensation. Later qualitative reviews support these findings (McNally 2003, Rose et al 2003).

A number of screening instruments have been developed on the basis of risk factors for PTSD. These are discussed below.

In a Dutch study (Wohlfarth et al, 2002), 128 victims of a crime filled in a questionnaire about risk factors when they reported at the police station. It transpired that a questionnaire diagnosis of PTSD after 3 months could be predicted by 4 dichotomous items with a diagnostic accuracy of 0.06, a sensitivity of 1.00 and a specificity of 0.62.

The dichotomous items on the questionnaire were: being a victim of a violent crime, knowing the perpetrator, experiencing the effects of the crime more seriously than expected, and blaming oneself for the crime.

RISK(10) is a Dutch screening instrument that has been developed for the early detection (and prevention) of long-term 'postvictimization distress' by police officers in victims of violent crimes. The long-term psychological problems are translated among other things into the occurrence of a chronic adjustment disorder or PTSD. The instrument is built up of a selection of 10 risk factors, for which the empirical evidence and theoretical significance has been established before. It consists of a questionnaire of 11 dichotomous questions (yes/no). Winkel et al (2004) studied the predictive value of the RISK(10) for predicting chronic psychological problems in people who reported a crime. They concluded that most RISK(10) components have a significant positive correlation with 'postvictimization distress' 3 months later. Also, various components have a unique value for predicting different forms of adjustment disorders.

The authors say that the predictive capacity of the instrument is comparable with the predictive capacity of the diagnosis of ASD for predicting chronic PTSD, as found by Brewin (1999). They are of

the opinion that the present results provide first evidence that the RISK(10) forms a basis for the developing of *Early Detection and Prevention* programmes (EDP programmes).

The Screening Tool for Early Predictors of PTSD (STEPP) is an English-language 'stand-alone' screening instrument which was developed in 2005, and this has been examined for its ability to identify children with physical injury (and their parents) who have a high risk of developing permanent psychological problems after a shocking event. The instrument consists of 4 dichotomous questions to children, 4 for one of the parents and 4 questions the answer to which can easily be found in the medical files. Winston et al (2003) investigated the predictive value of the STEPP in relation to PTSD and other psychological problems 3 to 13 months after a shocking event (on average after 6.5 months). They used the STEPP instrument as soon as possible after an accident (but definitely within a month) in children and their parents and after 3-13 months PTSD symptoms and other psychological problems were measured with the Clinician-Administered PTSD Scale for Children and Adolescents . (CAPS-CA), the Child Abuse and Trauma Questionnaire (CATS), the Multidimensional Anxiety Scale for Children 10 (MASC-10) and the Children's Depression Inventory - S (CDI-S). Winston et al report that the odds ratio for predicting permanent traumatic stress was 6.5 in children and 2.6 in their parents. They also report that the instrument has high sensitivity and moderate specificity, which in their eyes confirms its role as a screener (instead of a diagnostic test). They also argue that the extremely high negative predictive value suggests that the instrument can be used above all as a triage instrument, for 'screening out' those people who probably have least need of psychological help. So far the STEPP has not been validated in Dutch populations of those affected by disasters, terrorist attacks or other shocking events.

Murray et al (2002) investigated to what extent victims of road traffic accidents displayed signs of direct dissociation (within 48 hours after the accident) and of persisting dissociation (in the first 4 weeks). These signs were measured with the *State Dissociation Questionnaire* (SDQ). The scores on this list (within 48 hours and within 4 weeks) were compared with the severity of the PTSD symptoms after 4 weeks and after 6 months (with the *Posttraumatic Diagnostic Scale* (PDS). They conclude that dissociation at the time of the accident predicts the severity of later PTSD symptoms.

The presence of persisting dissociation 4 weeks after the accident does however predict the severity of PTSD symptoms after 6 months better than dissociation at the time of and immediately after the trauma. They conclude that dissociative symptoms help to predict chronic PTSD better than other clusters of early symptoms of PTSD.

## Conclusion

Level 1	Studies show a number of clear risk factors for PTSD. The predictive value of the different factors is however too small to be used in practice for the early tracing of those affected who have a high risk of PTSD.
	A1 Brewin et al 2000; Ozer et al 2003
Level 3	There are indications that those affected who have a high risk of developing PTSD within a few weeks after the traumatic event can be identified using screening instruments based on predictors of PTSD.
	C Wohlfarth et al 2002; Winkel et al 2004

#### Other considerations

A disadvantage of the use of screening instruments that are compiled on the basis of risk factors other than early symptoms of PTSD is that many of these risk factors do not apply to all types of traumatic events, which makes it difficult to make a generalisation to other populations (Brewin, 2005). In practice, the presence of a number of clear risk factors in individuals who have been affected can however give cause for care givers to be more alert to the possible development of PTSD later. Before these instruments can be used after disasters, terrorist attacks and other shocking events, further validation studies must be carried out in these populations.

## Recommendations

The study group recommends further studies in populations of those affected by disasters, terrorist

attacks or other shocking events into the usability of screening instruments that are based on risk factors.

## 3.2 The setting of screening: population-wide screening

## Scientific basis

As far as we are aware, hitherto no scientific studies have been carried out into the effectiveness of population-wide screening after traumatic events.

#### Conclusion

Level 4	As far as we are aware, hitherto no scientific studies have been carried out into the
	effectiveness of population-wide screening after traumatic events.

#### Other considerations

Although no scientific studies have been found that have investigated the effectiveness of screening after traumatic events, various authors give their opinion of the value of population-wide screening. According to Rose et al (2005) and McNally et al (2003), it seems to be appropriate to continue to focus on means of identifying (and treating) people who are very likely to develop chronic disorders. More emphasis needs to be placed on the early identification of people who have a high risk of developing psychopathology and early interventions must be aimed at this group (Rose et al 2005). The NICE guideline for PTSD states that the use of screening programmes and/or validated screening instruments must be developed.

In the Population Screening Act, drawn up by the Health Council of the Netherlands (www.gr.nl), criteria have been drawn up for whether large-scale screening programmes can be carried out. A consent can be given only if:

- the screening is scientifically valid;
- the screening is in line with statutory regulations governing medical practice;
- the expected benefit from the population screening outweighs the risks of the study for the health of the people who are to be screened.

In the case of population screening for serious diseases or abnormalities which can neither be treated nor prevented, a permit will only be granted if special circumstances can be cited. Before a large-scale population screening programme may perhaps be carried out in the future, these criteria will therefore have to be satisfied.

## Recommendation

The study group recommends that further studies be carried out into the effectiveness of population-wide screening after traumatic events.

## 3.3 Screening in children

#### Scientific basis

Various instruments are being developed in the Netherlands for drawing up an inventory of post-traumatic reactions in children. In clinical practice the *Schok Verwerkings Lijst voor Kinderen* (Shock coping list for children) and the *Schok Verwerkingslijst voor Jonge Kinderen* (Shock coping list for young children) (Eland & Kleber 1996a and b) are used widely. These are currently being standardised and validated for the Dutch population.

There is some evidence that early screening can be successful in identifying groups of children with ASD symptoms and/or a high risk of developing PTSD. Chemtob et al (2002b) studied a screening test for children at schools who had been exposed to a disaster, with the aim of identifying those who had the greatest need for interventions. These researchers found that identifying symptomatic children only from the observation of teachers was not a good strategy.

The NICE guideline (2005) points out that children (particularly those less than 8 years old) sometimes do not report symptoms of PTSD, such as intrusion and avoidance. Instead, they say they are having problems sleeping. So it is important that all possibilities are used for identifying children with PTSD. Asking both children and their parents helps in identifying PTSD symptoms.

Based on this information, the NICE guideline (2005) makes the following recommendations. If it is being investigated whether children and adolescents display symptoms of PTSD, health workers should question the child or the adolescent separately for the presence of symptoms of PTSD. They should not make any assessment solely on the basis of information from the parents or carers.

## Conclusion

Level 3	There are indications that screening in children is successful in identifying symptoms of ASD.	
	C Chemtob et al (2002b)	

## Other considerations

There are considerable problems in carrying out screening like this in the acute phase after a shocking event. Understandably, parents and professionals in schools are protective towards children and above all are resistant to outsiders who want to obtain information about and from the children.

If a child has been through a traumatic event and is treated at an Accident and Emergency department, the A&E staff should tell the parents or the people with the child about the possibility of PTSD symptoms developing (for example sleeping problems, nightmares, concentration problems and irritation) and advise them to contact the GP if these symptoms persist for more than a month.

## Recommendation

The study group recommends that if after a shocking event it is decided to screen children and adolescents for symptoms of ASD, information should be gathered both from the child and the parents/carers.

## 3.4 Time of screening

## Scientific basis

There is one study in which early screening for symptoms of PTSD (1 week after the traumatic event) was compared with later screening (1 month after the traumatic event) with the aim of predicting a diagnosis of PTSD after 4 months (Shalev et al 1997, see also section 3.1). No difference was found in overall diagnostic accuracy if the screening was carried out 1 week or 1 month after the trauma. The authors conclude that early screening is not a reason for waiting a month before usable data can be obtained.

Silove et al (2003) conclude that the severity of PTSD symptoms appears to increase in the first month in all patients after a traffic accident. This makes the time of carrying out the screening in this month an important determining factor for the score with the screening instrument.

## Conclusion

Level 3	There are provisional indications that early screening for the development of PTSD can
	be carried out with comparable accuracy as later screening.
	B Shalev et al 1997

## Other considerations

Brewin (2005) posits that the immediate establishing of PTSD symptoms after a trauma is not wise, because the degree of PTSD symptoms immediately after a trauma is not a good predictor for the risk of the disorder occurring later.

In a section on screening Wessely (2003) describes a number of disadvantages of early screening, namely that cases of PTSD that have a delayed start can be missed, that there is a high chance that people with temporary disorders/problems are selected and that at that time those affected may perhaps not be ready to accept an intervention. As a disadvantage of late screening he cites a reduced possibility of using early interventions to prevent problems from becoming chronic. He reports that there is noticeably little information about the best time for screening to be carried out.

#### Recommendation

The study group recommends further studies into the most appropriate time for screening after a disaster, terrorist attack or other shocking event.

As yet the study group does not recommend early tracing of those affected who have a high risk of PTSD.

# 3.5 Negative effects of screening in the first six weeks

## Scientific basis

As far as we are aware, no comparative scientific studies have been carried out into the negative effects of screening in the first six weeks after disasters, terrorist attacks or other shocking events.

## Conclusion

Level 4	As far as we are aware, no scientific studies have been carried out into the negative effects of screening in the first six weeks.
	enects of screening in the mst six weeks.

## Other considerations

Negative consequences of screening were found in an epidemiological study into the aftermath of the Bijlmer disaster among those affected, residents and members of the emergency services. After extensive physical and psychological screening, some people who took part in the screening reported greater sensitivity to physical sensations, fears for their health, fatigue and post-traumatic stress problems than before this screening (Verschuur et al 2004)

The study group finds that possible negative effects of screening may be the 'medicalising' of people who suffer acute symptoms of stress as part of the natural process. Also, screening will produce false-positives and false-negatives. Another negative side-effect can be that the screening of the whole group of those affected involves a great deal of cost (too much cost) and a great deal of organisation in relation to the benefit in terms of health outcomes.

# Recommendation

The study group recommends further studies into the potentially negative effects of screening of victims of disasters, terrorist attacks and other stressful life events.

# 4 Supportive context

Chapters 4, 5 and 6 deal with the question of what early interventions should be used after a disaster, terrorist attack or other shocking event. More specifically, in these chapters the study group seeks to answer the following starting questions:

- What early interventions are described in the literature?
- In what form and at what stage(s) should the various interventions be carried out in the first six weeks?
- How many sessions (at least) should early interventions consist of?
- When should they be started?
- When should the early interventions be repeated?
- Which of these early interventions should be carried out in groups and which should be carried out individually?
- How effective and suitable are these early interventions?
- What is known about the side-effects and contra-indications of early interventions?
- What competences are needed to carry out these early interventions?
- At what stage(s) should the effect of the early interventions be evaluated?
- Are there early interventions that can be used specifically in certain types of shocking event? If so, which ones?
- Are there early interventions that can be used specifically in certain risk groups? If so, which ones?

# 4.1 Supportive context for adults

People who are affected by disasters, terrorism or other shocking events must be able to obtain support. As soon as those affected have been brought to safety, the priority is to give practical support, display empathy and encourage the use of own social sources of support. This is likely to promote the powers of recovery of those affected and this must also be available and accessible all the time in the period to which this guideline relates – the first 6 weeks. This forms the context in which early psychosocial interventions are carried out.

#### Scientific basis

There is a broad consensus that offering solace, information and support, and attending to immediate practical and emotional needs, plays a valuable role in the acute coping of those affected by a stressful event (Litz et al 2002). There is also agreement that practical and social support can play an important part in recovering from PTSD symptoms, in particular directly after a trauma (NICE, 2005). In a review on social support, Kaniasty (2005) says that most trauma studies show a great healing effect of the degree to which social support is experienced on psychological wellbeing after a trauma.

#### Conclusion

Level 4	There is a broad consensus of the value of offering a supportive context.	1
	B Expert opinion	

## Other considerations

The study group is of the opinion that all those affected are entitled to a supportive context. A supportive context is created by:

- offering a listening ear, support and solace and being open to the immediate practical needs of those affected:
- offering factual and up-to-date information about the shocking event;
- mobilising social support from their own social surroundings;
- facilitating reuniting with people closest to them and keeping families together;
- reassuring those affected who display normal stress reactions.
   (Parker, 2006; NICE, 2005; Raphael, 1996, NIMH, 2003, Watson et al, 2003).

Both the study group and those affected agree that this supportive context does not have to be provided by mental health care professionals. Furthermore, those affected believe that an *outreaching* 

approach should be used both in the acute phase and also later. Relief workers and volunteers should not wait until someone who has been affected brings his problems to people's notice of his own accord. Those affected also stress the importance of letting them tell their *own* story.

Those affected point out that this supportive context should also be made available by making it possible, after a disaster or other shocking event, for people in this situation to make contact with other people who have been affected who have had similar experiences. These contacts can be organised in self-help groups or groups of people with similar experiences.

## Recommendation

The study group recommends that after a disaster, terrorism or other shocking event a supportive context is offered that consists of:

- Offering a listening ear, support and solace and being open to the immediate practical needs of those affected;
- Offering factual and up-to-date information about the shocking event;
- Mobilising social support from their own social surroundings;
- Facilitating reuniting with people closest to them and keeping families together;
- Reassuring those affected who display normal stress reactions.

# 4.2 Supportive context for children

In the case of giving children support, the providing of a supportive context takes place through adults who are known to the children and in social networks with which they are familiar. This is usually the school. If a shocking event occurs within a club, a sports team or another organised association, then the recommendations apply for the adults who within that association actually provide the relief and quidance for children.

# 5 Preventive early psychosocial interventions

After the description of the general supportive context that should be provided after a disaster or other shocking event, and within which early psychosocial interventions should be carried out, there follows in this and the following chapter a description of these specific interventions.

There are two types of early psychosocial intervention: preventive and curative interventions. *Preventive interventions* are for the aims described earlier:

- to encourage natural recovery and the use of natural sources of help;
- to identify those affected who need acute psychological help;
- to refer as necessary and to treat as necessary those affected who need acute psychological help. *Curative interventions* are used if there are evident psychological problems in the first 6 weeks. This chapter deals with preventive interventions. Curative interventions are dealt with in Chapter 6.

# 5.1 Information and preventive psycho-education in adults

The aim of information is to reassure those affected and explain normal stress reactions. Information fits in closely with the information needs of those affected. As well as information, sometimes psychoeducation is given. Psycho-education consists of structured (often repeated) information and training to those affected and members of the family and is sometimes given in the form of a course. The aim is to bring about change in trauma-related behaviour using information and psychosocial strategies. Unlike psycho-education, information is not given in a structured way or repeatedly.

#### Scientific basis

There is little or no evidence of the value of offering general information to all those who have been affected after a shocking event. There is however a broad consensus that this is needed. According to the *Best Practice Guidelines* by Ritchie (2003), a brief, early and focused 'psycho-educative information' session for those affected who have lost a child, parent and partner is effective.

Ehlers et al (2003) showed that offering a self-help manual within six weeks after a shocking event was no more effective in preventing chronic PTSD than repeated measurements. Studies by Ehlers et al (2003) and Turpin (2005) show that providing a great deal of brochure material (22 pages with information about disorders that could develop) is not effective and in some cases can even be harmful. According to the NICE guideline as well, providing a self-help intervention has no additional value (NICE, 2005).

Rose et al (1999) investigated in a RCT what the effect was of a 30-minute educative intervention in victims of violence. The intervention was offered 9 to 31 days after the shocking event. The intervention was geared to the experiences of those affected and consisted of information given verbally about the help that was available and a written flyer. This study showed that this intervention did not make any contribution to preventing a diagnosis of PTSD 6 months later.

The NICE guideline describes a number of studies (Bryant et al 1998; Bryant et al 1999, Bryant et al 2003) in which supportive psychotherapy (*supportive counselling* or psycho-education) was compared with *trauma-focused* cognitive behavioural therapy (CBT). These studies show that trauma-focused CBT is more effective than psycho-education. However, none of these studies had a control group. Therefore it is not possible based on these studies to draw any conclusions as to the difference between psychotherapy and no treatment or *treatment as usual*.

Ehlers & Clark (2003) wonder whether supportive counselling, just like *single session debriefing*, could perhaps have damaging effects. Devilly (2002) too warns against the use of supportive counselling in those affected who have a psychological condition.

#### Conclusion

Level 4	There is little or no evidence of the value of offering general information to all those
	who have been affected after a shocking event. There is however a broad consensus that this is needed.

Level 1	There is no scientific support for the effectiveness of preventive psycho-education, either verbal or in writing.
	A2 Rose et al 1999; Turpin et al 2005; Ehlers et al 2003; Sijbrandij 2007 D NICE guideline 2005

## Other considerations

The study group is of the opinion that giving information is worthwhile if it is focused on the normal course of events after a shocking event and limits are put on it (by saying when the person concerned should not wait but should seek help). The following information at least should be given.

- A reassuring explanation about normal reactions after a shocking experience and about the self-healing capacity of the human spirit.
- Say that help should be sought if the reactions persist for more than 4 weeks or become worse.
- Advise that the daily routine should be tackled as soon as possible after the shocking event, or if this is not possible, develop a new routine.

The study group is of the opinion that in order for the information to be disseminated to best effect, it needs to be investigated what the social makeup is of where the shocking event took place. On this basis it can be established what channels should be used to disseminate the information in order to reach the population affected as effectively as possible. Examples of how the information could be disseminated could include: brochures, the internet, TV, local broadcasters, community centres, employers, schools, churches and mosques. In the case of shocking events that occur within the work environment, these could include internal channels of information such as the intranet, internal TV and/or radio (hospitals), and company newspapers.

## Recommendation

The study group recommends that after a disaster, terrorism or other shocking event, information is offered to all those affected.

Information should consist of:

- A reassuring explanation about normal reactions;
- Saying when to seek help;
- Advising those affected to tackle the daily routine.

The study group does not recommend offering preventive psycho-education.

# 5.2 Information and preventive psycho-education in children

There is no reason to assume that different conclusions apply for children than for adults. Of course, in the case of children it must however be looked at what information is appropriate for their specific age group and what information should be given to parents/carers. Also, pupils and their parents are entitled to information and support that is low-threshold and familiar, i.e. that takes place within their own existing social networks, such as their class, team or club.

In this process, teaching professionals check whether the information provided for their own pupils is appropriate for their developmental level and what they can understand. They also help the parents in this respect. Parents and carers are given more detailed information about what possible reactions there may be and what the best way is of dealing with this. Even if this information is provided through other channels, teaching professionals (and other professionals who normally also support parents with education and care) have an important task.

## 5.3 Psychological triage

The purpose of psychological triage is to distinguish a pathology from 'normal stress reactions', in other words: to trace those affected with clinically evident problems (as defined in the DSM-IV-TR). In many cases psychological triage will be carried out in the acute phase by trained volunteers and preliminary and primary members of the emergency services. Secondary mental health care should be present in the background so that support and advice can be given on the spot to volunteers, and acute psychological help can be given to those affected with serious clinical symptoms. Psychological triage can also be carried out in the further period to which this guideline relates (the first 6 weeks after), for example if a person who has been affected consults a care giver.

## Scientific basis

There is no scientific evidence regarding the effectiveness of psychological triage.

#### Conclusion

Level 4	No scientific studies into the effectiveness of psychological triage are known.

#### Other considerations

In order to determine to what extent clinically evident problems are present, the care giver can use the diagnostic criteria from the DSM-IV-TR (APA, 2000) and questionnaires for PTSD problems, depressive problems, anxiety problems and alcohol and drug abuse (see Chapter 2).

The study group is of the opinion that with psychological triage a distinction must be made between:

- Those affected who do not have mental disorders and/or serious clinical symptoms. This is the
  largest group of those affected. Most recover within a foreseeable time on their own and in the first
  six weeks if necessary they only need to be reassured and be given a small amount of
  information.
- Those affected in whom it is thought they may have mental disorders and/or serious clinical symptoms. A small amount of information also needs to be given to this group. In addition, the care giver must arrange a follow-up meeting with the person affected.
- Those affected who have mental disorders and/or serious clinical symptoms. There are evident clinical problems in this group and proper diagnosis and/or treatment should be offered straight away.

With psychological triage, this must be based on the clinical judgement of volunteers and professionals at preliminary, primary, secondary and tertiary level, and also on the judgement of the person affected himself. Thorough basic training of volunteers and professionals is necessary for this. They need to know that most people affected will display some form of stress reactions. These are normal reactions.

For GPs, the standards of the Dutch College of General Practitioners (NHG) for anxiety disorders (NHG 2004) and for depression (NHG 2003) can provide help for identifying and diagnosing problems.

In general, the study group concludes that professionals, but others as well, such as the partners of those affected, supervisors and schools, should wait in the first 6 weeks and observe those affected closely, unless there are evident clinical problems.

#### Recommendation

The study group recommends that in the acute phase trained volunteers, preliminary and primary relief workers, with secondary mental health care in the background, have a role in the area of psychological triage. This is above all a matter of identifying those affected who have mental disorders and/or serious clinical symptoms requiring diagnosis and/or treatment. Psychological triage often takes place in the acute phase, but can also take place during the further period to which this guideline relates (the first 6 weeks), for example when a person who has been affected consults a care giver.

The study group recommends that with psychological triage a distinction must be made between:

- Those affected who do not have mental disorders and/or serious clinical symptoms. This is the largest group of those affected. Most recover within a foreseeable time on their own and in the first six weeks if necessary they only need to be reassured and be given a small amount of information.
- Those affected in whom it is thought they may have mental disorders and/or serious clinical symptoms. A small amount of information also needs to be given to this group. In addition, the care giver must arrange a follow-up meeting with the person affected.
- Those affected who have mental disorders and/or serious clinical symptoms. There are evident clinical problems in this group and proper diagnosis and/or treatment should be offered straight away.

# 5.4 Psychological debriefing and Critical Incident Stress Debriefing

Initially, debriefing was used mainly for ambulance personnel and in the army. In the eighties and nineties of the last century, debriefing was used more and more and in different groups. Also, different forms were introduced, which were used on an individual or on a group basis, or in an unstructured or structured way.

One of the specific forms of one-shot debriefing is *Critical Incident Stress Debriefing* (CISD) (Mitchell & Everly, 1996). CISD was developed in 1983 by Jeffrey Mitchell, as part of a wider programme. CISD is preferably carried out two to three days after the incident and on average takes two hours. It is a structured discussion in which participants go through seven phases on a one-shot basis.

## Scientific basis

Various systematic reviews (Aulagnier et al, 2004; Lewis, 2003) have not shown any proven effectiveness of one-shot psychological debriefing (PD) in preventing chronic post-traumatic stress reactions. These reviews even describe a number of studies in which a negative effect of one-shot PD was found. According to a review by Bisson (2003) these negative effects can be explained on the basis of: a disturbance in the natural reaction, secondary traumatisation, medicalising of normal stress and cultivating the expectation that the person affected will become 'ill'. A meta-analysis by Nachtigall et al (2003) shows that PD in the form of a single group session does not bring about any significant improvement in symptoms compared with a control group. Nachtigall et al also conclude that PD in a single group session can have damaging effects. In this review it is not easy to assess whether the treatment groups and control groups in the various studies are comparable. According to a review by Bryant (2005), the general conclusion in the current literature tends to the view that psychological debriefing is ineffective. A cautious conclusion seems to be that PD could be damaging, which indicates that there could be a potential problem with offering interventions without assessing beforehand whether the participants in these interventions meet inclusion criteria (such as having a high risk of developing chronic psychosocial problems). Arendt & Elklit (2001) conclude in their review that PD does not prevent the developing of a psychological disorder and that the intervention does not reduce the effect of traumatic stress. These results are confirmed in two recent RCTs (Sijbrandij 2007; Marchand 2006).

Hitherto few systematic and controlled studies have been carried out into the effectiveness of the various stress debriefing models.

The meta-analysis by Van Emmerik et al (2002) showed that CISD interventions do not significantly reduce post-traumatic stress symptoms and the other psychological symptoms. In the groups that

were given non-CISD interventions and no interventions respectively, a significant reduction in post-traumatic stress symptoms was found.

In the study by Macnab et al (1999) into the long-term effects of CISD, here too no significant differences were found between people who had been given CISD and control subjects.

#### Conclusion

Level 1	It has been shown that psychological debriefing after a shocking event is not effective in preventing PTSD and other psychological problems and that single-session debriefing can even have damaging effects.
	A1 Van Emmerik et al, 2002; Lewis, 2003; Aulagnier et al, 2004; Nachtigall et al, 2003 A2 Sijbrandij et al, 2007; Marchand, 2006 C Macnab et al, 1999

#### Other considerations

After disasters, terrorism and other shocking events a small percentage of those affected develop chronic psychological problems. Against this background it is sensible to allow those affected to cope with their shocking experience of their own accord.

Both from the literature and from what those affected have said, it is clear that those affected are themselves positive about undergoing psychological debriefing (PD) and the effect of it. There has not been sufficient research into the cost-effectiveness of PD. Offering it may perhaps have positive economic effects (Arendt & Elklit 2001).

There is considerable debate about the question of what is the purpose of debriefing: to prevent PTSD in the longer term or to reduce stress and help people in the short term. According to Miller (2003), debriefing should take place in a social (family) network and should be carried out with the idea of looking at people in their own surroundings, by working in natural groups, encouraging joint help and support, and focusing on people's strength and resilience. Miller also argues that multidisciplinary teams should be used.

Arendt & Elklit (2001) say that the range of specific objectives for using PD is confusing. The main advantage of PD seems to be its use as a screening procedure (Arendt & Elklit 2001). It is also reported in the meta-analysis by Everly (1999) that a PD session is also intended to identify people who may need further relief or referral. Just like with individual psychological debriefing, in a large RCT, group debriefing of soldiers did not prove to be effective. No damaging effects were found however and the soldiers enjoyed the intervention (Litz et al, Plenary talk ISTSS, 2004).

A survey (Ørner 2003) among British emergency services staff (police, fire service, ambulance, etc) showed that after shocking events first of all they use 'peer support' (support from colleagues) and later seek help from friends or other people they trust. They say that they prefer not to have help imposed on them by external trauma experts. Ørner & Schnyder (2003) argue that there is more and more scientific support for offering so-called phased psychological first aid: first of all have faith in natural recovery processes and only bring in trauma experts if this is indicated.

For members of the emergency services (the army, police, fire service, etc), the study group believes that it is important that the management works on good team-building, where there is room for support, humour and proper consideration for each other. It also believes that consideration for their 'home front' is important, because support is guaranteed at home. All this is aimed at social support and encouraging the natural coping process.

#### Recommendation

The study group recommends avoiding one-shot psychological debriefing (including CISD) with the aim of preventing PTSD and other psychological problems in those affected.

The study group also does not recommend psychological debriefing (including CISD) for members of the emergency services.

The study group recommends that support from colleagues (peer support) contributes to a supportive context. It can provide practical and emotional support and can encourage the use of the social sources of support of the person affected.

## 5.5 Other preventive interventions

## 5.5.1 Critical Incident Stress Management (CISM)

CISM is an integrated approach that consists of various interventions. The complete CISM programme consists of: psycho-education prior to a shocking event; individual support at the site of the event; demobilisation after major disasters (information and rest and food); *defusings* (discussions in small groups, 8-12 hours after the trauma); CISD; support for those who are directly affected; follow-up meetings and as necessary referral for further diagnosis and treatment. Although CISM was originally developed for first-aid staff, the method is flexible and can be adapted so that it can be used for any organisation or existing group.

## Scientific basis

Roberts & Everly (2006) have carried out a meta-analysis of 36 studies into early psychosocial interventions. They conclude that CISM is effective in reducing symptoms of depression, PTSD and suicidal thoughts. They do note however that only 12 of these studies were randomised. In their meta-analysis (studies up to 2000), Everly et al (2002) describe the effectiveness of the CISM method as described by Everly & Mitchell (1999) and Flannery (1999). They find a high measure of effect for the effectiveness of CISM. The authors stress that this result is specific for the CISM method that is offered in a standardised form by trained course leaders, and that this cannot be generalised to other methods of crisis intervention. They also point out that the studies that were analysed were naturalistic in design and that good RCTs are needed.

Bledsoe (2003) concludes in his systematic review into the effectiveness of CISM that despite the shortcomings of the current literature it can be asserted that CISM is not effective in preventing PTSD. This may perhaps be explained by the fact that he included studies into CISD and also PD in his review as well. In the introduction the author says that over time the process of CISD changed its name to CISM, in order to describe a more global approach that a wide set of aims seeks to achieve. Unfortunately Bledsoe did not describe the search strategy used in his review in any great detail and in his selection of articles also used *'case reports'* and *'narrative reviews'*.

Mitchell (2003) stresses that CISM is not intended as a replacement for psychotherapy. CISM has a supportive function, while psychotherapy has a curative function.

## Conclusion

Level 1	CISM has been studied primarily by those who developed the method. This research suggests that CISM can be effective in reducing psychological problems after shocking events.  There are no indications that CISM prevents the development of chronic psychological disorders.	
	A1 Roberts & Everly 2006; Everly et al 2002 A2 Bledsoe 2003	

#### Other considerations

Everly (2002) states that good controlled studies are needed in order to establish the effectiveness of CISM.

The study group stresses that the full CISM programme can only be used in settings in which preparation for a shocking event (one of the interventions in a CISM programme) can also be given. Because this is not the case for most people who are affected by a shocking event, the study group is of the opinion that CISM cannot be widely recommended (yet). The study group is also of the opinion that good quality studies are necessary in order to study further the effectiveness of CISM for a wide population.

## Recommendation

The study group recommends not offering CISM to a wide population.

The study group recommends further studies into the effectiveness of CISM.

## 5.5.2 Psychological first aid as a module

The National Center for PTSD (NCPTSD) has developed a modular early intervention for all those affected, young and old, by disasters and terrorism, called *'psychological first aid'* (www.ncptsd.va.gov). Although promising and scientifically well-founded, the effectiveness of this intervention has not yet been sufficiently proven in scientific studies.

#### Conclusion

Level 4	No scientific studies into the effectiveness of psychological first aid as a module are known.

#### Other considerations

Scientific studies are needed in order to establish the effectiveness of psychological first aid.

## Recommendation

The study group recommends further studies into the effectiveness of the psychological first aid module.

# 5.6 Preventive interventions for children

#### Scientific basis

Stallard et al (2006) carried out a RCT into the effectiveness of debriefing in a group of 158 children aged between 7 and 18 who had been involved in a road traffic accident. In this study debriefing did not prove to be more effective than natural recovery. The level of mental wellbeing after 8 months was comparable in all groups.

An intervention that is used frequently in the Netherlands is the structured relief programme for traumatised children, young people and their parents, which was developed by the Institute for Psychotrauma (Eland et al, 2002). This intervention is used for the relief of children between 4 and 18 years of age who have been affected by an acute shocking event, and in helping their parents or carers. However, no scientific studies have been carried out into the effectiveness of this relief programme as a preventive intervention.

## Conclusion

Level 2	Evidence does not support the use of psychological debriefing for children either.	1
	A2 Stallard et al 2006	

Level 4	No scientific studies have been carried out into the effectiveness of a structured relief
	programme as a preventive intervention for children.

# Recommendation

The study group recommends avoiding one-shot psychological debriefing (including CISD) with the aim of preventing PTSD and other psychological problems in those affected.

The study group recommends further studies into the effectiveness of a structured relief protocol as a preventive intervention for children.

# 6 Curative early psychosocial interventions

This chapter comments on the effectiveness of early curative interventions that can be used for those affected who have clinical problems such as described in the Diagnostic and Statistic Manual Fourth Edition (DSM IV, APA, 1994).

According to the DSM IV (APA, 1994), post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) can be diagnosed from 4 weeks after a stressful life experience. This time falls within the period at which this guideline is aimed, namely the first 6 weeks after a stressful life event. Since the treatment of post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) has already been dealt with in the Multidisciplinary Guideline on Anxiety Disorders (National Steering Committee on Multidisciplinary Guideline Development in Mental Health Care, 2003), for the treatment of post-traumatic stress disorder readers are referred to this guideline. For the treatment of other disorders that can occur in the first 6 weeks after a stressful life event, such as other anxiety disorders and mood disorders, the reader is also referred to the guideline and consensus statements on the psychological disorder or cluster of disorders in question (Guideline on Depression, NVvP, National Steering Committee on Multidisciplinary Guideline Development in Mental Health Care; Guideline on Anxiety Disorders, NVvP, National Steering Committee on Multidisciplinary Guideline Development in Mental Health Care).

This chapter will be devoted solely to early curative interventions that have been studied in the first 6 weeks after disasters, terrorist attacks and other shocking events.

# 6.1 Cognitive behavioural therapy (CBT)

The combination of cognitive and behavioural therapeutic procedures is called cognitive behavioural therapy. Cognitive behavioural therapy is based on the idea that thoughts, feelings and behaviour are associated with each other in a certain way. A person's thoughts influence his/her feelings and behaviour. Relaxation can form part of cognitive behavioural therapy treatment.

#### Scientific basis

Cognitive behavioural therapy in the first six weeks after a stressful life event has been studied in a number of comparative studies. These can be subdivided into studies into the effect of a short period of cognitive behavioural therapy in the treatment of Acute Stress Disorder (ASD), and studies into the effect of cognitive behavioural therapy in the treatment of acute post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD). Acute Stress Disorder is a DSM IV disorder which – unlike PTSD – can be diagnosed within 1 month after the stressful life event (see also section 3.1.2 in Chapter 3, Screening). The aim of treating ASD is to reduce the risk of PTSD later. As far as we are aware, all the studies into the treatment of ASD have been carried out by the Australian research group led by Bryant.

Bryant et al (1999) compared the effectiveness of CBT, CBT in combination with anxiety management (breathing exercises, muscle relaxation exercises, and 'self-talk' exercises), and supportive counselling in the treatment of ASD. Both CBT and also CBT in combination with anxiety management led to a reduction in later PTSD symptoms. Anxiety management did not have any added value above CBT. A study by Echeburua (1996) also showed trauma-focused CBT to be more effective than relaxation.

A RCT by Bryant et al (2005) into the treatment of acute stress disorder (ASD) with CBT or a combination of CBT and hypnosis showed that both CBT and also CBT with hypnosis led to a reduction in PTSD symptoms compared with supportive counselling. CBT with hypnosis led to a greater reduction in flashback symptoms than CBT on its own.

A study by Andre et al (1997) into the effect of CBT shortly after aggression with regard to bus drivers shows that the anxiety was reduced after a single session of CBT.

A randomised study by Bisson et al (2004) shows that a short period of CBT that was offered 5 to 10 weeks after a road traffic accident involving physical injury has a positive effect on the reduction in PTSD symptoms compared with a control group (waiting list). This CBT consisted of 4 weekly sessions each lasting 1 hour and on average began 5 weeks after the accident.

In a randomised study by Sijbrandij et al (2007) into the effectiveness of 4 sessions of CBT given from 3 weeks to 3 months after a shocking experience, a week after the intervention a positive effect was measured on PTSD symptoms, anxiety and depression. However, 4 months after the intervention the differences between the group that was given the short period of CBT treatment and the control group (waiting list) was no longer significant.

Foa et al (2006) compares the effect of 2 short interventions (4 sessions of CBT and 4 sessions of supportive counselling) with a waiting-list control in women who had been victims of a violent crime 2 days to 46 days before. They found that both interventions led to a reduction (self-reported) in the severity of PTSD, depression and anxiety. A short period of CBT also led to a greater (self-reported)

reduction in the severity of PTSD and anxiety than supportive counselling. After 9 months the outcomes of the 2 interventions were the same. According to Foa et al, these results suggest that a trauma-focused intervention speeds recovery in victims with severe PTSD symptoms. Bryant et al (1998) also found a greater reduction in PTSD symptoms as a result of a short period of CBT compared with supportive counselling.

This reduction was found both immediately after treatment (on average 9.5 days after the trauma) and 6 months after the trauma.

## Conclusion

Level 2	A short period (5 sessions) of trauma-focused cognitive behavioural therapy for the treatment of Acute Stress Disorder leads to a reduction in PTSD symptoms a number of months after the stressful life experience.  A2 Bryant et al 2005 B Bryant et al 1999
Level 1	A short period (4 or 5 sessions) of trauma-focused CBT in the first few weeks after a shocking event leads to a reduction in PTSD symptoms a number of months after the stressful life experience.  A2 Bisson et al 2004; Bryant et al 2005; Sijbrandij et al 2007

Level 4	Studies show that relaxation does not have any added value above trauma-focused CBT.
	B Bryant et al 1998; Echeburua 1996

B Bryant et al 1998; André et al 1997; Foa et al 2006

## Other considerations

A psychological intervention must only be offered after people have been brought to safety, and are in a more stable situation. Those affected by disasters, terrorism or other shocking events probably do not benefit from traditional psychological interventions that are focused on symptoms of anxiety and grimness if they are rightly concerned about their physical welfare, safety, shelter or financial problems (Litz et al 2002).

## Recommendation

The study group recommends treatment with trauma-focused cognitive behavioural therapy (CBT) for those affected who have an acute stress disorder (ASD) or severe symptoms of post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) in the first month after a shocking experience.

The study group recommends that relaxation should be offered only as part of CBT, not as a (non-trauma-focused) intervention on its own.

## 6.2 Eye movement desensitization and reprocessing (EMDR)

Eye movement desensitization and reprocessing (AMDR) is a psychotherapeutic procedure that is aimed explicitly at the treatment of PTSD and other anxiety disorders after a shocking event. EMDR was introduced in 1989 by the American psychologist Francine Shapiro.

A striking difference compared with other treatment methods, such as 'imaginary exposure', is that with EMDR, after activating the traumatic memory an external stimulus is introduced (usually the therapist's hand moving backwards and forwards, which is followed by the patient's eyes). After each set of eye movements the patient is asked to concentrate on the most striking change in his perception, after which he follows a new set (for about 45 seconds). Although the element of the eye

movements has been given the most attention, Shapiro (1996, 2001) stresses that EMDR is a treatment that contains several components.

#### Scientific basis

There is now evidence of the effectiveness of EMDR as a treatment for chronic PTSD. For example, a number of meta-analyses and systematic reviews have determined the effect of EMDR on chronic problems of PTSD (Maxfield & Hyer 2002; Van Etten & Taylor 1998). A recent meta-analysis by Seidler & Wagner (2006) shows no difference in effectiveness between trauma-focused CBT and EMDR as a treatment for chronic PTSD. The guidelines of the International Society for Traumatic Stress Studies (Foa et al 2000) also concluded that EMDR is an effective treatment for PTSD. There is no scientific support for the use of EMDR in the first six weeks after a stressful life event, since no RCTs have been carried out yet into the effect of early EMDR (Watson et al, 2002; Watson et al, 2003). In a non-controlled study by Silver et al (2005) in those affected by the terrorist attacks of 9 September 2001 in the USA, the effectiveness of early EMDR was compared (2-10 weeks after the disaster) with EMDR given later (30-48 weeks after the attacks). No difference was found between the two groups. A clear limitation of this study was that there was no control group.

## Conclusion

Level 3	There is insufficient scientific support as yet for the use of EMDR in the first six weeks after a stressful life event.
	C Silver et al (2005)

#### Other considerations

Silver et al (2005) point out that symptoms may increase unnecessarily if an intervention is delayed, whereas an effect can be achieved even in the acute phase after a disaster.

#### Recommendation

The study group recommends further studies into the effectiveness of EMDR as a curative early intervention in the first six weeks after stressful life events.

## 6.3 Relief with medication

## Scientific basis

Two randomised controlled studies into the use of medication shortly after a trauma are known (Schelling et al 2001; Pitman et al 2002). In view of the small size of these study populations it is not possible to draw conclusions about pharmacotherapy as an early intervention.

A small study carried out by Gelpin et al (1996) showed that benzodiazepines are not effective as a treatment for acute post-traumatic stress reactions.

At present there is no scientific evidence to show that any form of pharmacotherapy is effective as an early intervention in the treatment of PTSD symptoms or other trauma-related problems such as anxiety disorders and depression. Studies are however being conducted in which it is being investigated what substances may indeed be used as an early intervention.

According to the NICE guideline, pharmacotherapy may be considered in the acute phase of PTSD for the treatment of sleep disorders. If medication for sleeping is being considered, non-benzodiazepines can be chosen (mirtazapine, zolpidem, zopiclone).

If benzodiazepines are chosen nevertheless, it is preferable to take medication with a short half-life – so-called soporifics (NICE guideline, NHG Standard on sleeping problems and sleeping tablets, 2005). Benzodiazepines are expressly not indicated as a treatment for ASD (Gelpin et al 1996). If medication is needed for a lengthy period, antidepressants may be considered in order to reduce the risk of dependency.

Other disorders that can develop after a traumatic event are depression or anxiety disorders. For the drug treatment of these disorders, see:

- Guideline on Depression (NVvP, National Steering Committee on Multidisciplinary Guideline Development in Mental Health Care)
- Guideline on Anxiety Disorders (NVvP, National Steering Committee on Multidisciplinary Guideline Development in Mental Health Care)

## Conclusion

Level 4	Experts are of the opinion that pharmacotherapy may be considered in the acute phase of PTSD for the treatment of sleep disorders.
	D NICE guideline

Level 3	There are indications that benzodiazepines are not indicated for the treatment of ASD.	
	C Gelpin et al 1996	

#### Other considerations

The study group does not have any other considerations.

#### Recommendation

The study group recommends that in the event of sleep disorders as a result of the trauma, pharmacotherapy may be considered. For any drug treatment for sleep disorders, depressive disorders or anxiety disorders, the study group refers the reader to the existing guidelines.

# 6.4 Workplace-focused interventions

Workplace-focused interventions are interventions that take place after shocking events that are related to the activities or the working conditions. In the case of individual psychological interventions, the company doctor works in accordance with the guidelines of the Netherlands Society of Physicians in Occupational Health [Nederlandse Vereniging voor Arbeids- en Bedrijfsgeneeskunde (NVAB)]: Handelen van de bedrijfsarts bij werknemers met Psychische Klachten [Practice among company doctors for employees with mental problems].

As well as acute relief among colleagues after a shocking event, employees who stand out due to persisting problems or long-term absence from work can be brought to the attention of the company doctor and any other company relief workers via the Social Medical Consultative Body.

If necessary, consultation takes place in accordance with the NVAB-LHV (guideline for company doctors on working with GPs, NVAB and the Dutch General Practitioners Association [Landelijke Huisartsen Vereniging (LHV)]. Referral to a (company) psychologist or other treatment institute is also possible. In this way, during treatment for problems after disasters, terrorism or other shocking events the factor of work can also be taken into account. In the event of (recurring) shocking events at work, workplace-focused interventions can be developed. The nature of the shocking event plays an important part in the developing of interventions. Individual aspects to be assessed include the occurrence of limitations, absence from work and the establishing of a possible industrial illness in the employee(s) as a result of exposure to one or more repeated shocking events. In general, attention is devoted to possible social and economic consequences. These results may lead to a study in the workplace (*Stock-taking of Risks and Evaluation*) working with other disciplines such as safety experts and occupational health experts. In the plan for the approach for work-related interventions in CBO guidelines of the NVAB (*Blaudruk voor de aanpak van werkgerelateerde interventies in CBO-richtlijnen*), a general methodology is described on the basis of which interventions can also be developed for limiting or even preventing the employee from being exposed to shocking events.

#### Scientific basis

Boscarino et al (2005) conclude, on the basis of their prospective study after the attacks of 11 September, that early interventions at the workplace result in a significant reduction in psychological problems, up to 2 years after the first interventions. The study was about all the short early

interventions (related to dealing with the effects of the attacks on 11 September) that were led by a mental health care worker or counsellor and that were organised by employers for their employees (among others, CISM, PD, and other trauma-focused short interventions). The results show that the optimum effect is achieved with 2 to 3 short sessions. The investigators report that they were surprised at the consistent effect that was visible by any measure, and conclude that this underlines the importance of their results. Devilly & Cotton (2003) believe that it can be concluded from this study that a healthy workplace is a more productive workplace. The most reliable method of preventing a workplace from becoming disturbed after a shocking event is however still open for discussion.

## Conclusion

Level 3	There are indications that workplace-focused interventions result in a significant reduction in psychological problems, up to two years after the interventions.
	B Boscarino et al 2005

Level 4	It is still unclear what method of workplace-focused interventions is the most effective.
	C Devilly & Cotton 2003

#### Other considerations

In practice, consideration, recognition and the sharing of experiences with colleagues by those affected appear to be valued positively. In the case of workplace-related shocking events in this way the natural sources of help are used by those affected.

Those affected also stress that employers have a responsibility to help those who have been affected by a shocking event at work or away from work in coping with these events. They point out that in the event of a shocking event during working hours the employer should ensure that they are provided with information properly and support the normal process of recovery. The employer should also look out for chronic problems so that professional aftercare can be deployed.

## Recommendation

The study group recommends that the employer should offer counselling (to be carried out by a relief worker or trained volunteer) if a shocking event takes place at work.

# 6.5 Curative interventions for specific risk groups

#### 6.5.1 Children

#### 6.5.1.1 General

There is consensus among those involved with children and young people about the value and the benefit of protocolled interventions after shocking events in children who have evident psychological problems. If a referral is made at an early stage, a suitable and short period of treatment is possible. The starting point is that in this way lags in development and a long-lasting psychopathology can be prevented.

## Scientific basis

The form and content of early interventions in children after shocking events has hardly been studied systematically as yet. There is however agreement that early intervention with children must consist of a number of contacts. By spreading them out over time, the progress of the coping process can be followed over time. Parents play an important part in the recovery of their child and should be involved in support all the time. The more the child is dependent, the more intensively the parents are brought in (De Roos & Eland, 2005).

#### Conclusion

Level 3	Early curative intervention is needed for children with clinically evident problems. Early interventions in children must consist of a number of contacts.  An outreaching approach is advisable.
	D De Roos & Eland, 2005

## 6.5.1.2 Trauma-focused cognitive behavioural therapy in children (TF CBT)

## Scientific basis

At present, most of the empirical evidence for trauma-focused cognitive behavioural therapy consists of a number of controlled randomised studies, which have mainly been carried out in children who have been sexually abused (Cohen 2003; Cohen, Deblinger et al 2004).

Three of these RCTs evaluate the effect of trauma-focused CBT within 1 to 6 months after sexual abuse (Cohen & Mannarino 1996; Deblinger et al 1996; Mannarino 1998). These trials support the effectiveness of trauma-focused CBT in children in respect of PTSD symptoms, depression, social functioning and behavioural problems.

Cohen (2003) concludes that on the basis of the data that was available at the time trauma-focused CBT appears to be the most promising intervention in the acute phase after a trauma.

Cohen (2003) notes however that few studies attempted to ascertain what components in the traumafocused CBT are critical elements for its effectiveness. In a study by Deblinger et al (1996), the participation both of parents and of the child in the intervention proved to be essential for an optimum effect to be achieved. It is however unclear to what extent these findings can be generalised to children who have been exposed to traumas other than sexual abuse. Also, Cohen (2003) states that there is still no reliable information available regarding the optimum duration and number of traumafocused CBT sessions.

The name trauma-focused cognitive behavioural therapy suggests that it is one type of treatment, but each protocol is made up of various elements, the order of which can vary. As a result, the results of the various studies are difficult to compare and it is difficult to draw conclusions (De Roos & Eland, 2005).

In the various protocols, in 10 to 18 sessions there is a significant reduction in various problems. This method is used in particular with children from 6 to 8 years of age. The question is to what extent it is suitable for young children in terms of the requirements it makes on their cognitive abilities.

The NICE guideline (2005) recommends trauma-focused cognitive behavioural therapy in the first month after the shocking event in older children (> 7 years old) with severe symptoms of PTSD.

## Conclusion

Level 4	There are indications that early trauma-focused cognitive behavioural therapy (CBT) is
	an effective method of treatment for severe symptoms of PTSD in children more than 7 years of age

## Other considerations

One difference from giving support to adults is that children depend on their parents to find a way to obtain support. The result of this is that often it is only late, or not at all, that they are reported for diagnosis or treatment. There is consensus on the importance of an *outreaching approach* in the support given to traumatised children.

However, even with an active approach it is evident that many children with severe problems do not get support (Dorresteijn et al, 2003). The reasons for this have not yet been explained.

The NICE guideline stresses the importance of adapting a protocol for cognitive behavioural therapy to the age of the child. Involving the family in the therapy is also given as an important point for attention.

#### Recommendation

The study group recommends treatment with trauma-focused cognitive behavioural therapy (CBT) for children, more than 7 years of age, with severe symptoms of acute post-traumatic stress and/or an acute stress disorder in the first month after a shocking event.

#### 6.5.1.3 EMDR in children

#### Scientific basis

As yet there is no empirical evidence for the use of EMDR as a preventive intervention in children and adolescents. At present two controlled studies have been published that demonstrate the effectiveness of *eye movement desensitization and reprocessing* (EMDR) in children and adolescents with chronic PTSD (Chemtob et al 2002; Jaberghaderi et al 2004).

#### Conclusion

Level 4	As yet there is no empirical evidence for the use of EMDR as an early curative intervention in children and adolescents.

## Other considerations

The study group is of the opinion that, in view of their age and stage of development, children should be free of symptoms of post-traumatic stress as quickly as possible.

#### Recommendation

The study group recommends further studies into the effectiveness of EMDR as an early curative intervention in children.

## 6.5.1.4 Pharmacological interventions in children

#### Scientific basis

Just one RCT is known in which the effect of pharmacological interventions in children with ASD was studied.

This study (Robert et al 1999) showed that children who had been affected by a fire who were given imipramine in the acute phase developed significantly fewer PTSD symptoms than children who were given chloral hydrate.

An open study by Saxe et al (2001) showed a significant relationship between the dose of morphine that children with burns were given in the acute phase in hospital and PTSD symptoms after 6 months. Other non-randomised studies also suggest that there are promising pharmacological agents for reducing long-term PTSD symptoms which should be studied further in future controlled trials.

#### Conclusion

Level 3	There are indications that pharmacotherapy can reduce PTSD symptoms in children.

#### Other considerations

The study group is of the opinion that the amount of research that has been carried out into pharmacotherapy in children is not enough to make recommendations based on it.

#### Recommendation

The study group recommends further studies into pharmacological interventions in children.

## 6.5.2 Ethnic minorities

#### Scientific basis

Doherty (1999) reports that the symptoms of those affected from different cultures are often associated with PTSD, depression and anxiety disorders.

Doherty (1999) emphasises that if one or more people with an ethnic background are affected by a shocking event, cooperation should be sought with emergency workers and spokesmen from that culture.

Further, Doherty (1999) points out that there should be special consideration and sensitivity to the role of family and of rituals in those affected who have a different cultural background.

## Conclusion

Level 3	Those affected from different cultures have identical symptoms that are associated with
	PTSD, stress and anxiety disorders.
	C Doherty 1999

## Other considerations

If ethnic minorities are affected by a disaster, the starting point is to approach these groups as regularly as possible and as culture-specifically as necessary. This could include, for example, include paying particular attention to language problems, culture-specific (mourning) rituals or a more active approach in offering early psychosocial interventions.

Because for many migrants certainly in stressful circumstances it is difficult to use, talk in or understand Dutch, it is important that information is given in their mother tongue. In addition, key figures from ethnic minority groups should be brought in to make support accessible and to ensure that it fits in with their culture.

#### Recommendation

The study group recommends approaching ethnic minorities as regularly as possible and as culture-specifically as necessary. In the opinion of the study group culture-specific elements may consist of giving information in their mother tongue and involving key figures from ethnic minority groups.

# 7 Organisation

# 7.1 Organisation

In this chapter the study group seeks to give an answer to the following starting questions about how care should be organised after shocking events.

- What is known about the relationship between the type of organisation and the nature of the event?
- What is known about the relationship between the type of organisation and the scale of the event?
- What is known about the relationship between the type of organisation and the target groups affected by the event?
- What is known about the relationship between the type of organisation and the location of the event?
- What is known about the relationship between the type of organisation and the time of the event?
- What other circumstances influence the organisation of care after shocking events?

Early psychosocial interventions after disasters, terrorism and other catastrophes must take place within the legal framework established for this. The starting points are that every disaster has its own characteristics and that the care must be offered as regularly as possible and that there is accessible, low-threshold and integrated psychosocial care.

The legal frameworks that apply to disasters and major accidents fall outside the scope of this guideline. For more information, see the Disasters and Serious Accidents Act (WRZO), the Law on Medical Help in Disasters (WGHOR), the Disaster Response Improvement Act and the Public Health Collective Prevention Act (WCPV).

Legislation and developments in the area of crisis control and disaster control are discussed in detail in the Basisleerstof GHOR (NIFV 2006) [Medical Assistance in Accidents and Disasters]. Further information, about psychosocial care among other things, can be found in the Manual on Preparing for Disaster Control and the National Model Process Plan for Psychosocial Support in Emergencies and Disasters.

The process of psychosocial relief has common ground with other processes in crisis control. This guideline should therefore also be fitted in with the regional systems on crisis control.

# 7.2 The competences needed for carrying out interventions

The study group is of the opinion that the preliminary and primary sector, working with volunteers and members of the emergency services, are responsible for the first relief and offering early psychosocial interventions. Volunteers, GPs and social workers and those working in psychosocial support after accidents and disasters (PSHOR) have an important part to play here. If they suspect that there may be a psychopathology, they bring in the secondary sector. People working in the secondary sector should be trained in the specific interventions they offer. The relief workers in the primary and preliminary sector, volunteers and the emergency services personnel who are deployed after a shocking event must have a number of competences, which are described below.

## Scientific basis

Parker et al (2006) are of the opinion that most 'public health workers' have no training or experience in giving early psychosocial interventions. They argue that neither is it realistic to train this group in offering the whole range of early interventions. Offering psychological first aid – a supportive context – can however be a suitable task for those who are willing to take special training. They suggest a number of evidence-informed competences that 'public health workers' must satisfy:

- be able to listen actively;
- be able to prioritise and react to the needs of those affected;
- be able to recognise minor psychological problems and give information about it;
- be able to recognise potentially serious psychological problems and give information about it;
- communicate techniques for dealing with ASD;
- be able to recognise risk factors for a poor outcome for mental health and reduce this risk through greater alertness:
- be able to recognise and use informal and formal sources for inter-personal support;
- know when and how to refer someone for more formal forms of mental health care.

#### Conclusion

Level 4	There are indications in the literature that early interventions should be carried out by
	people who are trained/have been given special instruction.
	D Arendt & Elklit 2001

#### Other considerations

Straight after a disaster often there is no time to give volunteers and professionals thorough training. For this reason basic training in the preparatory phase is important. In addition to basic training, after disasters it is often necessary to give information specifically about the disaster situation (for example about burns or legionella), perhaps combined with supervising. After some time has passed the specific information can be given in a more structured way in the form of follow-up training by specialist experts.

# Recommendation

The study group recommends that early psychosocial interventions should be carried out by people who are trained/have been given special instruction.

## 7.2.1 The competences needed for carrying out interventions in children

To enable the professionals who work with children on a daily basis to offer the children a supportive context after a shocking event, schools are entitled to be supported by behavioural experts who help to:

- manage the professionals' own reactions to what has happened;
- discuss how the professionals can act as a model for the children and their parents in coping with what has happened;
- give the professionals a grip on the events in the initial period after the event and together with parents and children choose how they want to arrange things and activities.

Teaching professionals must also be able to call on behavioural experts who make them alert to children who have a high risk of coping problems and signs of clinically evident problems. Further help for children who cannot cope with the normalising approach in groups and who create unrest in the group must be available close to school and at home.

## 7.3 Collective preventive and community-based interventions

After a disaster, both collective (in groups of those affected) and individual early psychosocial interventions are offered. Strictly speaking, collective early interventions fall outside the scope of this guideline. Because however they form an essential part of the integrated package of post-disaster psychosocial care, they are dealt with here nonetheless.

Those affected are also in favour of a single contact point being established for questions and problems after a disaster or shocking event. What they have in mind here are interventions such as a buddy system, a single office system or the use of victim support.

Without being a complete list, a number of examples of collective interventions are: a single office function such as an Information and Advice Centre (IAC) or a virtual Centre for Information and Referrals, reporting in the media, a platform for those affected, a specialist mental health care team, health assessment surveys and the government policy that is to be implemented. A number of recommendations for some of these collective interventions are given below.

## 7.3.1 Information and Advice Centre (IAC)

An IAC should be set up in the week after a disaster. The IAC itself does not provide any help. This is the task of the support agencies. An IAC is the only organisation that comes about because of a disaster and which is also responsible for the ups and downs of those affected. An IAC should therefore always be aware of how things are with those affected in order, on the basis of this, to activate and guide the support. So the IAC must constantly be obtaining information, but also give help and advice to those affected and the support agencies. A disaster can be compared to an epidemic in

which a certain approach is needed in order to reach large numbers of people. Those affected are large in number, but they usually have the same questions. By using the media all those affected can be reached within a short time. The period for which an IAC is active can vary. In Norway experience has been obtained with an IAC that was active for a short period (Weisath, 1991), in Enschede and Volendam with an IAC for a period of 3-5 years (Gersons et al, 2004; Assocation of Netherlands Municipalities [Vereniging Nederlandse Gemeenten (VNG)], 2004; Health Council of the Netherlands, 2006). After the firework disaster in Enschede a long period was chosen in the expectation that the extra structure that the IAC creates for monitoring the recovery of those affected would then have a sufficient effect. Further studies will have to be carried out in order to establish to what extent a long-term IAC is beneficial in limiting the consequences of a disaster.

The main activities of an IAC are:

- to reach and keep contact with all those affected (outreach);
- to collect all the questions of those affected;
- to find and give answers to the questions;
- to monitor the condition of those affected;
- to give advice about help if this is sought.

#### 7.3.2 A platform for those affected

Without wishing it, people who have been affected by a disaster find themselves in a dependent and sometimes a handicapped situation. In Enschede 1,250 people lost their homes. The IAC helped to make those affected not dependent on a range of agencies. The experiences with the Bijlmer disaster and also the Faro aviation disaster (Ten Hove, 2002) have made it clear that those affected need an organised association to speak for them. This is why with the Enschede disaster the creation of an association for residents and those affected with professional support was actively encouraged. This 'empowerment' contributed to the residents actively promoting their own interests with the authorities and agencies. The Association for the Victims of the Enschede Firework Disaster also fulfils a role in providing information about the material, physical and psychological consequences of the disaster and what can be done about it. This residents association has been actively involved in dealing with the compensation claims and the plans for rebuilding the district. It is also actively involved with the memorials. The setting up of platforms such as this should be encouraged after any disaster.

## 7.3.3 Specialist mental health care team

The key task of mental health care in aftercare following a disaster is the diagnosis and treatment of the psychological disorders brought about by the disaster, in particular PTSD, anxiety, depression, problems for which there is no physical explanation and problems of addiction. After the firework disaster in Enschede the local mental health care organisation Mediant set up a specialist 'Firework team' of about 30 mental health care relief workers. These people are trained in specific forms of treatment focusing on PTSD (Gersons & Carlier, 1998) for adults and children. By having the relief workers carry out intakes no more than 2-3 days a week the aim was to avoid secondary traumatisation of the relief workers and ultimately 'monotonousness'.

As well as this key task, a mental health care team can have a range of tasks after a disaster, such as: additional training and consultation for other relief workers, prevention activities in the form of discussion groups for adults, support for ethnic minorities, activities for young people, support for teachers and schools and other forms of public information. In 2003 a campaign was held through 'Loesje' to encourage more people who are having problems to seek treatment. These activities can be arranged and carried out in cooperation with other care providers such as social services, the primary health care sector and home care.

## 7.3.4 Health assessment surveys

An important lesson of the consequences of the Bijlmer disaster was the setting up of the Health Monitoring Programme for those Affected by the Enschede Firework Disaster (GGVE) in order to be able to monitor the health of those affected and based on this direct the support appropriately. Two measurements were carried out, one 3 weeks and the other 1.5 years after the disaster (Van Kamp & Van der Velden, 2001); Van Kamp et al, 2006; Van der Velden et al, 2002, 2005, 2006: Grievink et al, 2002, 2004; Dorresteijn, 2003).

The third measurement took place after 2.5 years. Monitoring examinations are carried out by GPs and company doctors. Surveys are carried out among young people and ethnic minorities, and also an in-depth study into how their health progresses in connection with biological factors.

## Recommendation

The study group recommends that collective early interventions form an essential part of the integrated package of post-disaster psychosocial care. The use of these interventions should therefore be ensured.

The study group recommends that in the first six weeks after a disaster a good support system should be set up.

## 7.4 Information

Information must be provided after a disaster, terrorist attack or other shocking event. For this to run smoothly, information must be given a fixed place in the various policy plans. The municipalities control information through the media. If children are involved in a disaster, the way in which the information is expressed must be specifically tailored to children's level of understanding and their experiences. Work can be carried out with youth health care services, within which in particular the Municipal Health Services play a central role. The work also has to be coordinated with the Information and Advice Centre.

## Recommendation

The study group recommends that information is given a fixed place in the various policy plans in order for this to run smoothly.

#### Appendix 1 **Names**

The members of the study group and the organisation they represent or from which they bring in expertise. The study group was chaired by Prof. Dr. C. Spreeuwenberg, senior lecturer in the integration of care for chronic illnesses, University of Maastricht.

Name of study group member	Organisation	Works at/with
Mw. dr. E.C. van Doorn	Netherlands Institute of Psychologists [Nederlands Instituut van Psychologen (NIP)]	University of Utrecht, lectureship Behavioural problems in teaching
Prof. dr. B.P.R. Gersons	Netherlands Psychiatric Association [Nederlandse Vereniging voor Psychiatrie (NVvP)]	AMC de Meren
Drs. W.C. Tuinebreijer	Netherlands Psychiatric Association [Nederlandse Vereniging voor Psychiatrie (NVvP)]	Municipal Health Services, Amsterdam
Drs. R. van Doesburgh	Dutch College of General Practitioners [Nederlands Huisartsen Genootschap (NHG)]	GP in Enschede
Dhr. T. Siersma <sup>1</sup>	Mental Healthcare Nursing Federation [Federatie Verpleegkunde in de Geestelijke Gezondheidszorg (FVGGZ)	Mental health care association [GGZ] De Grote Rivieren
Dhr. W. van Dalen <sup>1</sup>	Mental Healthcare Nursing Federation [Federatie Verpleegkunde in de Geestelijke Gezondheidszorg (FVGGZ]	Municipal Health Services, West Brabant
Drs. J.J. Berendsen	Dutch Association for Psychotherapy [Nederlandse Vereniging voor Psychotherapie (NVP)] Institute for Psychotrauma (IvP)	Institute for Psychotrauma
Mw. drs. C.J.A.M. de Roos <sup>2</sup>	Dutch Association for Psychotherapy [Nederlandse Vereniging voor Psychotherapie (NVP)]	Psychotraumacentrum Kinderen en Jeugd, GGZ Rivierduinen
Mw. A.J. Roes	Netherlands Association of Social Workers [Nederlandse Vereniging van Maatschappelijk Werkers (NVMW)]	GIMD B.V.
Dhr. H. Ensing <sup>1</sup>	Council of Regional Medical Officers [Raad van Regionaal Geneeskundig Functionarissen (RGF)]	Regional medical officer (RGF), IJssel- Vecht region
Dhr. C. Dekkers <sup>1</sup>	Council of Regional Medical Officers [Raad van Regionaal Geneeskundig Functionarissen (RGF)]	Regional medical officer (RGF), Zuid- Holand-Zuid region
Drs. W.A.L. Godefroy	Nederlandse Vereniging voor Arbeids- en Bedrijfsgeneeskunde (NVAB)	KLM Health Services
Dr. W.H.M. Gorissen <sup>2</sup>	Netherlands Association of Policy, Management and Research Physicians [Nederlandse Vereniging Artsen Beleid Management Onderzoek (NVAG)]/Society and Healtchare Physicians Umbrella [Koepel Artsen Maatschappij en Gezondheid (KAMG)]	AMC de Meren, mental health care
Prof. dr. A. de Jongh	D.O.E.N. [Directe Opvang en Nazorg – Immediate relief and aftercare] bv	D.O.E.N. [Directe Opvang en Nazorg – Immediate relief and aftercare], Academic Centre for Dentistry in Amsterdam (ACTA) and Centre for Psychotherapy and Psychotrauma
Drs. P.M. Sanders	Military Mental Health Care Institute [Militaire GGZ] of the Ministry of Defence	Ministry of Defence
Drs. A. Laney	Victim Support Netherlands [Slachtofferhulp Nederland]	Victim Support Netherlands[Slachtofferhulp Nederland]
Ing. D.P. Pattynama, MCDM	Medical Assistance in Accidents and Disasters Department [GHOR] - Fire Department	Relief work service [Hulpverleningsdienst] Brabant-Noord
Dhr. J.G.J. Tuinder	Police	Police Academy of the Netherlands
Mw. drs. E.M. Sijbrandij <sup>2</sup>	Science	Psychotrauma Premium Care Programme [Topzorgprogramma Psychotrauma] - AMC de Meren
Dr. A.A.P. van Emmerik <sup>2</sup>	Science Dutch Association of Behavioral and Cognitive Therapy [Vereniging voor Gedragstherapie en Cognitieve Therapie (VGCT)	Leiden University, Faculty of Social Sciences, Clinical and Health Psychology department
Mw. dr. M. de Vries <sup>2</sup>	Impact, Dutch knowledge & advice centre for post-disaster psychosocial care	Impact

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm 1}$  These members shared a place on behalf of the organisations they represented.  $^{\rm 2}$  These members made up the editorial committee.

During the development of the guideline the following members of the study group left the study group – for reasons not connected with the contents – or never appeared.

Name of study group member	Organisation	Works at/with
Mw. T. Hoekstra	Mental Healthcare Nursing Federation [Federatie Verpleegkunde in de Geestelijke Gezondheidszorg (FVGGZ]	MEDIANT
Mw. K. Matlung	Netherlands Psychosocial Disaster Relief Consultative Body (OPRON) Institute for Psychosocial Support for Emergency and Disaster Preparedness [IPSHOR]	IPSHOR
Dhr. W. van Broekhoven	Pastoral service at Schiphol Airport	Pastoral service at Schiphol Airport

# Names of other colleagues.

Colleagues	Organisation	Works at/with
Mw. dr. M. de Vries	Impact, Dutch knowledge & advice centre for post-disaster psychosocial care	Project leader, Impact
Mw. drs. D. van Duin <sup>2</sup>	Trimbos Institute	Project leader, Trimbos Institute, and Guideline adviser
Mw. drs. E. Fischer <sup>2</sup>	Trimbos Institute	Guideline adviser
Dhr. dr. L. Henkelman	Trimbos Institute	Secretary of the National Steering Committee on Multidisciplinary Guideline Development
Mw. L. Muis	Trimbos Institute	Project assistant

## Present at the meeting of those affected

Name		
Dhr. T. Smedes	Police	
Mw. M.A. Schutte-Fiolet	Affected by a fire	
Mw. H. Wanders	Affected by a fire	
Mw. Nese Dogan	Amercentrale disaster (neighbour)	
Dhr. Akin	Amercentrale disaster (neighbour)	
Dhr. J. Derks	Fire Service	
Mw. J. Janboers	Faro plane crash	
Dhr. Janboers	Faro plane crash	
Mw. H. Spreij	Bijlmer disaster	
Mw. D. Klanke-Heil	Bijlmer disaster (nurse)	•
Mw. M. Perk	Zanderij plane crash	

# Appendix 2 Starting questions

Aim (see Chapter 2)	
1.1	Are aims described for carrying out interventions?
1.2	If so, with what aim(s) should early interventions be carried out?

Scre	ening (see Chapter 3)
2.1	Are there screening instruments or other methods for tracing people who have a high risk of developing problems that can be used in the first 6 weeks after disasters, terrorism and other shocking events. If so, which ones?
2.3	How effective and suitable are these screening instruments?
2.4	Are negative effects known of screening in the first six weeks?
2.5	When should the screening be carried out?
2.6	Should the screening be repeated?
2.7	Are there screening instruments or other methods that can be used specifically in certain types of shocking events? If so, which ones?
2.8	Are there screening instruments or other methods that can be used specifically in certain risk groups? If so, which ones?

Inter	vention (see Chapter 4, 5 and 6)	
3.1	What early interventions are described in the literature?	
3.2	In what form and at what stage(s) should the various interventions be carried out in the first six weeks?	
	How many sessions should early interventions consist of (at least)?	
	When should they be started?	
	When should the early interventions be repeated?	
	Which of these early interventions should be carried out in groups and which should be carried out individually?	
3.3	How effective and suitable are these early interventions?	
3.4	What is known about side-effects and contra-indications of early interventions?	
3.5	What competences are needed for carrying out these early interventions?	
3.6	At what stage(s) should the effect of the early interventions be evaluated?	
3.7	Are there early interventions that can be used specifically in certain types of shocking events? If so, which ones?	
3.8	Are there early interventions that can be used specifically in certain risk groups? If so, which ones?	

Orga	Organisation (see Chapter 7)	
4.1	What is known about the relationship between the type of organisation and the nature of the event?	
4.2	What is known about the relationship between the type of organisation and the scale of the event?	
4.3	What is known about the relationship between the type of organisation and the target groups affected by the event?	
4.4	What is known about the relationship between the type of organisation and the location of the event?	
4.5	What is known about the relationship between the type of organisation and the time of the event?	
4.6	What other circumstances influence the organisation of care after shocking events?	

## Appendix 3 Experiences of those affected

## Introduction

Among the various providers of 'early interventions after a disaster' there is a need for a national guideline with an overview of the most up-to-date scientific facts and recommendations based on these facts and on a consensus between key figures. But however well a guideline is supported based on scientific evidence, if the recommendations do not fit in with the wishes and needs of those affected, the guideline is unusable in practice. Those affected can draw little benefit from a guideline that does not take their needs into consideration or in which recommendations are made that cannot be implemented in practice because the costs involved are too high, or if they cause a great deal of inconvenience or entail high risk. The Health Council (2000) argued that as well as being 'scientifically solid', guidelines must also reflect the preferences of patients (in this guideline those affected). This is why as part of the development of the guideline Impact and the Trimbos Institute took stock of the preferences of those affected with regard to early interventions after shocking events. To do this, various organisations involved in promoting the interests of those affected by different disasters and other shocking events were approached, asking people to represent these organisations. For example, contact was made with the association for people suffering from burns, the Impact national knowledge centre for post-disaster psychosocial care, the police, the fire service, Victim Support, the association for parents whose children have been murdered and Vangrail support and aftercare. Following this invitation, fourteen representatives were put forward. At a group interview twelve of them gave their views on what psychosocial care is needed for people who have been affected. The reactions from this group interview were put into a document that was sent to all the representatives for them to comment on and which was approved by the Client Participation Committee. Where this appendix refers to people who have been affected, this means those who have been affected directly and also indirectly.

## Acute phase

Those affected say that for them, in the acute phase after a disaster or other shocking event it is important that the relief consists of things such as attention, coffee and something to eat. At that stage the most important thing is that straight away there are people there with a friendly word, some gestures of comfort or a cup of pea soup. That people say: 'Are you OK, do you want something to drink, take a seat'. Those affected agree that it is not a bad thing if professional mental health care workers do not come until a few hours or days after the disaster. This 'psychological first aid' can also be given by (trained) volunteers, fire service personnel, ambulance personnel, members of the family or colleagues.

#### Consideration

Psychological first aid should be offered in the initial phase after a disaster. This help does not have to be provided by mental health care professionals.

#### Active support

Those affected appreciate it if in the case of relief being provided on a large scale, such as in a gym for example, it is not announced that there are psychologists available who you can go to if you are having psychological problems. At that stage people who are affected often do not realise yet that they have a problem or do not make themselves known because they want to give the impression that everything is all right. They prefer to see small groups form at the place in question to talk about what has happened. Those affected stress: "Try to get those affected to talk. It is only when you tell your own story that the problem comes to the surface". In the case of shocking events that are on a smaller scale, if necessary someone to talk to can be allocated for each person.

Above all it is important that those affected can tell their *own* story, which can then be taken up by someone else. It is experienced as being upsetting if 'emotions are rooted around in' with questions such as: 'What happened then? What did you feel? What did you see?'

If necessary, after telling his story someone who has been affected can be referred for more professional help.

In the follow-up period as well, those affected are in favour of an *outreaching* approach. If straight after the trauma those affected say they do not need any help, it may be prudent to try to make contact

again after a while. If they see worrying symptoms, care givers cannot wait for those affected to act on their own initiative. Those affected are not the right people for that.

Those affected also point out the importance of making it possible, after a disaster or other shocking event, for people to make contact with people who have had similar experiences. These contacts should be organised in self-help groups or groups of people with similar experiences.

#### Consideration

Actively offer all those affected a listening ear and do not wait until they themselves contact a relief worker. Give those affected the opportunity to tell their *own* story.

Also, offer those affected the opportunity to make contact with people who have had similar experiences in the form of self-help groups or groups of people with similar experiences.

## The time when care is given

The people who had been affected who were present are of the opinion that if an investment is made on the spot in some gestures of comfort and a listening ear, this can prevent psychological problems occurring later. They reason that it is better to let too many people tell their own story than miss out some people who badly needed to do so. If you do not invest enough in this in the early phase, in their view in a later stage it is much more difficult 'to put it right' with psychosocial treatment. They say that this opportunity must be given to those affected within 24 hours after the disaster. It is also important to let people tell their own story also after a long time has passed. Coping can be a lengthy process. Those affected can feel very much that they are not understood and are lonely if their friends and family assume that it is no longer a matter of concern for them because 'after all it is so long ago now'. With some people who have been affected the GP has helped greatly by visiting regularly, letting those affected tell their own story and referring them after a period.

If those affected have been in a coma, then within 24 hours from the time they can be talked to they must be given the opportunity to tell their story. Those affected and next of kin emphasise that it is important that when these people come round there must be someone sitting next to the bed. Care must be taken that these people do not fall between two stools. It is important that a type of organisation is found to ensure this. For example, a buddy could be brought in as soon as the person comes out of the coma. Once physical recovery is at an acceptable level, then the first six weeks of early psychosocial interventions start. In the case of people affected who have suffered burns it is known that in the first period of physical recovery these people are not open to psychosocial interventions. For this group too, therefore, the period of 'early interventions' starts only when an acceptable level of physical recovery has been reached.

For patients who have been in a coma it is also important that somewhere it has been established what happened with the person on the day of the disaster. In this way this prevents the person affected from having to look for all sorts of documents later, with a great deal of difficulty, and having to wait with a great many questions and uncertainty.

# Consideration

Within 24 hours after the disaster (or within 24 hours after they come out of a coma) give those affected the opportunity to tell their story. Give them this opportunity after a long period as well.

In the case of those affected who come out of a coma or who have suffered any other serious physical injury, the period of the first six weeks of early psychosocial care starts once physical recovery is at an acceptable level.

## Point of contact

Those affected say that it is important that after the acute phase there is one point of contact where an answer can be found to practical questions. For example, one of the people who were present said that her sick leave had been cancelled by her employer when after the disaster she took this sick

leave with her family in Surinam. At the time she did not know who to phone to resolve this. This issue took up a great deal of her energy and caused her further anxiety. Again, a possible strategy for organising a point of contact is a buddy system. With this, someone who has been affected is linked up with someone who has himself lived through a disaster, or feels capable in some other way. This person can be phoned for questions. This person does not have to be able to provide answers for everything himself, but should however know where help can be found. Other strategies for creating a point of contact are a single-office system after a major disaster, or setting up Victim Support. Those affected feel that it is particularly valuable that Victim Support comes to one's own home and can be used flexibly, whereby if necessary a visit can be made every day, and then the frequency of visits is reduced. They experience a disadvantage in the fact that you yourself as someone affected have to ask them to visit: 'As someone who has been affected, you have to get over so many obstacles'.

## Consideration

After the disaster provide those affected with one point of contact for questions and problems. This could for example be through a buddy system, a single-office system or Victim Support.

## Screening and monitoring

Those affected who were present are of the opinion that people who have been affected have no problem with filling in a questionnaire the purpose of which is to determine whether someone is at a high risk of chronic psychological problems. Certainly if this questionnaire is presented after about six weeks it does not cause any great problem. Those affected say that a distinction must be made between 'immediate help with normal coping' and 'later psychological care in the event of coping problems'.

If screening is carried out in the acute phase, these two worlds may intersect. Another risk with direct screening is that many normal reactions are also measured which disappear of their own accord. For this reason their advice is not to screen too quickly.

Those who attended also believe that sometimes those affected need help with medical terms and the Dutch language. In the case of people who have been affected who are from ethnic minorities, they advise that this help should be offered by a man and not by a woman. Those affected see the main potential hurdle to be overcome is the difficulty in obtaining the addresses of all those who have been affected. As a solution to this they suggest that people who have walked away after a disaster should be invited by the media to phone a contact number or another point of contact. Another approach that is suggested is to send a text message with information and an invitation to everyone in the area.

Those affected also stress that it is important to keep an eye on those affected for a longer period by monitoring. They reason that: 'It is only later that some people suffer a backlash from the trauma. It is also these people that you want to reach with aftercare.'

# Consideration

If screening is used, do no present this to those affected in the acute phase and as necessary offer help in how this is carried out.

Also consider (long-term) monitoring of those affected.

#### The role of the employer

A number of those affected say that the conflict with the employer following a disaster or other shocking event is sometimes felt to be more traumatic than the disaster itself. Often the employer does not take any responsibility in offering help and in some cases after taking sick leave the person who has been affected is not even taken back in employment. Those affected argue that the employer must recognise his responsibility and support his employees if they have gone through a shocking event inside or outside the company. This support can consist of, among other things: providing space

for the normal coping process, showing understanding, giving permission for sick leave if necessary, or organising psychosocial care. The main thing is that the employer does not drop the employee. Those affected make a plea: 'Prevent the disaster after the disaster!'

#### Consideration

Employers have a responsibility to support employees who have been affected by a shocking event inside or outside the company in coping with such an event.

If the shocking event has taken place during working hours, the employer has more specific responsibilities.

For example it is very important that the providing of information about an accident or other shocking event takes place smoothly. All the employees who are affected and the people who are close to them must be aware of: what happened when, and what were the causes and what are the consequences? To do this, an analysis must be carried out shortly after what has happened, the results of which must be communicated within a day. If certain details still have to be investigated, this should be said. It is important that information is communicated well! Clarity should be given as soon as possible. These sorts of reports can also be used later in aftercare. This can help to find back the days that one has 'lost' later. Those affected say that this can be extremely valuable.

In the aftercare provided by the employer a clear distinction should be made between normal coping with the traumatic event, whereby for example someone must be able to tell his story, and the professional aftercare in the case of chronic problems. For this, those affected should be followed/monitored carefully in the work environment so that specific care can be provided if this is needed.

It must be realised that sometimes immediate colleagues of the person who has been affected also have very great difficulty with what has happened. Sometimes they are forbidden by their employer from talking with the person affected because the investigation at work has yet to take place. This is damaging both for those affected and their colleagues.

## Consideration

If a shocking event occurs during working hours, the employer should ensure that there is a good supply of information, support normal recovery and look out for chronic problems so that professional trauma care can be deployed. This applies both for those affected and also their immediate colleagues.

In the case of organisations such as the fire service, the ambulance service and the police, the employer must realise that uniformed members of the emergency services are people too, and that they too must be able to tell their story! Those affected argue: 'Also pay enough attention to the coping process on the part of volunteers and other emergency workers'.

For example, volunteers and other emergency workers sometimes worry that in the aftercare phase they have made a wrong decision. If this question of guilt is discussed as soon as possible and perhaps can be removed, the sting can be removed from the problem of coping.

An opportunity to tell their story can be organised with colleagues and volunteers. If debriefing is used for this, in the view of volunteers who are affected a distinction must be made between a form of operational debriefing and a form of more emotional debriefing. First of all a clear story must be established by the service so that everyone has the same picture of what has happened, and then the emotional side can be talked about.

#### Attitude and tasks of the authorities

Some of those affected and next of kin have found that after a disaster there is a great deal of activity between different representatives of the authorities and that taken together it is difficult to get information. In practice it turns out that remaining well informed is a major problem. Policy-makers and investigators say that they cannot give any information because this might jeopardise the course of the inquiry. Those affected and next of kin say however that after a disaster they have a great need for openness. They suggest that if necessary the results of the inquiry do not have to be given, but that it should be disclosed what inquiry or report is still being waited for and when it is expected to be ready. The literature shows that more health problems occur if the inquiry remains closed. After various disasters it has emerged that it is more difficult for people as soon as more mistrust of the authorities arises and more conspiracy theories arise.

Something else that those affected and next of kin would like is that ministers should keep themselves properly informed of the facts surrounding a disaster. In practice this does not always happen. When in the past it emerged that people had based their actions on incorrect information there was a tendency always to go on the defensive and refuse to make excuses for mistakes that had been made. Those affected and next of kin therefore appeal to representatives of the authorities to accept that it is not their intention to blame the authorities. They argue that the standpoint and the actions of the authorities should be expressed humanly and respectfully. As far as they are concerned there should not be any conflict. This can be prevented by displaying as much openness and respect as possible.

## Consideration

The authorities should be as open as possible about the disaster and ongoing inquiries, ministers should be properly informed about the facts and communication should take place humanly and respectfully.

As well as being open, properly informed and acting respectfully, the authorities should also support those affected with targeted activities and by showing concern and involvement. Those affected who were present have in mind such things as money and help in identifying those affected. For example, seventeen years after the Zanderij plane crash there are still eight victims who have not been identified. It would now cost a great deal of money and time to exhume those people who have not been identified. It would have been better if this could have been done straight away. Another important activity with which the authorities can support those affected and next of kin is by organising memorial services. Those affected emphasise that 'so many psychosocial interventions can still be made, but that this waste of time and effort is when things are not arranged properly by the powers that be'. They make a plea that those affected and next of kin should be prevented from suffering unnecessary stress in the first phase, because ten years on it costs a lot of money and difficulty putting them right again.

Further, those affected say that organising this sort of activity is important for disasters in the Netherlands, but also for disasters that have taken place abroad and in which Dutch people were affected. Here too the authorities have to accept their responsibilities. In the past, people from the Netherlands who had been affected by disasters that occurred abroad, and next of kin, have not always been given help by the authorities. In these cases the help had to come from local initiatives, such as mutual support from a solidarity committee with another country and local discussion groups. Support from the Dutch authorities could have prevented a great deal of emotional and practical damage. Recognising a disaster as a 'Dutch problem' can also be important. For example, the 'Zanderij' plane crash is not seen as a Dutch disaster, whereas most people who died were Dutch. This makes the coping process more difficult for those affected and next of kin.

## Consideration

The authorities should support those affected and next of kin, in the event of disasters both at home and abroad, by activities such as identifying those affected and organising memorial services.

#### The role of the media

Those affected who were present agree that the media can play a positive part after a disaster, but also that they can cause a great deal of unrest. One of the things that should be looked out for is that reports about a disaster should not be given that change a lot. If it is reported on one day that certain harmful substances have been released and that a certain number of people who have been affected have died, and the next day it emerges that these substances were not present after all and the number of people affected is different, this creates a great deal of uncertainty and confusion. Those affected therefore appeal to the media not to draw conclusions too quickly if the information is not yet definite. Instead, the media should provide good quality information and openness about what investigations and other procedures are still ongoing and how long people have to wait still for a report to be produced or decisions to be made. In addition, the media can be put to positive use in reaching people who have been affected who have not left a name and address.

In other areas too those affected stress the importance of careful reporting after a disaster. The media have the power to influence public opinion regarding the question of what is seen as a disaster to which a great deal of attention and care should be devoted. For example, the media paid a great deal of attention to the fire in Volendam. As a result, a great deal of additional resources were made available for those affected by this fire. Those affected find it very difficult to talk about all the generous gifts, but do however ask that there should be equality in such matters. If emergency relief supplies, preferential treatment and gifts are given only to those affected by this disaster, while those who have been affected by other fires lie beside them, this can cause unnecessarily awkward situations. In this respect too careful reporting is in the interests of everyone who has been affected.

## Consideration

The media should report carefully and in a balanced way, based on established facts.

Those affected and next of kin should be aware of the fact that they can exercise some control in their contact with the media. For example, they themselves can choose what type of media and what programme to talk to. It can also help to say that before an interview the person concerned wants to see the questions. Another piece of advice is that before an interview it is a good idea to calmly write down one's own story on paper. These sorts of activities can help to keep the situation under one's own control as much as possible. At the same time, those affected point out that if a person becomes a victim, at that time he might not be able to exercise some control in their contact with the media.

For this reason those affected express the wish that a media protocol should be established that takes into account the interests of those affected.

## Consideration

A media protocol should be established for the role of the media after disasters and other shocking events that takes into account the interests of those affected.

Other tips for relief workers from those affected and next of kin

- A trauma is a trauma; it does not matter how major and shocking the disaster or the accident was and whether someone has been in a coma or not. It is about how a person reacts to it from within.
   So any trauma is 'person-dependent'. There is no point comparing traumas!
- Take seriously people who have been affected who following the disaster or the accident were not injured but later on develop physical problems. If for example years later someone develops thyroid gland problems, a physical cause should not be ruled out straight away because someone has suffered a trauma. Those affected also ask that a medical examination after a disaster should not be targeted only at certain problems that might be expected in connection with the disaster.
- When a questionnaire is presented to someone who has been affected, it should be explained clearly why he is being asked to fill in this questionnaire. Those affected may be tired or have

limited energy that they need to spread over a number of relevant matters. So think carefully when using questionnaires.

- With accidents the 'victim/perpetrator question' has to be dealt with carefully. It is not uncommon that victims are treated later, unjustly, as the perpetrator. This creates a hindrance to coping well.
- Look out not only for those who are directly affected but also for people and next of kin who are affected in different ways!
- Humanity is extremely important in every phase after a traumatic event!

# Implementation of the recommendations

In order to prevent the guideline from straight away ending up under a pile of paper, those affected stress the importance of the support process being driven properly. Further, they would like to see procedures that the guideline recommends being exercised. To encourage the adoption of the recommendations they would like to see the authorities support their adoption. The study group also recommends the profession-specific implementation of this generic multidisciplinary guideline.

# Appendix 4 List of abbreviations

ABBREVIATION	EXPLANATION
DSM	The Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM) is an American manual for the diagnosis and statistics of mental disorders that is used in most countries as the standard for psychiatric diagnostics. The current version (from 2000) is a revision of the text of the fourth edition, called the DSM-IV-TR.
PTSD	Post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) is a psychological condition which in the DSM-IV is classified along with anxiety disorders. The condition is caused as a result of seriously stressful situations in which there is a threat to life, serious physical injury or a threat to physical integrity. These situations are traumatic for the person concerned.  The symptoms are intrusions (nightmares or flashbacks), the avoiding of memories or switching them out emotionally), clinical depression, severe irritability with sleep disorders, extreme agitation as a result of certain stimuli, irritation and severe shock reactions. It is also possible that the person may display symptoms of other psychological conditions. PTSD is described as being acute if the symptoms last for less than three months and chronic if they last longer than three months. The condition can be cured or improved with treatment. Sometimes this can also happen spontaneously.  PTSD is an anxiety disorder and must not be confused with the normal coping process following a traumatic event. For most people the emotional consequences of a trauma disappear after a few months. If they persist longer, however, than there may be a psychological disorder. If the disorder is not treated, it can take very serious forms.
ASD	Acute stress disorder (ASD) is a psychological condition which in the DSM-IV is classified with anxiety disorders. The disorder can occur if someone has been exposed to a serious traumatic experience (shock). The reactions to this trauma are strong feelings of anxiety, terror, powerlessness and hopelessness. People suffering from acute stress disorder usually have a sense of numbing, develop problems with memory, sleeping and concentration, are irritable, jumpy or anxious and regularly re-experience the trauma.
NICE guideline	A guideline drawn up by the National Institute for Clinical Excellence (NICE)
BIG Act	Among other things the <b>Professions in individual Health Care Act</b> provides for the authorisation to be able to exercise a profession in individual health care. Only those people who have met the statutory training requirements can be enrolled in the register. Only those people who are enrolled in the register can bear the title which is protected by the Act. Restrictions on this authorisation lead to a note being made on the enrolment or cancellation of the enrolment. This means that anyone can see whether someone exercising a profession is authorised to exercise the profession.
CBT	Cognitive behavioural therapy. Behavioural therapy and cognitive therapy are both closely connected with scientific principles and studies. Both psychotherapeutic methods are strongly focused on current events. Behavioural therapy and cognitive therapy deal in particular with difficulties in the present and much less with problems that existed in the past. Cognitive therapy and behavioural therapy are both founded on an open and equal relationship of cooperation between the therapist and the client. Treatments are symptom or problem-focused and last for short periods.
EMDR	Eye movement desensitization and reprocessing (EMDR) is a psychotherapeutic procedure focused explicitly on the treatment of PTSD and

	other anxiety disorders after shocking events.
PD	<b>Psychological debriefing</b> (PD) is a one-shot semi-structured conversation with a person who shortly before was affected by a shocking event. In most cases the aim of debriefing is to prevent psychological damage by informing people about their experience and providing the opportunity to talk about it.
CISD	Critical Incident Stress Debriefing (CISD) is a form of one-shot debriefing. Preferably the intervention takes place two to three days after the event and on average takes about two hours. It is a structured conversation in which the participants go through seven phases on a once only basis.
CISM	<b>Critical Incident Stress Management</b> (CISM) is an integrated approach that is built up of various interventions.
MCDM	Master of crisis and disaster management
RCT	A randomized controlled trial (RCT) is a scientific procedure used mainly in studies into medical procedures. It is a study in which a randomised control group is used. This is generally regarded as being the most reliable form of scientific evidence because it rules out cognitive bias. The basic idea is that types of treatment are distributed randomly over a number of groups. This prevents cognitive bias.

## Appendix 5 Overview of recommended research questions

- The study group recommends the translating and then the validating of non-Dutch screening instruments (usually English-language). Validation must take place in Dutch populations of those affected by disasters, terrorist attacks or other shocking events.
- The study group recommends further studies in populations of those affected by disasters, terrorist attacks or other shocking events into the usability of screening instruments that are based on risk factors.
- The study group recommends that further studies be carried out into the effectiveness of population-wide screening after traumatic events.
- The study group recommends further studies into the most suitable time for screening after a disaster, terrorist attack or other shocking events.
- The study group recommends further studies into the potentially negative consequences of screening in victims of disasters, terrorist attacks and other stressful life events.
- The study group recommends further studies into the effectiveness of CISM.
- The study group recommends further studies into the effectiveness of the psychological first aid module.
- The study group recommends further studies into the effectiveness of EMDR as a curative intervention in the first six weeks after stressful life events.
- The study group recommends further studies into the effectiveness of EMDR as a curative early intervention in children.
- The study group recommends further studies into the effectiveness of a structured relief protocol as a preventive intervention for children.
- The study group recommends further studies into pharmacological interventions in children.

## **Bibliography**

- American Psychiatric Association. (1994). Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (4<sup>th</sup> edition). Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Press.
- American Psychiatric Association (1994). A-criterium van de posttraumatische stress-stoornis (PTSS) [A criterion for post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD)] in Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (4<sup>th</sup> edition). Washington DC: American Psychiatric Press.
- American Psychiatric Association. (2000). *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders* (4th, revised edition). Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Press.
- American Psychiatric Association. (2004). Practice guideline for the treatment of patients with acute stress disorder and posttraumatic stress disorder. Arlington (VA): American Psychiatric Association. www.psych.org
- al Naser, F., & Everly, G.S., Jr. (1999). Prevalence of posttraumatic stress disorder among Kuwaiti firefighters. *International journal of emergency mental health*, 1, 99-101.
- André, C., Lelord, F., Légeron, P., et al (1997). Étude contrôlée sur l'efficacité à 6 mois d'une prise en charge précoce de 132 conducteurs d'autobus victimes d'agression [A controlled study on the effectiveness after 6 months of early treatment of bus drivers who have been victims of aggression]. Encéphale, 23, 65-71.
- Arendt, M., & Elklit, A. (2001). Effectiveness of psychological debriefing. Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica, 104, 423-437.
- Asaro, M.R. (2001). Working with adult homicide survivors, Part II: Helping family members cope with murder. *Perspectives in Psychiatric Care, 37,* 115-136.
- Aulagnier, M., Verger, P., & Rouillon, F. (2004). [Efficiency of psychological debriefing in preventing post-traumatic stress disorders]. *Revue d'épidémiologie et de santé publique, 52*, 67-79.
- Beer, R., & de Roos, C. (2004). Eye Movement Desensitization and Reprocessing (EMDR) bij kinderen en adolescenten. Theorie en empirie. [Eye Movement Desensitization and Reprocessing (EMDR) in children and adolescents. Theory and practice] Kind en Adolescent, 1.
- Berendsen, J.J., van der Velden, P.G., & Loon, P. (2004). *De eerste week: psychosociale nazorg vuurwerkramp Enschede* [The first week: psychosocial aftercare following the Enschede firework disaster] Zaltbommel:Institute for Psychotrauma.
- Berman, S.L., Silverman, W.K., & Kurtines, W.M. (2000). Youth exposure to crime and violence: Its effects and implications for intervention. *Journal of Cognitive Psychotherapy*, *14*, 37-50.
- Birmes, P., Carreras, D., Charlet, J.P., et al (2001). Peritraumatic dissociation and posttraumatic stress disorder in victims of violent assault. *Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease*, 189, 796-798.
- Bisson, J.I. (1997). Is post-tramumatic stress disorder preventable? *Journal of Mental Health (UK), 6,* 109-111.
- Bisson, J.I. (2002). Post-traumatic stress disorder. Clinical evidence, 7, 913-919.
- Bisson, J.I. (2003). Early interventions following traumatic events. Psychiatric Annals, 33, 37-44.
- Bisson, J.I. (2003). Single-session early psychological interventions following traumatic events. *Clinical Psychology Review*, *23*, 481-499.
- Bisson, J.I. (2005). Psychological debriefing--Does it work? Anonymous, *Anonymous* (pp. ). New York, US: Elsevier Science. 1999.
- Bisson, J.I., Ehlers, A., Matthews, R., et al (2007). Psychological treatments for chronic post-traumatic stress disorder: Systematic review and meta-analysis. *The British journal of psychiatry,* 190, 97-104.
- Bisson, J.I., Jenkins, P.L., Alexander, J., et al (1997). Randomised controlled trial of psychological debriefing for victims of acute burn trauma. The British journal of psychiatry, 171, 78-81.
- Bisson, J.I., McFarlane, A.C., & Rose, S. (2000). Psychological debriefing. Anonymous. *Anonymous* (pp. ). New York: Guilford Press.
- Bisson, J.I., Shepherd, J.P., Joy, D., et al (2004). Early cognitive-behavioural therapy for posttraumatic stress symptoms after physical injury: Randomised controlled trial. The British journal of psychiatry, 184, 63-69.
- Blanchard, E.B., & Hickling, E.J. (2004). Psychological assessment with MVA survivors. In E.B. Blanchard & E.J. Hickling (ed.), *After the crash: psychological assessment and treatment of survivors of MVA*, (pp. 213-229). Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.
- Blanchard, E.B., & Hickling, E.J. (2004). The treatment of MVA-related PTSD: A review of the recent controlled literature. In E.B. Blanchard & E.J. Hickling (ed.), *After the crash: psychological assessment and treatment of survivors of MVA*, (pp. 213-229). Washington, DC: American Psychological Association. Anonymous.

- Bledsoe, B.E. (2003). Critical incident stress management (CISM): benefit or risk for emergency services? *Prehospital emergency care. Official journal of the National Association of EMS Physicians and the National Association of State EMS Directors*, *7*, 272-279.
- Boscarino, J.A., Adams, R.E., & Figley, C.R. (2005). A Prospective Cohort Study of the Effectiveness of Employer-Sponsored Crisis Interventions after a Major Disaster. *International Journal of Emergency Mental Health*, 7, 9-22.
- Boscarino, J.A., Galea, S., Adams, R.E., et al (2004). Mental Health Service and Medication Use in New York City After the September 11, 2001, Terrorist Attack. *Psychiatric Services*, *55*, 274-283.
- Boscarino, J.A., Galea, S., Ahern, J., et al (2002). Utilization of mental health services following the September 11th terrorist attacks in Manhattan, New York City. *International Journal of Emergency Mental Health*, 4, 143-156.
- Breslau, N., Kessler, R.C., Chilcoat, H.D., et al (1998). Trauma and Posttraumatic Stress Disorder in the Community: The 1996 Detroit Area Survey of Trauma. Archives of General Psychiatry, 55, 626-632.
- Brewin, C.R. (2001). Cognitive and emotional reactions to traumatic events: implications for short-term intervention. *Advances in Mind-Body Medicine*, *17*, 163-168.
- Brewin, C.R., Rose, S., Andrews, B., Green, J., Tata, P., McEvedy, C., Turner, S., Foa, E.B. (2002), Brief screening instrument for post-traumatic stress disorder. *British journal of psychiatry*. 181, 158-162.
- Brewin, C.R. (2005). Systematic Review of Screening Instruments for Adults at Risk of PTSD. *Journal of Traumatic Stress*, *18*, 53-62.
- Brewin, C.R., Andrews, B., & Valentine, J.D. (2000). Meta-analysis of risk factors for posttraumatic stress disorder in trauma-exposed adults. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 68, 748-766.
- Brewin, C.R., Andrews, B., Rose, S., Kirk, M. (1999). Acute stress disorder and posttraumatic stress disorder in victims of violent crime. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, *156*:360-366.
- Bryant, R.A. (2003). Acute Stress Disorder: Is it a Useful Diagnosis? Clinical Psychologist, 7, 67-79.
- Bryant, R.A. (2003). Cognitive behaviour therapy of acute stress disorder. In R. Orner & U. Schnyder (ed.), Reconstructing early interventions after trauma (pp. 159-168). Oxford:Oxford University Press.
- Bryant, R.A. (2003). Early predictors of posttraumatic stress disorder. *Biological Psychiatry*, *53*, 789-795.
- Bryant, R.A. (2004). In the aftermath of trauma: Normative reactions and early interventions. Anonymous. Anonymous. New York, NY,US: John Wiley & Sons.
- Bryant, R.A. (2005). Psychosocial Approaches of Acute Stress Reactions. CNS Spectrums, 10, 116-118.
- Bryant, R.A., Harvey, A.G., Dang, S.T., et al (1998). Treatment of acute stress disorder: A
  comparison of cognitive-behavioral therapy and supportive counseling. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 66, 862-866.
- Bryant, R.A., Moulds, M.L., Guthrie, R.M., et al (2005). The Additive Benefit of Hypnosis and Cognitive-Behavioral Therapy in Treating Acute Stress Disorder. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 73, 334-340.
- Bryant, R.A., Moulds, M.L., Nixon, R.D., et al (2006). Hypnotherapy and cognitive behaviour therapy of acute stress disorder: a 3-year follow-up. *Behavior Research & Therapy, 44, 1331-1335.*
- Bryant, R.A., Moulds, M.L., Guthrie, R.M., et al (2003). Treating acute stress disorder following mild traumatic brain injury. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, *160*, 585-587.
- Bryant, R.A., Sackville, T, Dang, S.T., et al (1999). Treating acute stress disorder: An evaluation of cognitive behavior therapy and supporting counseling techniques. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 156, 1780-1786.
- Caffo, E., & Belaise, C. (2003). Psychological aspects of traumatic injury in children and adolescents. *Child and Adolescent Psychiatric Clinics of North America*, *12*, 493-535.
- Campbell, R. (1998). The community response to rape: victims' experiences with the legal, medical, and mental health systems. *American Journal of Community Psychology*, *26*, 355-379.
- Campbell, R., Baker, C.K., & Mazurek, T.L. (1998). Remaining radical? Organizational predictors of rape crisis centers' social change initiatives. *American Journal of Community Psychology*, 26, 457-483.
- Campfield, K.M., & Hills, A.M. (2001). Effect of timing of critical incident stress debriefing (CISD) on posttraumatic symptoms. *Journal of Traumatic Stress*, 14, 327-340.
- Cardena, E. (2001). Evaluation of post-traumatic stress disorders. *Military Medicine*, 166 (Suppl. 2), 53-54.

- Cardena, E., Koopman, C., Classen, C., et al (2000). Psychometric properties of the Stanford Acute Stress Reaction Questionnaire (SASRQ): A valid and reliable measure of acute stress. *Journal of Traumatic Stress*, 13, 719-734.
- Carlier, I.V.E., Lamberts, R.D., van Uchelen, A.J., et al (1998). Clinical utility of a brief diagnostic test for posttraumatic stress disorder. *Psychosomatic Medicine*, 60, 42-47.
- Carlier, I.V.E., Voerman, A.E., & Gersons, B.P.R. (2000). The influence of occupational debriefing on post-traumatic stress symptomatology in traumatized police officers. *British Journal of Medical Psychology*, 73, 87-98.
- Celano, M., Hazzard, A., Webb, C., et al (1996). Treatment of traumagenic beliefs among sexually abused girls and their mothers: an evaluation study. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, 24, 1-17
- Chemtob, C.M., Nakashima, J., & Carlson, J.G. (2002). Brief treatment for elementary school children with disaster-related posttraumatic stress disorder: A field study. *Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 58, 99-112.
- Chemtob CM, Nakashima JP, Hamada RS. Psychosocial intervention for postdisaster trauma symptoms in elementary school children: a controlled community field study. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med.* 2002 Mar;156(3):211-6.
- Cohen, J. (2003). Treating acute posttraumatic reactions in children and adolescents. Biological Psychiatry, 53, 827-833.
- Cohen, J.A., Deblinger, E., Mannarino, A.P., et al (2004). A multisite, randomized controlled trial for children with sexual abuse-related PTSD symptoms. *Journal of the American Academy of Child* and Adolescent Psychiatry, 43, 393-402.
- Cohen, J., Goodman, R.F., Brown, E.J., et al (2004). Treatment of childhood traumatic grief: contributing to a newly emerging condition in the wake of community trauma. *Harvard review of psychiatry*, *12*, 213-216.
- Cohen, J.A., & Mannarino, A.P. (1996). A treatment outcome study for sexually abused children: Initial findings. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, *35*, 42-50.
- Cohen, J.A., & Mannarino, A.P. (1998). Interventions for sexually abused children: initial treatment outcome findings. *Child Maltreatment, 3,* 17-26.
- Conlon, L., Fahy, T.J., & Conroy, R. (1999). PTSD in ambulant RTA victims: a randomized controlled trial of debriefing. *Journal of psychosomatic research*, *46*, 37-44.
- Corcoran, J., & Allen, S. (2005). The Effects of a Police/Victim Assistance Crisis Team Approach to Domestic Violence. *Journal of Family Violence*, *20*, 39-45.
- Cordova, M.J., Walser, R., Neff, J., et al (2005). Predictors of emotional adjustment following traumatic injury: personal, social, and material resources. *Prehospital and Disaster Medicine, 20,* 7-13.
- Cuijpers, P., van Straten, A., & Smit, F. (2005). Preventing the incidence of new cases of mental disorders: a meta-analytic review. *The Journal of nervous and mental disease*, 193, 119-125.
- Deahl, M. (2000). Psychological debriefing: Controversy and challenge. *Australian and New Zealand Journal of Psychiatry*, 34, 929-939.
- Deahl, M., Srinivasan, M., Jones, N., et al (2000). Preventing psychological trauma in soldiers: The role of operational stress training and psychological debriefing. *British Journal of Medical Psychology*, 73, 77-85.
- Deahl, M.P., Srinivasan, M., Jones, N, et al (2001). Evaluating psychological debriefing: are we measuring the right outcomes? *Journal of traumatic stress*, *14*, 527-529.
- Deblinger, E., Lippmann, J., & Steer, R. (1996). Sexually abused children suffering posttraumatic stress symptoms. *Child Maltreatment*, *1*, 310-321.
- Devilly, G.J. (2002). Clinical intervention, supportive counselling and therapeutic methods: A clarification and direction for restorative treatment. *International Review of Victimology*, *9*, 1-14.
- Devilly, G.J., & Cotton, P. (2003). Psychological Debriefing and the Workplace: Defining a Concept, Controversies and Guidelines for Intervention. *Australian Psychologist*, *38*, 144-150.
- DiGiovanni, C., Jr. (1999). Domestic terrorism with chemical or biological agents: Psychiatric aspects. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, *156*, 1500-1505.
- Doherty, G.W. (1999). Cross-cultural counseling in disaster settings. *Australasian Journal of Disaster and Trauma Studies*, 3.
- Dorresteijn, A.M., van der Velden, P.G., Kleber, R.J., et al (2003). De vuurwerkramp Enschede. Een vergelijkend onderzoek bij de getroffen kinderen en gezinnen [The Enschede firework disaster: A comparative study in the children and families affected]. Zaltbommel/Amsterdam: IVP/AMC/de Meren.
- Dyregrov, A. (1999). Helpful and hurtful aspects of psychological debriefing groups. International *Journal of Emergency Mental Health, 1,* 175-181.

- Echeburua, E., Corral, P., Sarasua, B., Zubizaretta, I. (1996). Treatment of acute posttraumatic stress disorder in rape victims; an experimental study. *Journal of anxiety disorders, 10 (3),* 185-199.
- Ehlers, A., Clark, D.M., Hackmann, A., et al (2003). A randomized controlled trial of cognitive therapy, a self-help booklet, and repeated assessments as early interventions for posttraumatic stress disorder. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, *60*, 1024-1032.
- Ehlers, A., & Clark, D.M. (2003). Early psychological interventions for adult survivors of trauma: A review. *Biological Psychiatry*, *53*, 817-826.
- Eland, J. & Kleber, R.J. (1996a). *De Schokverwerkingslijst voor kinderen (SVLK)* [The shock coping list for children], Utrecht, Institute for Psychotrauma.
- Eland, J. & Kleber, R.J. (1996b). *De Schokverwerkingslijst voor jonge kinderen (SVLJK)* [The shock coping list for young children]. Utrecht, Institute for Psychotrauma.
- Eland, J., de Roos, C., & Kleber, R. (2002). *Kind en trauma; een opvangprogramma* [Child and trauma; a relief programme]. Lisse; Swets & Zeitlinger.
- Eland, J., de Roos, C., & Kleber, R. (1999). *Ontwikkelingsonderzoek naar een opvangprotocol voor kinderen na acute traumatisering* [A development study into a relief protocol for children following acute traumatisation] [...].
- Emmerik, A. van, Kamphuis, J.H., Hulsbosch, A.M., et al (2002). Single session debriefing after psychological trauma: a meta-analysis. *Lancet*, *303*, 766-771.
- Etten, M.L. Van & Taylor, S. (1998). Comparative efficacy of treatments for post-traumatic stress disorder: a meta-analysis. *Clinical Psychology and Psychotherapy*, vol. 5, no. 3, pp. 126-144.
- Everly, G.S., Jr. (2001). Thoughts on early intervention. *International Journal of Emergency Mental Health*, *3*, 207-210.
- Everly, G.S., & Boyle, S.H. (1999). Critical incident stress debriefing (CISD): a meta-analysis. *International Journal of Emergency Mental Health, 1,* 165-168.
- Everly, G.S., Boyle, S.H., & Lating, J.M. (1999). The effectiveness of psychological debriefing with vicarious trauma: A meta-analysis. *Stress Medicine*, *15*, 229-233.
- Everly, G.S., Jr., Flannery, R.B., Jr., & Eyler, V.A. (2002). Critical Incident Stress Management (CISM): a statistical review of the literature. *The Psychiatric quarterly, 73,* 171-182.
- Everly, G.S., Jr., Flannery, R.B., Eyler, V.A., et al (2001). Sufficiency analysis of an integrated multicomponent approach to crisis intervention: Critical incident stress management. Advances *in Mind-Body Medicine*, *17*, 174-181.
- Everly, G.S., Jr., & Lating, J.M. (2004). Mitigating Posttraumatic Stress. Anonymous. Anonymous. Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.
- Everly G.S. & Mitchell J.T. (1999). *Critical Incident Stress Management (CISM): A new era and standard of care in crisis intervention.* Ellicott City, MD: Chevron Publishing.
- Flannery, R.B. (1999). Psychological trauma and posttraumatic stress disorder: A review. *International Journal of Emergency Mental Health*, *1*, 135-140.
- Flannery, R.B., Everly, G.S., Jr., & Eyler, V. (2000). The Assaulted Staff Action Program (ASAP) and declines in assaults: A meta-analysis. *International Journal of Emergency Mental Health* 2:143-148, 2000.
- Flannery, R.B., & Everly, G.S. (2000). Crisis intervention: A review. *International Journal of Emergency Mental Health*, *2*, 119-125.
- Foa, E.B., Cahill, S.P., Boscarino, J.A., et al (2005). Social, psychological, and psychiatric interventions following terrorist attacks: Recommendations for practice and research. Neuropsychopharmacology 30:1806-1817, 2005.
- Foa, E.B. et al (2000). Effective treatments for PTSD: practice guidelines from the International Society of Traumatic Stress Studies. New York: Guilford Press, 2000. 388 pp.
- Foa, E.B., Zoellner, L.A., Feeny, N.C. (2006). An Evaluation of Three Brief Programs for Facilitating Recovery After Assault. *Journal of Traumatic Stress* 19(1), 29-43.
- Foa, E.B., Hearst-Ikeda, D., & Perry, K.J. (1995). Evaluation of a brief cognitive-behavioral program for the prevention of chronic PTSD in recent assault victims. *Journal of consulting and clinical psychology, 63,* 948-955.
- Fremont, W.P. (2004). Childhood reactions to terrorism-induced trauma: A review of the past 10 years. *Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*, 43, 381-392.
- Gelpin, E. et al (1996). Treatment of recent trauma survivors with benzodiazepines: a prospective study. *J Clin Psychiatry*, 57: 390 394.
- Gersons, B.P., & Carlier, I.V.E. (1998). Behandelingsstrategieën bij posttraumatische stressstoornissen [Treatment strategies in post-traumatic stress disorders] Houten: Bohn Stafleu van Loghum.

- Gersons, B.P., Carlier, I.V., Lamberts, R.D., et al (2000). Randomized clinical trial of brief eclectic psychotherapy for police officers with posttraumatic stress disorder. *Journal of traumatic.stress.* 13 (2):333-347, 2000.
- Gersons, B.P.R., Huijsman-Rubingh, R.R.R., & Olff, M. (2004). De psychosociale zorg na de vuurwerkramp in Enschede; lessen van de Bijlmer-vliegramp [Psychosocial care after the firework disaster in Enschede; lessons from the Bijlmer aviation disaster]. Nederlands Tijdschrift voor Geneeskunde, 148, 1426-1430.
- Gezondheidsraad. (2006). Gevolgen van rampen voor de gezondheid op middellange en lange termijn [Consequences of disasters for health in the medium and long term] [...]: Health Council of the Netherlands.
- Gidron, Y., Gal, R, Freedman, S., et al (2001). Translating research findings to PTSD prevention: Results of a randomized-controlled pilot study. *Journal of Traumatic Stress*, *14*, 773-780.
- Gist, R., & Grant, J. (2002). Devilly. Post-trauma debriefing: The road too frequently travelled. *Lancet*, 360, 741-742.
- Goenjian, A.K., Karayan, I., Pynoos, R.S., et al (1997). Outcome of psychotherapy among early adolescents after trauma. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, *154*, 536-542.
- Gold, S.M., Zakowski, S.G., Heiddis, B., et al (2004). Higher Beck depression scores predict delayed epinephrine recovery after acute psychological stress independent of baseline levels of stress and mood. *Biological Psychology*, 67, 261-273.
- Gore-Felton, C., Gill, M., Koopman, C., et al (1999). A review of acute stress reactions among victims of violence: Implications for early intervention. Aggression and Violent Behavior, 4, 293-306.
- Gray, M.J., & Litz, B.T. (2005). Behavioral Interventions for Recent Trauma: Empirically Informed Practice Guidelines. *Behavior Modification*, *29*, 189-215.
- Gray, M.J., Litz, B.T., & Olson, A.R. (2004). Methodological and ethical issues in early intervention research. Anonymous. *Anonymous*. New York, NY, US: Guilford Press. 2004.
- Grievink, L., van der Velden, P.G., Christiaanse, B., et al (2002). Addendum bij hoofdrapportage.
   Gezondheid reddingswerkers vuurwerkramp Enschede; gezondheidsonderzoek 18 maanden na de ramp [Addendum to the main report. Health of rescue workers in the Enschede firework disaster; a health survey 18 months after the disaster] Bilthoven/Zaltbommel: RIVM/IvP, 2002.
- Grievink, L., van der Velden, P.G., Christiaanse, B., et al (2004). *Gezondheid getroffenen vier jaar na de vuurwerkramp Enschede* [The health of those affected four years after the Enschede firework disaster] Bilthoven/Zaltbommel: RIVM/IvP, October 2004.
- Harvey, A.G., Bryant, R.A., & Tarrier, N. (2003)). Cognitive behaviour therapy for posttraumatic stress disorder. *Clinical Psychology Review*, *23*, 501-522.
- Harvey, A.G., & Bryant, R.A. (1998). The relationship between acute stress disorder and post-traumatic stress disorder: A prospective evaluation of motor vehicle accident survivors. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 66, 507-512.
- Havenaar, J.M., & Bromet, E.J. (2003). De psychiatrische gevolgen van rampen: Een overzicht van de epidemiologische literatuur [The psychological consequences of disasters: An overview of the epidemiological literature]. *Tijdschrift voor Psychiatrie*, *45*, 367-376.
- Helsloot, & Ruitenberg, A. (2004). Citizen Response to Disasters: A Survey of Literature and Some Practical Implications. *Journal of Contingencies and Crisis Management, 12,* 98-111.
- Hobbs, M., Mayou, R., Harrison, B., et al (1996). A randomised controlled trial of psychological debriefing for victims of road traffic accidents. *BMJ: British medical journal*, *313*, 1438-1439.
- Holbrook, T.L., Hoyt, D.B., Stein, M.B., et al (2001). Perceived threat to life predicts posttraumatic stress disorder after major trauma: risk factors and functional outcome. *Journal of Trauma Injury, Infection and Critical Care, 51*, 287-293.
- Hove, C. ten. (2002). Faro: de ramp na de ramp [Faro: the disaster after the disaster]. Den Haag: Elsevier Overheid.
- Jaberghaderi, N. (2004). A comparison of CBT and EMDR for sexually-abused Iranian girls. *Clinical Psychology and Psychotherapy*, vol. 11, no. 5, pp. 358-368.
- Jackson, T., Witte, T., & Petretic-Jackson, P. (2001). Intimate partner and acquaintance violence and victim blame: Implications for professionals. *Brief Treatment and Crisis Intervention*, 1, 153-168.
- Jaffe, P.G., & Crooks, V.C. (2004). Partner Violence and Child Custody Cases: A Cross-National Comparison of Legal Reforms and Issues. *Violence Against Women, 10,* 917-934.
- Joseph, S. (2000). Psychometric evaluation of Horowitz's Impact of Event Scale: a review. *Journal of Traumatic Stress*, *13*, 101-113.

- Kamp, I. van, van der Velden, P.G., Stellato, R.K., et al (2006). Physical and mental health shortly after a disaster: first results from the Enschede firework disaster study. *European Journal of Public Health*, *16*, 253-259.
- Kamp, I. van, & van der Velden, P.G. (2001). Vuurwerkramp Enschede: Lichamelijke en geestelijke gezondheid en ervaringen met de ramp; rapportage van het gezondheidsonderzoek. [The Enschede firework disaster: Physical and mental health and experiences with the disaster; a report on the health survey] [..]: GGD Twente/IvP/RIVM.
- Kaniasty, K. (2005). Social support and traumatic stress. PTSD research Quarterly, 16, 1-8.
- Kenardy, J.A., & Carr, V.J. (2000). Debriefing post disaster: Follow-up after a major earthquake. Anonymous. Anonymous. New York, NY, US: Cambridge University Press.
- King, N.J., Tonge, B.J., Mullen, P., et al (2000). Treating sexually abused children with posttraumatic stress symptoms: a randomized clinical trial. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, *39*, 1347-1355.
- Kirschbaum, C., Klauer, T., Filipp, S.H., et al (1995). Sex-specific effects of social support on cortisol and subjective responses to acute psychological stress. *Psychosomatic medicine*, *57*, 23-31.
- Koren, D., Arnon, I., & Klein, E. (1999). Acute stress response and posttraumatic stress disorder in traffic accident victims: A one-year prospective, follow-up study. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 156, 367-373.
- Landelijke Stuurgroep Multidisciplinaire Richtlijnontwikkeling in de GGZ (2003). Multidisciplinaire Richtlijn Angststoornissen [National Steering Committee on Multidisciplinary Guideline Development in Mental Health Care (2003). Multidisciplinary Guideline on Anxiety Disorders]. Utrecht: Trimbos Institute
- Landelijke Stuurgroep Multidisciplinaire Richtlijnontwikkeling in de GGZ (2005). *Multidisciplinaire Richtlijn Depressie*. [National Steering Committee on Multidisciplinary Guideline Development in Mental Health Care (2005). Multidisciplinary Guideline on Depression]. Utrecht: Trimbos Institute
- Lewis, S.J. (2003). Do one-shot preventive interventions for PTSD work? A systematic research synthesis of psychological debriefings. *Aggression and Violent Behavior, 8*, 329-343.
- Litz, B.T., & Gray, M.J. (2002). Early intervention for mass violence: What is the evidence? What should be done? *Cognitive and Behavioral Practice*, *9*, 266-272.
- Litz, B.T., Gray, M.J., Bryant, R.A., et al (2002). Early intervention for trauma: Current status and future directions. *Clinical Psychology: Science and Practice*, *9*, 112-134.
- Litz et al, Plenary talk ISTSS, (2004). The International Society for Traumatic Stress Studies 20th Annual Meeting Final Program and Proceedings War As A Universal Trauma, November 14–18, 2004. Hilton New Orleans Riverside New Orleans, Louisiana, USA.
- Loey, N.E. van, Maas, C.J., Faber, A.W., et al (2003). Predictors of chronic posttraumatic stress symptoms following burn injury: results of a longitudinal study. *Journal of Traumatic Stress, 16*, 361-369.
- Macnab, J., Russell, J.A., Lowe, J.P., et al (1999). Critical incident stress intervention after loss of an air ambulance: two-year follow up. *Prehospital and disaster medicine: the official journal of the National Association of EMS Physicians and the World Association for Emergency and Disaster Medicine in association with the Acute Care Foundation, 14*, 8-12.
- Macnab, J., Sun, C., & Lowe, J. (2003). Randomized, controlled trial of three levels of critical incident stress intervention. Prehospital and disaster medicine: the official journal of the National Association of EMS Physicians and the World Association for Emergency and Disaster Medicine in association with the Acute Care Foundation, 18, 367-371.
- McNally, R.J. (2003). Psychological mechanisms in acute response to trauma. *Biological Psychiatry* 53 (9), 779-88.
- Macy, R.D., Behar, L., Paulson, R., et al (2004). Community-based, acute posttraumatic stress management: A description and evaluation of a psychosocial-intervention continuum. *Harvard Review of Psychiatry*, 12, 217-228.
- March, J.S. (2003). Acute stress disorder in youth: A multivariate prediction model. *Biological Psychiatry*, *53*, 809-816.
- Marchand, A., Guay, S., Boyer, R., Iucci, S., Martin, A., St-Hilaire, M. (2006). A Randomized Controlled Trial of an Adapted Form of Individual Critical Incident Stress Debriefing for Victims of an Armed Robbery. Brief treatment and crisis intervention.
- Martino, C. (2000). Psychological consequences of terrorism. *International Journal of Emergency Mental Health, 4,* 105-112.
- Maxfield, L. & Hyer, L. A. (2002). The relationship between efficacy and methodology in studies investigating EMDR treatment of PTSD. *Journal of Clinical Psychology*, vol. 58, no. 1, pp. 23-41.

- Mayou, R.A., Ehlers, A., & Bryant, B. (2002). Posttraumatic stress disorder after motor vehicle accidents: 3-year follow-up of a prospective longitudinal study. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*, 40, 665-675.
- Mayou, R.A., Ehlers, A., & Hobbs, M. (2000). Psychological debriefing for road traffic accident victims: Three-year follow-up of a randomised controlled trial. *British Journal of Psychiatry*, 176, 589-593.
- Mellman, T.A., Bustamante, V., David, D., et al (2002). Hypnotic medication in the aftermath of trauma. *Journal of Clinical Psychiatry*, *63*, 1183-1184.
- Milgram, N. (2004). Mass Trauma Intervention Goes to School. Anonymous. Anonymous. US: American Psychological Association. 49, 2004.
- Miller, J. (2003). Critical incident debriefing and social work: Expanding the frame. *Journal of Social Service Research* 30:7-25, 2003.
- Miller, L. (1999). Critical Incident Stress Debriefing: Clinical applications and new directions. *International Journal of Emergency Mental Health*, *1*, 253-265.
- Miller, L. (2002). Psychological interventions for terroristic trauma: Symptoms, syndromes, and treatment strategies. *Psychotherapy: Theory, Research, Practice, Training, 39*, 283-296
- Miller, M.E., & Cohen, S. (2001). Psychological interventions and the immune system: a meta-analytic review and critique. *Health psychology: official journal of the Division of Health Psychology, 20, 47-63.*
- Mitchell, J.T. (2003). Major misconceptions in crisis intervention. *International journal of emergency mental health*, *5*, 185-197.
- Mitchell, M. (1999). A current view from the UK on post incident care: 'Debriefing,' 'defusing' and just talking about it. Anonymous. Anonymous. Springfield, IL, US: Charles C. Thomas Publisher.
- Mitchell, M., Sakraida, T.J., & Kameg, K. (2003). Critical incident stress debriefing: implications for best practice. Disaster management & response: DMR: an official publication of the Emergency Nurses Association, 1, 46-51.
- Mitchell J.T. & Everly G.S. (1996). *Critical Incident Stress Debriefing: An Operations Manual.* Ellicott City, MD: Chevron Publishing Corporation
- Mitte, K., Steil, R., & Nachtigall, C. (2005). Eine Meta-Analyse unter Einsatz des Random Effects-Modells zur Effektivität kurzfristiger psychologischer Interventionen nach akuter Traumatisierung [A meta-analysis using the random effect model of the effectiveness of short psychological interventions following acute traumatisation]. Zeitschrift für Klinische Psychologie und Psychotherapie: Forschung und Praxis, 34, 1-9.
- Murray, J., Ehlers, A., & Mayou, R.A. (2002). Dissociation and post-traumatic stress disorder: Two prospective studies of road traffic accident survivors. British Journal of Psychiatry, 180, 363-368.
- Nachtigall, C., Mitte, K., & Steil, R. (2003). Zur Vorbeugung posttraumatischer Symptomatik nach einer Traumatisierung: Eine Meta-Analyse zur Wirksamkeit kurzfristiger Interventionen [On the prevention of post-traumatic symptoms following traumatisation: A meta-analysis of the effectiveness of short interventions]. Verhaltenstherapie & Psychosoziale Praxis, 35, 273-281.
- National Institute for Clinical Excellence (NICE). (2005). Post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD). Anxiety: Management of post-traumatic stress disorder in adults in primary, secondary and community care. London, UK: National Health Service, National Collaborating Centre for Mental Health. www.nice.org.uk
- Nederlands Huisartsen Genootschap. (2004). *NHG-standaard Angststoornissen M62*. Utrecht: NHG [Dutch College of General Practitioners. Standard on anxiety disorders]
- Nederlands Huisartsen Genootschap. (2003). *NHG-standaard Depressie M62*. Utrecht: NHG [Dutch College of General Practitioners. Standard on depression].
- Nederlands Huisartsen Genootschap. (2005). NHG-standaard standaard slaapproblemen en slaapmiddelen. Utrecht: NHG [[Dutch College of General Practitioners. Standard on sleep problems and sleeping agents].
- Nederlandse Vereniging voor Arbeids- en Bedrijfsgeneeskunde (NVAB). Blauwdruk voor de aanpak van werkgerelateerde interventies in CBO-richtlijnen [Plan for the approach of work-related interventions in CBO guidelines]. http://nvab.artsennet.nl
- Nederlandse Vereniging voor Arbeids- en Bedrijfsgeneeskunde (NVAB). Handelen van de bedrijfsarts bij werknemers met Psychische klachten. Geautoriseerde richtlijn Psychische Klachten [Practice among company doctors for employees with mental problems.

- Authorised guideline on psychological problems]. NVAB Richtlijnen. http://nvab.artsennet.nl
- Nederlandse Vereniging voor Arbeids- en Bedrijfsgeneeskunde (NVAB). Leidraad voor bedrijfsartsen bij samenwerking met huisartsen [Guideline for company doctors on working with GPs]. LESA Overspanning. NVAB Richtlijnen. http://nvab.artsennet.nl
- Norris, F.H., Friedman, M.J., Watson, P.J., et al (2002). 60,000 disaster victims speak: part
   I. An Empirical Review of the Empirical Literature, 1981-2001. *Psychiatry*, 65, 207-239.
- Norris, F.H., Friedman, M.J., & Watson, P.J. (2002). 60,000 disaster victims speak: Part II.
   Summary and implications of the disaster mental health research. *Psychiatry:* Interpersonal and Biological Processes, 65, 240-260.
- Norris, F.H., & Hamblen, J.L. (2004). Standardized Self-Report Measures of Civilian Trauma and PTSD. In J.P. Wilson & T.M. Keane (ed.), Assessing psychological trauma and PTSD (pp. 63-102). New York:Guilford Press.
- O'Donnell, L., Creamer, M., Bryant, R.A., et al (2003). Posttraumatic disorders following injury: an empirical and methodological review. *Clinical Psychological Review*, 23, 587-603.
- R. Ørner, R. (2003). A new evidence base for making early intervention in emergency services complementary to officers'preferred adjustment strategies. In R. Ørner & U. Schnyder (ed..), Reconstructing Early Interventions after Trauma (pp. 143-153). Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Ozer, E.J., Best, S.R., Lipsey, T.L., et al (2003). Predictors of posttraumatic stress disorder and symptoms in adults: a meta-analysis. *Psychological Bulletin*, 129, 52-73.
- Papperman, T.J. (2003). Psychological Debriefing and Early Intervention after Trauma: Emerging Perspectives. *NYS Psychologist*, *15*, 27-30.
- Parker, C.L., Everly, G.S., Barnett, D.J., Links, J.M. (2006). Establishing evidence-informed core intervention competencies in *psychological first aid* for public health personnel. *International Journal Of Emergency Mental Health*, 8 (2), 83-92.
- Patterson, G.T. (2001). Reconceptualizing traumatic incidents experienced by law enforcement personnel. *Australasian Journal of Disaster and Trauma Studies*, 5.
- Phipps, A., & Byrne, M.K. (2003). Brief interventions for secondary trauma: review and recommendations. Stress and Health: Journal of the International Society for the Investigation of Stress, 19, 139-147.
- Pitman, R.K., Sanders, K.M., Zusman, R.M., et al (2002). Pilot study of secondary prevention of posttraumatic stress disorder with propranolol. *Biological Psychiatry*, 51, 189-192.
- Raphael, B., Martinek, N., & Wooding, S. (2004). Assessing Traumatic Bereavement. In J.P. Wilson & T.M. Keane, Assessing psychological trauma and PTSD (pp. 492-510). New York: Guilford Press.
- Raphael, B., Meldrum, L., & McFarlane, A.C. (1996). Does debriefing after psychological trauma work? Time for randomised controlled trials. Accident and emergency nursing, 4, 65-67.
- Raphael, J.B., Wilson, J., Meldrum, L., et al (1996). Acute preventative interventions. In B.A. van der Kolk, A.C. McFarlan & L. Weisaeth, *Traumatic stress: The effects of overwhelming experience on mind, body, and society (pp. 463-479).* New York:Guilford Press.
- Raphael, B., & Wooding, S. (2001). Early mental health interventions for traumatic loss in adults. Anonymous. Anonymous. New York, NY,US: Guilford Press. 2004. In terms of evidence-based interventions for prevention and treatment of traumatic bereavement, a number of approaches have been discussed (Raphael, Minkov, & Dobson, 2001; Stroebe, Hannson, Stroebe, &, Schut, 2001).
- Regehr, C. (2001). Crisis debriefing groups for emergency responders: Reviewing the evidence. *Brief Treatment and Crisis Intervention*, 1, 87-100.
- Resick, P.A. (2001). Cognitive therapy for posttraumatic stress disorder. *Journal of Cognitive Psychotherapy*, *15*, 321-329.
- Resnick, H., Acierno, R., Holmes, M., et al (2000). Emergency evaluation and intervention with female victims of rape and other violence. *Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 56, 1317-1333
- Resnick, H., Acierno, R., Kilpatrick, D.G., et al (2005). Description of an Early Intervention to Prevent Substance Abuse and Psychopathology in Recent Rape Victims. *Behavior Modification*, 29, 156-188.

- Richards, D. (2001). A field study of critical incident stress debriefing versus critical incident stress management. *Journal of Mental Health (UK)*, 10, 351-362.
- Richmond, R.L., Kehoe, L., Hailstone, S., et al (1999). Quantitative and qualitative evaluations of brief interventions to change excessive drinking, smoking and stress in the police force. Addiction, 94, 1509-1521.
- Ritchie, E.C. (2003). Mass Violence and Early Intervention: Best Practice Guidelines.
   Primary Psychiatry, 10, 43-48.
- Roberts, A.R., Everly, G.S. (2006). A meta-analysis of 31 crisis intervention studies. *Brief treatment and Crisis Intervention 6(1)*, 10-21.
- Roos, C. de, & Eland, J. (2005). Posttraumatische stress-stoornis bij kinderen en adolescenten: diagnostiek en hulpverlening [Post-traumatic stress disorder in children and adolescents: diagnosis and support]. In B. Gersons & M. Olff (ed.), Behandelingsstratiegieen bij posttraumatische stress-stoornissen. Houten: Bohn Stafleu van Loghum.
- Roos, C. de, de Jongh, A., Greenwald, R., et al (submitted). A controlled comparison of EMDR and CBT for children and adolescents exposed to the Enschede fireworks disaster in the Netherlands.
- S. Rose, S., & Bisson, J. (1998). Brief early psychological interventions following trauma: A systematic review of the literature. *Journal of Traumatic Stress*, *11*, 697-710.
- Rose, S., Bisson, J., Churchill, R., et al (2001). Psychological debriefing for preventing post traumatic stress disorder (PTSD). *The Cochrane database of systematic reviews, 3*, 2001.
- Rose, S., Bisson, J., Wessely, S. (2003). A systematic review of single-session psychological interventions ('debriefing') following trauma. *Psychotherapy and Psychosomatics*, 72, 176-184.
- Rose, S., Bisson, J., Wessely, S. (2003). A systematic review of single psychological interventions ('debriefing') following trauma: updating the Cochrane review and implications for good practice. In R.J. Ørner & U. Snyder (ed.), Reconstructing early intervention after trauma: innovations in the care of survivors (pp. 24-39). Oxford:Oxford University Press.
- Rose, S., Brewin, C.R., Andrews, B., et al (1999). A randomized controlled trial of individual psychological debriefing for victims of violent crime. Anonymous. Anonymous. US: Cambridge University Press. 29
- Schelling, G. et al (2001). The effect of stress doses of hydrocortisone during septic shock on posttraumatic stress disorder in survivors. *Biological Psychiatry*, vol. 50, no. 12, pp. 978-985.
- Schnyder, U. (2005). Psychothérapies pour les PTSD une vue d'ensemble [Psychotherapies for PTSD - an overview]. Psychothérapies, 25, 39-52.
- Seidler, G.H., & Wagner, F. E. (2006). Comparing the efficacy of EMDR and trauma-focused cognitive-behavioral therapy in the treatment of PTSD: a meta-analytic study. *Psychological Medicine*, 36, 1515-1522.
- Sensky, T. (2003). The utility of systematic reviews: The case of psychological debriefing after trauma. *Psychotherapy and Psychosomatics*, 72, 171-175.
- Seynaeve, G.J.R. (2001). Psycho-social support in situations of mass emergency: European policy paper concerning different aspects of psychological support and social accompaniment for people involved in major accidents and disasters. Brussels: Ministry of Public Health.
- Shalev, A.Y., Freedman, S., Peri, T., et al (1997). Predicting PTSD in trauma survivors: Prospective evaluation of self-report and clinician-administered instruments. *British Journal of Psychiatry*, *170*, 558-564.
- Shapiro, F. (1996). Eye movement desensitization and reprocessing (EMDR): Evaluation of controlled PTSD research. *Journal of Behavior Therapy and Experimental Psychiatry*, *27*, 209-218.
- Shapiro, F. (2001). Eye movement desensitization and reprocessing: basic principles, protocols, and procedures, 2nd ed. New York: Guilford Press, 2001. 472 pp.
- Shear, K., Frank, E., Houck, P.R., et al (2005). III. Treatment of complicated grief: a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA: the journal of the American Medical Association*, 293, 2601-2608.
- Sijbrandij, M.E.M., Olff, M., Reitsma, J.B., et al (2007). Treatment of acute posttraumatic stress disorder with brief cognitive behavioural therapy: a randomized controlled trial, *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 164, 82-90.

- Silove, D., Blaszczynski, A., Manicavasager, V., et al (2003). Capacity of Screening Questionnaires to Predict Psychiatric Morbidity 18 Months After Motor Vehicle Accidents. *Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease*, 191, 604-610.
- Silver, S.M., Rogers, S., Knipe, J., et al (2005). EMDR Therapy Following the 9/11 Terrorist Attacks: A Community-Based Intervention Project in New York City. *International Journal of Stress Management*, 12, 29-42.
- Stallard, P. Velleman, R., Salter, E., et al (2006). A randomised controlled trial to determine the effectiveness of an early psychological intervention with children involved in road traffic accidents. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry, and allied disciplines, 47,* 127-134.
- Stein, B.D., Jaycox, L.H., Kataoka, S.H., et al (2003). A Mental Health Intervention for Schoolchildren Exposed to Violence: A Randomized Controlled Trial. *JAMA: Journal of the American Medical Association*, 290, 603-611.
- Stein, D.J., Seedat, S., van der Linden, G.J.H., et al (2000). Selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors in the treatment of post-traumatic stress disorder: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *International Clinical Psychopharmacology*, 15(Suppl. 2), S31-S39.
- Stewart, L.N. (2001). Crisis intervention training for nurses. Anonymous. Anonymous. US: Univ Microfilms International. 61.
- Taylor, S., Wald, J., & Asmundson, G.J.G. (2005). Current Status and Future Directions in the Cognitive-Behavioral Treatment of PTSD. Anonymous. Anonymous. Hauppauge, NY,US: Nova Science Publishers.
- Townsend, C.J., & Loughlin, J.M. (1998). Critical incident stress debriefing in international aid workers. *Journal of travel medicine: official publication of the International Society of Travel Medicine and the Asia Pacific Travel Health Association*, *5*, 226-227.
- Turpin, G., Downs, M., & Mason, S. (2005). Effectiveness of providing self-help information following acute traumatic injury: Randomised controlled trial. *British Journal of Psychiatry*, 187, 76-82.
- Ursano, R.J., Fullerton, C.S., & Norwood, A.E. (1995). Psychiatric dimensions of disaster: Patient care, community consultation, and preventive medicine. *Harvard Review of Psychiatry*, 3, 196-209.
- Velden, G. van der, Eland, J., Kleber, R.J. (1997). Handboek voor opvang na rampen en calamiteiten [A handbook for relief following disasters and catastrophes].
   Zaltbommel:THEMA.
- Velden, P.G. van der, Grievink, L., Dorresteijn, A.M., et al (2005). Psychische klachten en het gebruik van de geestelijke gezondheidszorg na de vuurwerkramp Enschede: een longitudinaal vergelijkend onderzoek [Psychological problems and the use of mental health care following the Enschede firework disaster: a longitudinal comparative study]. *Tijdschrift voor Psychiatrie*. 47, 571-582.
- Velden, P.G. van der, Grievink, L., Dusseldorp, A., et al (2002). *Gezondheid getroffenen vuurwerkramp Enschede. Rapportage gezondheidsonderzoek 18 maanden na de ramp* [The health of those affected by the Enschede firework disaster. A report on the health survey 18 months after the disaster]. Bilthoven/Zaltbommel: RIVM/IvP.
- Velden, P.G. van der, Grievink, L., Kleber, R.J., et al (2006). Post-disaster mental health problems and the utilization of mental health services: a four-year longitudinal comparative study. *Administration and policy in mental health*, *33*, 279-288.
- Velden, P.G. van der, & Loon, P. (2005). Psychosociale opvang in de eerste week na een ramp achtergrond, doelstellingen en activiteiten: VWS startnotitie: [Psychosocial relief in the first week following a disaster, background, aims and activities: Preliminary memorandum of the Ministry of Health, Welfare and Sports]: Institute for Psychotrauma.
- Vereniging Nederlandse Gemeenten. (2004). Slagen voor Veiligheid. Opzet Informatie- en Adviescentrum (IAC) na rampen. [...]: VNG Uitgeverij.
- Verschuur, M.J., Maric, M., Cuijpers, A.T.F., van Emmerik, A.A.P., Rosendaal, F.R., Spinhoven, P. (2004). Het effect van het medisch onderzoek vliegramp Bijlmermeer op de gezondheidsbeleving van bewoners en hulpverleners ruim acht jaar na de vliegramp Bijlmermeer. Eindrapport van het medisch onderzoek vliegramp Bijlmermeer. Effectenonderzoek (MOVB-EF). [The effect of the medical survey into the Bijlmer aviation disaster on the health of residents and relief workers eight years after the Bijlmer aviation disaster. Final report of the medical survey into the Bijlmer aviation disaster].
- Watson, P.J., Friedman, M.J., Gibson, L.E., et al (2003). Early intervention for traumarelated problems. Anonymous. Anonymous. Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Publishing.

- Watson, P.J., & Shalev, A.Y. (2005). Assessment and Treatment of Adult Acute Responses to Traumatic Stress Following Mass Traumatic Events. *CNS Spectrums*, *10*, 123-131.
- Webb, N.B. (2005). Groups for Children Traumatically Bereaved by the Attacks of September 11, 2001. International Journal of Group Psychotherapy, 55, 355-374.
- Weisath, L. (1991). The information and support center: preventing the after-effects of disaster trauma. Psychiatric disorders in the social domain. Oslo: Norwegian University Press.
- Wessely, S., Rose, S., & Bisson, J. (2000). Brief psychological interventions ("debriefing") for trauma-related symptoms and the prevention of post traumatic stress disorder. *The Cochrane database of systematic reviews, 2.*
- Wessely, S.C. (2003). The role of screening in the prevention of psychological disorders arising after major trauma: pros and cons. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Wilson, J.P., Raphael, B., Meldrum, L., et al (2000). Chris Bedosky, and Melissa Sigman. Preventing PTSD in trauma survivors. *Bulletin of the Menninger Clinic, 64,* 181-196.
- Winkel, F.W., & de Winter, S. (1995). The perceived credibility of rape victims during a police interview: An experiment among victim assistance workers. Anonymous. Anonymous. Oxford, UK:: Walter De Gruyter.
- Winkel, F.W., Wohlfarth, T., & Blaauw, E. (2004). Police Referral to Victim Support: The Predictive and Diagnostic Value of the RISK (10) Screening Instrument. Crisis: The Journal of Crisis Intervention and Suicide Prevention, 25, 118-127.
- Winston, F.K., Kassam-Adams, N., Garcia-España, F., e.a. (2003). Screening for Risk of Persistent Posttraumatic Stress in Injured Children and Their Parents. JAMA: Journal of the American Medical Association, 290, 643-649.
- Witteveen, A.B., Bramsen, I., Johannes E. Hovens, and Henk M. van der Ploeg. Utility of the Impact of Event Scale in screening for posttraumatic stress disorder. Psychological Reports, 97, 297-308.
- Wohlfarth, T.D., van den Brink, W., Winkel, F.W., e.a. (2003). Screening for posttraumatic stress disorder: An evaluation of two self-report scales among crime victims. Psychological Assessment, 15, 101-109.
- Wohlfarth, T.D., Winkel, F.W., van den Brink, W. (2002). Identifying crime victims who are at high risk for post traumatic stress disorder: Developing a practical referral instrument. Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica, 105, 451-460.
- Zatzick, D. (2003). Collaborative care for injured victims of individual and mass trauma: A
  health services research approach to developing early interventions. Anonymous.
  Anonymous. New YorkS: Cambridge University Press.
- Zatzick, D., Roy-Byrne, P., Russo, J., e.a. (204). A randomized effectiveness trial of stepped collaborative care for acutely injured trauma survivors. Archives of General Psychiatry, 61, 498-506.
- Zatzick, D., & Wagner, A. (2004). Evaluating and treating injured trauma survivors in trauma care systems. Anonymous. Anonymous. New York: Guilford Press.
- Zatzick, D., Kang, S.M., Müller, H.G., e.a. (2002). Predicting posttraumatic distress in hospitalized trauma survivors with acute injuries. American Journal of Psychiatry, 159, 941-946.